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ABSTRACT
In this experiment, traditional PNMR techniques were used to study the relaxation times of an epoxy sample (Miller-Stephenson Epoxy 907) as it cured, with the aim of thus elucidating the reaction characteristics between its source reagents. It was discovered that $T_1$ increased while $T_2$ decreased with curing time. Consequently, it can be concluded that the curing process results in the formation of a rigid molecular structure, is exothermic, and proceeds at an approximately uniform rate.
Continuous-Wave Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (CWNMR) was discovered by Edward Purcell and Felix Bloch in 1946, for which they were awarded the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1952. From the principles laid down by Purcell and Bloch for CWNMR, techniques such as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) have been developed. The technique of Pulse NMR (PNMR) used in this experiment was developed by Erwin Hahn in 1950.

Scientific Basis
The basis of both forms of NMR is the intrinsic magnetic moment $\tilde{\mu}$ of the nucleus. Semiclassically, this is tied to the nucleus’ angular momentum $\tilde{L}$ and gyromagnetic ratio $\gamma$ by the equation

$$\tilde{\mu} = \gamma \tilde{L}$$

(1.1)

In a magnetic field $\tilde{B}_0$, the nucleus will experience a potential given by

$$U = -\tilde{\mu} \cdot \tilde{B}_0$$

$$U = -\gamma \tilde{L} \cdot \tilde{B}_0$$

(1.2)
An electron’s excitation and de-excitation can be seen to travel through different energy states, including spin singlet states and triplet states. The difference between the singlet and triplet states comes from the different interactions between the parallel and anti-parallel spins of the electron.
Procedure.

- What you did as “steps” and how you did it
- Electronics, topology, layout diagrams,
- Perhaps scope shots if appropriate,
- Photo if it helps but not a picture gallery
- Perhaps tables of raw data
Procedures ...

An example of useless pictures

Picture A: Liquid nitrogen is being removed from the cryostat.

Picture B: Close-up of the liquid nitrogen being transferred.
Calibration
Due to environmental factors such as temperature changes, the field supplied by the permanent magnet is not constant. Consequently, the resonant frequency will be affected (see Eqn. 1.4) and must be adjusted periodically to reflect this. To facilitate such adjustments, the Mixer unit multiplies the precession signal from the sample and the input signal from the oscillator, producing an output signal that will exhibit beating should the frequencies of the two input signals not match (Fig. 1a.). Tuning was done by adjusting the oscillator frequency until the beating effect disappeared (Fig. 1b.). It is suggested by the accompanying literature that tuning should be done ~every 15min; over the course of the experiment, it was noticed that the permanent magnetic field does indeed show appreciable changes over such a span of time.

Figure 1. Example of a mixer signal (light blue trace) a. with beating; b. without beating. Thus, the oscillator is tuned to the resonant frequency in b.; the trace in dark blue is the precession signal.
Procedures ...

Setup diagram
Procedures ...

Samples, sample preparation
Results & Analysis

– The **conversion** of raw data into a **physics** result.

– **Plotting** and **data fitting**, 

– **Interpretation of fits and data**

– **Physics conclusions**

– **Discussion of uncertainties**
## Analysis

Data collected in table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycerin added (g)</th>
<th>Approximate percentage of glycerin by weight</th>
<th>Water added (g)</th>
<th>Final percentage of glycerin by weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.517</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.959</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.816</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.500</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.512</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.495</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.525</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.357</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.514</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.298</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.525</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The glycerin concentration of the prepared sample and the amount of glycerin and water added to the sample.
Analysis ...

Magnetic Field in XY plane

Contour Graph of Magnetic Field Strength at z = 17.1 cm

Figure 1.8 Contour graph of magnetic field strength within box magnet. The origin is at the South East corner of the box magnet. Magnitudes above 62 Gauss are colored in red.

Graphs
Figure 6. The phase of the ruby fluorescence response to a squarewave excitation is plotted for select driving frequencies from 1 Hz to 80 kHz. A fit to the two-component phase model is plotted in blue.

\[ \tau_{\text{slow}} = 3.59 \pm 0.01 \text{ ms} \]
\[ \alpha_{\text{slow}} = 99\% \]
\[ \tau_{\text{fast}} \approx 8 \text{ ns} \]
\[ \alpha_{\text{fast}} = 1\% \]
Figure 8: The coincidence rate between Det A and Det B as a function of angular separation between them. Since the positronium that annihilates to emit two 511 KeV gamma rays is in the lab frame, momentum conservation predicts a delta function of coincidences at 180 degree separation.
Figure 6: Ferroelectric Domains at 115 K; Edge Picture

Microscope images
DISCUSSION
Although both tests presented results that concurred with expectations, it must be noted that the $T_2$ results, with its more consistent fits and trends, is therefore more reliable than the collected $T_1$ data. Problems encountered when collecting $T_1$ data included a significant amount of signal noise, sometimes as high as 0.02V – this is why the $T_1$ fits were conducted without the data points immediately preceding and following the zero crossing, and also why the zero crossing method cannot be implemented as a secondary method for calculating $T_1$.

Secondly, it was observed that an anomalous signal peak appeared to the left of the expected decay peak at Delay Times above 10ms. Although the presence of this peak is unexplained, it would no doubt have had an influence on the weaker decay peaks, likely introducing a gain in the peak height. Additionally, it poses the problem of having the observer judge where signal data should be observed and collected from, since the additional peak initially manifests itself as a seeming shift in the decay peak to the left; at Delay Times of 30ms and higher, however, it becomes progressively clearer that this anomalous peak is not indeed the desired decay signal. Despite this, however, the anomalous peak does display variations with curing time similar to that of the decay peak (although not quite as pronounced); thus, it may be of value to study the source and characteristics of this peak.

The ideas of possible improvements or next steps of the experiment?
CONCLUSION
The studied epoxy sample, with its increasing $T_1$ and decreasing $T_2$, presented results that generally concurred with expected trends. With a greater pool of data appropriate cross-referencing, it is conceivable that PNMR could be used as a non-invasive means of determining the extent of curing of an epoxy sample. Using the same principles, PNMR could be used to ascertain the energetics and kinetics of other reactions as well.

---

2 UIUC Department of Physics, Pulsed Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Lab Notes, 2002.
1 Introduction and theory

Optical pumping was introduced by Alfred Kastler in 1950 and has since been a useful tool for aligning the spins of suitable atoms. It generally refers to a process which uses photons to redistribute the electronic states occupied by a collection of atoms [1]. In this experiment, vaporized atoms of rubidium-85 (\(^{85}\text{Rb}\)) and rubidium-87 (\(^{87}\text{Rb}\)) were used to observe various effects such as Zeeman splitting, Larmor precession, and other transient effects.

References:

2. J. Recht and W. Klein, Optical Pumping of Rubidium, University of Wisconsin Laboratory Notes, (2005).
APPENDIX

tdcsumfit.cpp

doit()
{
MuLife->Draw("TDCSUM/500>>SUM(200,0,8)","",""); 
SUM->SetYTitle("Counts"); 
SUM->SetXTitle("Time [us]"); 
SUM==SUM;
user=new TF1("user","[0]*exp(-(x-0.1)/([1]))+[2]*exp(-(x-
0.1)/([3]))+[4]","1,7.5");
user->SetParameters(300,2.2,250,0.85,10);
user->SetLineColor(4);
user->SetParNames("Ratio: 
Plus/Minus","TauPlus","AmpMinus","TauMinus","Background");
SUM->Fit("user","R");
}

tdcsumfit2.cpp

doit()
{
MuLife->Draw("TDCSUM/500>>SUM(200,0,8)","",""); 
SUM->SetYTitle("Counts"); 
SUM->SetXTitle("Time [us]"); 
SUM==SUM;
user=new TF1("user","[0]*[2]*exp(-(x-0.1)/([1]))+[2]*exp(-(x-
0.1)/([3]))+[4]","1,7.5");
user->SetParameters(1.2,2.2,250,0.85,10);
user->SetLineColor(4);
user->SetParNames("Ratio: 
Plus/Minus","TauPlus","AmpMinus","TauMinus","Background");
SUM->Fit("user","R");
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