- ► Review: Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) control
- ▶ Today's topic: introduction to Root Locus design method

- ▶ Review: Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) control
- ▶ Today's topic: introduction to Root Locus design method

Goal: introduce the Root Locus method as a way of visualizing the locations of closed-loop poles of a given system as some parameter is varied.

- ▶ Review: Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) control
- ▶ Today's topic: introduction to Root Locus design method

Goal: introduce the Root Locus method as a way of visualizing the locations of closed-loop poles of a given system as some parameter is varied.

Reading: FPE, Chapter 5

- ► Review: Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) control
- ▶ Today's topic: introduction to Root Locus design method

Goal: introduce the Root Locus method as a way of visualizing the locations of closed-loop poles of a given system as some parameter is varied.

Reading: FPE, Chapter 5

Note!! The way I teach the Root Locus differs a bit from what the textbook does (good news: it is simpler). Still, pay attention in class!!

Course structure so far:



Course structure so far:



We will focus on design from now on.

The Root Locus Design Method (invented by Walter R. Evans in 1948)

Consider this unity feedback configuration:



where

- \blacktriangleright K is a constant gain
- $L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$, where a(s) and b(s) are some polynomials





Closed-loop transfer function:



Closed-loop transfer function:

$$\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{KL(s)}{1 + KL(s)}, \ L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$



Closed-loop transfer function:

$$\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{KL(s)}{1 + KL(s)}, \ L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$



Closed-loop transfer function:

$$\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{KL(s)}{1 + KL(s)}, \ L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$

$$1 + KL(s) = 0$$



Closed-loop transfer function:

$$\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{KL(s)}{1 + KL(s)}, \ L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$

$$1 + KL(s) = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad L(s) = -\frac{1}{K}$$



Closed-loop transfer function:

$$\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{KL(s)}{1 + KL(s)}, \ L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$

$$1 + KL(s) = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad L(s) = -\frac{1}{K}$$
$$1 + \frac{Kb(s)}{a(s)} = 0$$



Closed-loop transfer function:

$$\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{KL(s)}{1 + KL(s)}, \ L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$

$$1 + KL(s) = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad L(s) = -\frac{1}{K}$$

$$1 + \frac{Kb(s)}{a(s)} = 0$$

$$a(s) + Kb(s) = 0$$
characteristic equation
characteristic

Note the change of notation:

from
$$H(s)$$
 or $G(s) = \frac{q(s)}{p(s)}$ to $L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$

Note the change of notation:

from
$$H(s)$$
 or $G(s) = \frac{q(s)}{p(s)}$ to $L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$

— the RL method is quite general, so L(s) is not necessarily the *plant* transfer function, and K is not necessary *feedback* gain (could be any parameter).

Note the change of notation:

from
$$H(s)$$
 or $G(s) = \frac{q(s)}{p(s)}$ to $L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$

— the RL method is quite general, so L(s) is not necessarily the *plant* transfer function, and K is not necessary *feedback* gain (could be any parameter).

E.g., L(s) and K may be related to plant transfer function and feedback gain through some transformation.

Note the change of notation:

from
$$H(s)$$
 or $G(s) = \frac{q(s)}{p(s)}$ to $L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$

— the RL method is quite general, so L(s) is not necessarily the *plant* transfer function, and K is not necessary *feedback* gain (could be any parameter).

E.g., L(s) and K may be related to plant transfer function and feedback gain through some transformation.

As long as we can represent the poles of the closed-loop transfer function as roots of the equation 1 + KL(s) = 0 for some choice of K and L(s), we can apply the RL method.

Towards Quantitative Characterization of Stability

Qualitative description of stability: Routh test gives us a range of K to guarantee stability.



For what values of K do we best satisfy given design specs?



Closed-loop transfer function:

$$\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{KL(s)}{1 + KL(s)}, \ L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$



Closed-loop transfer function: $\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{KL(s)}{1 + KL(s)}, \ L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$

For what values of K do we best satisfy given design specs?



Closed-loop transfer function: $\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{KL(s)}{1 + KL(s)}, \ L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$

For what values of K do we best satisfy given design specs?

Specs are encoded in pole locations, so:



Closed-loop transfer function: $\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{KL(s)}{1 + KL(s)}, \ L(s) = \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$

For what values of K do we best satisfy given design specs?

Specs are encoded in pole locations, so:

The *root locus* for 1 + KL(s) is the set of all closed-loop poles, i.e., the roots of

$$1 + KL(s) = 0,$$

as K varies from 0 to ∞ .

$$L(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 + s}$$
 $b(s) = 1, \ a(s) = s^2 + s$

$$L(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 + s}$$
 $b(s) = 1, \ a(s) = s^2 + s$

Characteristic equation:

$$a(s) + Kb(s) = 0$$

$$s^2 + s + K = 0$$

$$L(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 + s}$$
 $b(s) = 1, \ a(s) = s^2 + s$

Characteristic equation: a(s) + Kb(s) = 0

$$s^2 + s + K = 0$$

Here, we can just use the quadratic formula:

$$s = -\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4K}}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4K}}{2}$$

$$L(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 + s}$$
 $b(s) = 1, \ a(s) = s^2 + s$

Characteristic equation: a(s) + Kb(s) = 0

$$s^2 + s + K = 0$$

Here, we can just use the quadratic formula:

$$s = -\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4K}}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4K}}{2}$$

Root locus =
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

Root locus =
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

Root locus =
$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

Let's plot it in the *s*-plane:

Root locus =
$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

Let's plot it in the *s*-plane:

• start at K = 0

Root locus =
$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

Let's plot it in the s-plane:

• start at K = 0 the roots are $-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \equiv -1, 0$

Root locus =
$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

Let's plot it in the *s*-plane:

▶ start at K = 0 the roots are $-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \equiv -1, 0$ note: these are poles of L (open-loop poles)

Root locus =
$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

Let's plot it in the *s*-plane:

▶ start at K = 0 the roots are $-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \equiv -1, 0$ note: these are poles of L (open-loop poles)



Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

 \blacktriangleright as K increases from 0, the poles start to move
Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

$$1 - 4K > 0$$

Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

$$1 - 4K > 0 \implies 2 \text{ real roots}$$

Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

$$1 - 4K > 0 \implies 2 \text{ real roots}$$

 $K = 1/4$

Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

$$1 - 4K > 0 \implies 2 \text{ real roots}$$

 $K = 1/4 \implies 1 \text{ real root } s = -1/2$

Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1 - 4K > 0 & \implies 2 \text{ real roots} \\ K = 1/4 & \implies 1 \text{ real root } s = -1/2 \end{array}$$



Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

$$K > 1/4 \implies 2$$
 complex roots with $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -1/2$

Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

$$K > 1/4 \implies 2$$
 complex roots with $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -1/2$



Root locus:
$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1-4K}}{2} : 0 \le K < \infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

 \blacktriangleright as K increases from 0, the poles start to move

$$K > 1/4 \implies 2$$
 complex roots with $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -1/2$



(s = -1/2 is the *point of breakaway* from the real axis)

$$t_s \approx \frac{3}{\sigma}$$

$$t_s \approx \frac{3}{\sigma}$$
 want σ large, can only have $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} (t_s = 6)$

$$t_s \approx \frac{3}{\sigma}$$
 want σ large, can only have $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} (t_s = 6)$
 $t_r \approx \frac{1.8}{\omega_n}$

$$t_s \approx \frac{3}{\sigma}$$
 want σ large, can only have $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} (t_s = 6)$
 $t_r \approx \frac{1.8}{\omega_n}$ want ω_n large \Longrightarrow want K large

Compare this to admissible regions for given specs:

$$t_s \approx \frac{3}{\sigma} \qquad \text{want } \sigma \text{ large, can only have } \sigma = \frac{1}{2} (t_s = 6)$$
$$t_r \approx \frac{1.8}{\omega_n} \qquad \text{want } \omega_n \text{ large} \Longrightarrow \text{ want } K \text{ large}$$
$$M_p \qquad \text{want to be inside the shaded region} \Longrightarrow \text{want } K$$

small

Compare this to admissible regions for given specs:

$$t_s \approx \frac{3}{\sigma}$$
 want σ large, can only have $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} (t_s = 6)$
 $t_r \approx \frac{1.8}{\omega_n}$ want ω_n large \Longrightarrow want K large M_p want to be inside the shaded region \Longrightarrow want K

small





Thus, the root locus helps us visualize the trade-off between all the specs in terms of K.



Thus, the root locus helps us visualize the trade-off between all the specs in terms of K.

However, for order > 2, there will generally be no direct formula for the closed-loop poles as a function of K.



Thus, the root locus helps us visualize the trade-off between all the specs in terms of K.

However, for order > 2, there will generally be no direct formula for the closed-loop poles as a function of K.

Our goal: develop simple rules for (approximately) sketching the root locus in the general case.

Equivalent Characterization of RL: Phase Condition

Recall our original definition: The *root locus* for 1 + KL(s) is the set of all closed-loop poles, i.e., the roots of

$$1 + KL(s) = 0,$$

as K varies from 0 to ∞ .

Equivalent Characterization of RL: Phase Condition

Recall our original definition: The *root locus* for 1 + KL(s) is the set of all closed-loop poles, i.e., the roots of

$$1 + KL(s) = 0,$$

as K varies from 0 to ∞ .

A point $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is on the RL if and only if

$$L(s) = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{K}}_{\text{negative and real}} \text{ for some } K > 0$$

Equivalent Characterization of RL: Phase Condition

Recall our original definition: The *root locus* for 1 + KL(s) is the set of all closed-loop poles, i.e., the roots of

$$1 + KL(s) = 0,$$

as K varies from 0 to ∞ .

A point $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is on the RL if and only if

$$L(s) = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{K}}_{\text{negative and real}} \text{ for some } K > 0$$

This gives us an equivalent characterization:

The phase condition: The root locus of 1 + KL(s) is the set of all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\angle L(s) = 180^{\circ}$, i.e., L(s) is real and negative.

There are *six rules* for sketching root loci. These rules are mainly qualitative, and their purpose is to give intuition about impact of poles and zeros on performance.

There are *six rules* for sketching root loci. These rules are mainly qualitative, and their purpose is to give intuition about impact of poles and zeros on performance.

There are *six rules* for sketching root loci. These rules are mainly qualitative, and their purpose is to give intuition about impact of poles and zeros on performance.

These rules are:

▶ Rule A — number of branches

There are *six rules* for sketching root loci. These rules are mainly qualitative, and their purpose is to give intuition about impact of poles and zeros on performance.

- ▶ Rule A number of branches
- ▶ Rule B start points

There are *six rules* for sketching root loci. These rules are mainly qualitative, and their purpose is to give intuition about impact of poles and zeros on performance.

- ▶ Rule A number of branches
- ▶ Rule B start points
- ▶ Rule C end points

There are *six rules* for sketching root loci. These rules are mainly qualitative, and their purpose is to give intuition about impact of poles and zeros on performance.

- ▶ Rule A number of branches
- ▶ Rule B start points
- ▶ Rule C end points
- ▶ Rule D real locus

There are *six rules* for sketching root loci. These rules are mainly qualitative, and their purpose is to give intuition about impact of poles and zeros on performance.

- ▶ Rule A number of branches
- ▶ Rule B start points
- ▶ Rule C end points
- ▶ Rule D real locus
- ▶ Rule E asymptotes

There are *six rules* for sketching root loci. These rules are mainly qualitative, and their purpose is to give intuition about impact of poles and zeros on performance.

- ▶ Rule A number of branches
- ▶ Rule B start points
- ▶ Rule C end points
- ▶ Rule D real locus
- ▶ Rule E asymptotes
- Rule F $j\omega$ -crossings

There are *six rules* for sketching root loci. These rules are mainly qualitative, and their purpose is to give intuition about impact of poles and zeros on performance.

These rules are:

- ▶ Rule A number of branches
- ▶ Rule B start points
- ▶ Rule C end points
- ▶ Rule D real locus
- ▶ Rule E asymptotes
- Rule F $j\omega$ -crossings

Today, we will cover mostly Rules A–C (and a bit of D).

$$1 + K \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$

$$1 + K\frac{b(s)}{a(s)} = 1 + K\frac{s^m + b_1 s^{m-1} + \ldots + b_{m-1} s + b_m}{s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{n-1} s + a_n} = 0$$

$$1 + K \frac{b(s)}{a(s)} = 1 + K \frac{s^m + b_1 s^{m-1} + \dots + b_{m-1} s + b_m}{s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} s + a_n} = 0$$

$$\implies (s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} s + a_n) + K(s^m + b_1 s^{m-1} + \dots + b_{m-1} s + b_m) = 0$$

$$1 + K \frac{b(s)}{a(s)} = 1 + K \frac{s^m + b_1 s^{m-1} + \ldots + b_{m-1} s + b_m}{s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{n-1} s + a_n} = 0$$

$$\implies (s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{n-1} s + a_n) + K(s^m + b_1 s^{m-1} + \ldots + b_{m-1} s + b_m) = 0$$

Since $\deg(a) = n \ge m = \deg(b)$, the characteristic polynomial a(s) + Kb(s) = 0 has degree n.
Rule A: Number of Branches

$$1 + K\frac{b(s)}{a(s)} = 1 + K\frac{s^m + b_1 s^{m-1} + \dots + b_{m-1} s + b_m}{s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} s + a_n} = 0$$

$$\implies (s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} s + a_n) + K(s^m + b_1 s^{m-1} + \dots + b_{m-1} s + b_m) = 0$$

Since $\deg(a) = n \ge m = \deg(b)$, the characteristic polynomial a(s) + Kb(s) = 0 has degree n.

The characteristic polynomial has n solutions (roots), some of which may be repeated. As we vary K, these nsolutions also vary to form n branches.

Rule A: Number of Branches

$$1 + K\frac{b(s)}{a(s)} = 1 + K\frac{s^m + b_1 s^{m-1} + \ldots + b_{m-1} s + b_m}{s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{n-1} s + a_n} = 0$$

$$\implies (s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{n-1} s + a_n) + K(s^m + b_1 s^{m-1} + \ldots + b_{m-1} s + b_m) = 0$$

Since $\deg(a) = n \ge m = \deg(b)$, the characteristic polynomial a(s) + Kb(s) = 0 has degree n.

The characteristic polynomial has n solutions (roots), some of which may be repeated. As we vary K, these nsolutions also vary to form n branches.

Rule A:

$$\#(\text{branches}) = \deg(a)$$

The locus starts from K = 0.

The locus starts from K = 0. What happens near K = 0?

The locus starts from K = 0. What happens near K = 0? If a(s) + Kb(s) = 0 and $K \sim 0$, then $a(s) \approx 0$. The locus starts from K = 0. What happens near K = 0? If a(s) + Kb(s) = 0 and $K \sim 0$, then $a(s) \approx 0$. Therefore:

The locus starts from K = 0. What happens near K = 0? If a(s) + Kb(s) = 0 and $K \sim 0$, then $a(s) \approx 0$. Therefore:

• s is close to a root of a(s) = 0, or

The locus starts from K = 0. What happens near K = 0? If a(s) + Kb(s) = 0 and $K \sim 0$, then $a(s) \approx 0$. Therefore:

- s is close to a root of a(s) = 0, or
- s is close to a pole of L(s)

The locus starts from K = 0. What happens near K = 0? If a(s) + Kb(s) = 0 and $K \sim 0$, then $a(s) \approx 0$. Therefore:

- s is close to a root of a(s) = 0, or
- s is close to a pole of L(s)

Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles.

What happens to the locus as $K \to \infty$?

What happens to the locus as $K \to \infty$?

$$a(s) + Kb(s) = 0$$

What happens to the locus as $K \to \infty$?

$$a(s) + Kb(s) = 0$$
$$b(s) = -\frac{1}{K}a(s)$$

What happens to the locus as $K \to \infty$?

$$a(s) + Kb(s) = 0$$
$$b(s) = -\frac{1}{K}a(s)$$

— as $K \to \infty$,

What happens to the locus as $K \to \infty$?

$$a(s) + Kb(s) = 0$$
$$b(s) = -\frac{1}{K}a(s)$$

— as $K \to \infty$,

• branches end at the roots of b(s) = 0, or

What happens to the locus as $K \to \infty$?

$$a(s) + Kb(s) = 0$$
$$b(s) = -\frac{1}{K}a(s)$$

— as $K \to \infty$,

- branches end at the roots of b(s) = 0, or
- branches end at zeros of L(s)

What happens to the locus as $K \to \infty$?

$$a(s) + Kb(s) = 0$$
$$b(s) = -\frac{1}{K}a(s)$$

— as $K \to \infty$,

- branches end at the roots of b(s) = 0, or
- branches end at zeros of L(s)

Rule C: branches end at open-loop zeros.

What happens to the locus as $K \to \infty$?

$$a(s) + Kb(s) = 0$$
$$b(s) = -\frac{1}{K}a(s)$$

— as $K \to \infty$,

- branches end at the roots of b(s) = 0, or
- branches end at zeros of L(s)

Rule C: branches end at open-loop zeros.

Note: if n > m, we have n branches, but only m zeros. The remaining n - m branches go off to infinity (end at "zeros at infinity").

PD control of an unstable 2nd-order plant



PD control of an unstable 2nd-order plant

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{} K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s \xrightarrow{} \boxed{1 \atop G_c} Y$$

$$\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{G_c G_p}{1 + G_c G_p} \qquad \text{poles: } 1 + G_c(s) G_p(s) = 0$$
$$1 + (K_{\text{P}} + K_{\text{D}}s) \left(\frac{1}{s^2 - 1}\right) = 0$$

PD control of an unstable 2nd-order plant

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{} K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s \xrightarrow{} 1 \xrightarrow{} Y$$

$$\frac{Y}{R} = \frac{G_c G_p}{1 + G_c G_p} \qquad \text{poles: } 1 + G_c(s) G_p(s) = 0$$
$$1 + (K_{\text{P}} + K_{\text{D}}s) \left(\frac{1}{s^2 - 1}\right) = 0$$

We will examine the impact of varying $K = K_{\rm D}$, assuming the ratio $K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D}$ fixed.

PD control of an unstable 2nd-order plant

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \underbrace{K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s}_{G_c} \xrightarrow{I} \underbrace{1}_{S^2 - 1} \xrightarrow{V} Y$$

We will examine the impact of varying $K = K_{\rm D}$, assuming the ratio $K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D}$ fixed.

PD control of an unstable 2nd-order plant

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \underbrace{K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s}_{G_c} \xrightarrow{I} \underbrace{1}_{S^2 - 1} \xrightarrow{} Y$$

We will examine the impact of varying $K = K_{\rm D}$, assuming the ratio $K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D}$ fixed.

PD control of an unstable 2nd-order plant

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{} K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s \xrightarrow{} \boxed{\frac{1}{s^2 - 1}} \xrightarrow{} Y$$

We will examine the impact of varying $K = K_{\rm D}$, assuming the ratio $K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D}$ fixed.

$$1 + \underbrace{K_{\mathrm{D}}}_{K} \left(s + \frac{K_{\mathrm{P}}}{K_{\mathrm{D}}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{s^{2} - 1} \right)$$

PD control of an unstable 2nd-order plant

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{} K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s \xrightarrow{} \boxed{\frac{1}{s^2 - 1}} \xrightarrow{} Y$$

We will examine the impact of varying $K = K_{\rm D}$, assuming the ratio $K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D}$ fixed.

$$1 + \underbrace{K_{\mathrm{D}}}_{K} \left(s + \frac{K_{\mathrm{P}}}{K_{\mathrm{D}}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{s^{2} - 1} \right) = 1 + K \underbrace{\frac{s + K_{\mathrm{P}}/K_{\mathrm{D}}}{\frac{s^{2} - 1}{L(s)}} = 0$$

PD control of an unstable 2nd-order plant

$$R \xrightarrow{+} K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s \xrightarrow{1} I \xrightarrow{s^2 - 1} Y$$

We will examine the impact of varying $K = K_{\rm D}$, assuming the ratio $K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D}$ fixed.

$$1 + \underbrace{K_{\mathrm{D}}}_{K} \left(s + \frac{K_{\mathrm{P}}}{K_{\mathrm{D}}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{s^{2} - 1} \right) = 1 + K \underbrace{\frac{s + K_{\mathrm{P}}/K_{\mathrm{D}}}{\frac{s^{2} - 1}{L(s)}}}_{L(s)} = 0$$
$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_{1}}{s^{2} - 1}$$

PD control of an unstable 2nd-order plant

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \overbrace{G_c} K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s \xrightarrow{1} \overbrace{S^2 - 1} Y$$

We will examine the impact of varying $K = K_{\rm D}$, assuming the ratio $K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D}$ fixed.

$$1 + \underbrace{K_{\mathrm{D}}}_{K} \left(s + \frac{K_{\mathrm{P}}}{K_{\mathrm{D}}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{s^{2} - 1} \right) = 1 + K \underbrace{\frac{s + K_{\mathrm{P}}/K_{\mathrm{D}}}{\frac{s^{2} - 1}{L(s)}}}_{L(s)} = 0$$
$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_{1}}{s^{2} - 1} \qquad \text{zero at } s = z_{1} = -K_{\mathrm{P}}/K_{\mathrm{D}} < 0$$

$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

► Rule A:

$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

• Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m = 1 \\ n = 2 \end{cases} \implies$$

$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

• Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m = 1 \\ n = 2 \end{cases} \implies 2 \text{ branches}$$

$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

• Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m = 1 \\ n = 2 \end{cases} \implies 2 \text{ branches}$$

• Rule B:

$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m = 1 \\ n = 2 \end{cases} \implies 2 \text{ branches}$$

▶ Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles

$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

► Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m = 1 \\ n = 2 \end{cases} \implies 2 \text{ branches}$$

Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles $s = \pm 1$

$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

- Rule A: $\begin{cases} m = 1 \\ n = 2 \end{cases} \implies 2 \text{ branches}$
- ▶ Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles $s = \pm 1$
- ► Rule C:

$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

- ► Rule A: $\begin{cases} m = 1 \\ n = 2 \end{cases} \implies 2 \text{ branches}$
- Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles $s = \pm 1$
- Rule C: branches end at open-loop zeros $s = z_1, -\infty$

$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

- Rule A: $\begin{cases} m = 1 \\ n = 2 \end{cases} \implies 2 \text{ branches}$
- Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles $s = \pm 1$
- ▶ Rule C: branches end at open-loop zeros $s = z_1, -\infty$ (we will see why $-\infty$ later)
$$L(s) = \frac{s - z_1}{s^2 - 1}$$

- Rule A: $\begin{cases} m = 1 \\ n = 2 \end{cases} \implies 2 \text{ branches}$
- ▶ Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles
- ▶ Rule C: branches end at open-loop zeros (we will see why $-\infty$ later)

$$s = \pm 1$$

$$s = z_1, -\infty$$

So the root locus will look something like this:









Why does one of the branches go off to $-\infty$? $s^2 - 1 + K(s - z_1) = 0$



$$s^{2} - 1 + K(s - z_{1}) = 0$$

 $s^{2} + Ks - (Kz_{1} + 1) = 0$



$$s^{2} - 1 + K(s - z_{1}) = 0$$

$$s^{2} + Ks - (Kz_{1} + 1) = 0$$

$$s = -\frac{K}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{K^{2}}{4} + Kz_{1} + 1}, \ z_{1} < 0$$



$$s^{2} - 1 + K(s - z_{1}) = 0$$

$$s^{2} + Ks - (Kz_{1} + 1) = 0$$

$$s = -\frac{K}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{K^{2}}{4} + Kz_{1} + 1}, \ z_{1} < 0 \qquad \text{as } K \to \infty, \ s \text{ will be } < 0$$





Is the point s = 0 on the root locus?





$$1 + KL(0) = 0$$



$$1 + KL(0) = 0$$
$$1 + Kz_1 = 0$$



$$1 + KL(0) = 0$$

 $1 + Kz_1 = 0$ $K = -\frac{1}{z_1} > 0$ does the job

For concreteness, let's see what happens when

 $K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D} = -z_1 = 2$ and $K = K_{\rm D} = 5 \Longrightarrow K_{\rm D} = 10$

For concreteness, let's see what happens when

$$K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D} = -z_1 = 2$$
 and $K = K_{\rm D} = 5 \Longrightarrow K_{\rm D} = 10$

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \underbrace{K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s}_{G_c} \xrightarrow{1} \underbrace{1}_{s^2 - 1} \xrightarrow{Y}$$

For concreteness, let's see what happens when

$$K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D} = -z_1 = 2$$
 and $K = K_{\rm D} = 5 \Longrightarrow K_{\rm D} = 10$

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \underbrace{K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s}_{G_c} \xrightarrow{1} \underbrace{1}_{S^2 - 1} \xrightarrow{Y}$$

$$G_c(s) = 10 + 5s$$

For concreteness, let's see what happens when

$$K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D} = -z_1 = 2$$
 and $K = K_{\rm D} = 5 \Longrightarrow K_{\rm D} = 10$

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \underbrace{K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s}_{G_c} \xrightarrow{1} \underbrace{1}_{S^2 - 1} \xrightarrow{Y}$$

$$G_c(s) = 10 + 5s$$

$$u = 10e + 5\dot{e}, \qquad e = r - y$$

For concreteness, let's see what happens when

$$K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D} = -z_1 = 2$$
 and $K = K_{\rm D} = 5 \Longrightarrow K_{\rm D} = 10$

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \underbrace{K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s}_{G_c} \xrightarrow{1} \underbrace{1}_{s^2 - 1} \xrightarrow{Y}$$

$$G_c(s) = 10 + 5s$$

$$u = 10e + 5\dot{e}, \qquad e = r - y$$

Characteristic equation: $1 + 5\left(\frac{s+2}{s^2-1}\right) = 0$

For concreteness, let's see what happens when

$$K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D} = -z_1 = 2$$
 and $K = K_{\rm D} = 5 \Longrightarrow K_{\rm D} = 10$

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \underbrace{K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s}_{G_c} \xrightarrow{1} \underbrace{1}_{S^2 - 1} \xrightarrow{Y}$$

$$G_c(s) = 10 + 5s$$
$$u = 10e + 5\dot{e}, \qquad e = r - y$$

Characteristic equation:

$$1+5\left(\frac{s+2}{s^2-1}\right) = 0$$
$$s^2+5s+9 = 0$$

- >

For concreteness, let's see what happens when

$$K_{\rm P}/K_{\rm D} = -z_1 = 2$$
 and $K = K_{\rm D} = 5 \Longrightarrow K_{\rm D} = 10$

$$R \xrightarrow{+} \underbrace{K_{\rm P} + K_{\rm D}s}_{G_c} \xrightarrow{1} \underbrace{1}_{s^2 - 1} \xrightarrow{Y}$$

$$G_c(s) = 10 + 5s$$

$$u = 10e + 5\dot{e}, \qquad e = r - y$$

Characteristic equation: $1 + 5\left(\frac{s+2}{s^2-1}\right) = 0$ $s^2 + 5s + 9 = 0$

Relate to 2nd-order response: $\omega_n^2 = 9, \ 2\zeta\omega_n = 5 \Longrightarrow \zeta = 5/6$

• When zeros are in LHP, *high gain* can be used to stabilize the system (although one must worry about zeros at infinity).

- When zeros are in LHP, *high gain* can be used to stabilize the system (although one must worry about zeros at infinity).
- ▶ If there are zeros in RHP, high gain is always disastrous.

- When zeros are in LHP, *high gain* can be used to stabilize the system (although one must worry about zeros at infinity).
- ▶ If there are zeros in RHP, high gain is always disastrous.
- ▶ PD control is effective for stabilization because it introduces a zero in LHP.

- When zeros are in LHP, *high gain* can be used to stabilize the system (although one must worry about zeros at infinity).
- ▶ If there are zeros in RHP, high gain is always disastrous.
- ▶ PD control is effective for stabilization because it introduces a zero in LHP.

But: Rules A–C cannot tell the whole story. How do we know which way the branches go, and which pole corresponds to which zero?

- ▶ When zeros are in LHP, *high gain* can be used to stabilize the system (although one must worry about zeros at infinity).
- ▶ If there are zeros in RHP, high gain is always disastrous.
- ▶ PD control is effective for stabilization because it introduces a zero in LHP.

But: Rules A–C cannot tell the whole story. How do we know which way the branches go, and which pole corresponds to which zero?

Rules D–F!!

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2+1)}$$

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2 + 1)}$$

► Rule A:

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2+1}$$

 \blacktriangleright Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m = 1 \\ n = 4 \end{cases}$$

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2+1)}$$

 \blacktriangleright Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m=1\\ n=4 \end{cases} \implies 4 \text{ branches} \end{cases}$$

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2+1)}$$

Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m=1\\ n=4 \end{cases} \implies 4 \text{ branches} \end{cases}$$
Rule B:

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2+1)}$$

 \blacktriangleright Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m=1\\ n=4 \end{cases} \implies 4 \text{ branches} \end{cases}$$

▶ Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2+1)}$$

 \blacktriangleright Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m=1\\ n=4 \end{cases} \implies 4 \text{ branches} \end{cases}$$

► Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles $s = 0, s = -2, s = -1 \pm j$

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2+1)}$$

Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m=1\\ n=4 \end{cases} \implies 4 \text{ branches} \end{cases}$$

▶ Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles $s = 0, s = -2, s = -1 \pm j$

 \blacktriangleright Rule C:

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2+1)}$$

 \blacktriangleright Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m=1\\ n=4 \end{cases} \implies 4 \text{ branches} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles $s = 0, s = -2, s = -1 \pm j$
- ▶ Rule C: branches end at open-loop zeros

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2+1}$$

 \blacktriangleright Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m=1\\ n=4 \end{cases} \implies 4 \text{ branches} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles $s = 0, s = -2, s = -1 \pm j$
- ▶ Rule C: branches end at open-loop zeros $s = -1, \pm \infty$
Example

Let's consider
$$L(s) = \frac{s+1}{s(s+2)(s+1)^2+1}$$

 \blacktriangleright Rule A:
$$\begin{cases} m=1\\ n=4 \end{cases} \implies 4 \text{ branches} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Rule B: branches start at open-loop poles $s = 0, s = -2, s = -1 \pm j$
- ► Rule C: branches end at open-loop zeros

$$s = -1, \pm \infty$$



Example, continued

Three more rules:

- ▶ Rule D: real locus
- ► Rule E: asymptotes
- Rule F: $j\omega$ -crossings

Example, continued

Three more rules:

- ▶ Rule D: real locus
- ▶ Rule E: asymptotes
- Rule F: $j\omega$ -crossings

Rules D and E are both based on the fact that

$$1 + KL(s) = 0$$
 for some $K > 0 \iff L(s) < 0$

The branches of the RL start at the open-loop poles. Which way do they go, left or right?

The branches of the RL start at the open-loop poles. Which way do they go, left or right?

The branches of the RL start at the open-loop poles. Which way do they go, left or right?

$$1 + KL(s) = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \angle L(s) = 180^{\circ}$$

The branches of the RL start at the open-loop poles. Which way do they go, left or right?

$$1 + KL(s) = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \angle L(s) = 180^{\circ}$$

$$\angle L(s) = \angle \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$

The branches of the RL start at the open-loop poles. Which way do they go, left or right?

$$1 + KL(s) = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \angle L(s) = 180^{\circ}$$

$$\angle L(s) = \angle \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$
$$= \angle \frac{(s-z_1)(s-z_2)\dots(s-z_m)}{(s-p_1)(s-p_2)\dots(s-p_n)}$$

The branches of the RL start at the open-loop poles. Which way do they go, left or right?

$$1 + KL(s) = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \angle L(s) = 180^{\circ}$$

$$\angle L(s) = \angle \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$
$$= \angle \frac{(s-z_1)(s-z_2)\dots(s-z_m)}{(s-p_1)(s-p_2)\dots(s-p_n)}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \angle (s-z_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n \angle (s-p_j)$$

The branches of the RL start at the open-loop poles. Which way do they go, left or right?

Recall the phase condition:

$$1 + KL(s) = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \angle L(s) = 180^{\circ}$$

$$\angle L(s) = \angle \frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$$
$$= \angle \frac{(s-z_1)(s-z_2)\dots(s-z_m)}{(s-p_1)(s-p_2)\dots(s-p_n)}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \angle (s-z_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n \angle (s-p_j)$$

— this sum must be $\pm 180^{\circ}$ for any s that lies on the RL.





$$\angle (s_1 - z_1) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > z_1)$$



$$\angle (s_1 - z_1) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > z_1) \angle (s_1 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_1 < p_1)$$



$$\angle (s_1 - z_1) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > z_1) \angle (s_1 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_1 < p_1) \angle (s_1 - p_2) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > p_2)$$



$$\angle (s_1 - z_1) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > z_1) \angle (s_1 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_1 < p_1) \angle (s_1 - p_2) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > p_2) \angle (s_1 - p_3) = -\angle (s_1 - p_4) (\text{conjugate poles cancel})$$



$$\angle (s_1 - z_1) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > z_1) \angle (s_1 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_1 < p_1) \angle (s_1 - p_2) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > p_2) \angle (s_1 - p_3) = -\angle (s_1 - p_4) (\text{conjugate poles cancel})$$

$$\angle (s_1 - z_1) - [\angle (s_1 - p_1) + \angle (s_1 - p_2) + \angle (s_1 - p_3) + \angle (s_1 - p_4)]$$



$$\angle (s_1 - z_1) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > z_1)$$

$$\angle (s_1 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_1 < p_1)$$

$$\angle (s_1 - p_2) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > p_2)$$

$$\angle (s_1 - p_3) = -\angle (s_1 - p_4)$$

(conjugate poles cancel)

$$\angle (s_1 - z_1) - [\angle (s_1 - p_1) + \angle (s_1 - p_2) + \angle (s_1 - p_3) + \angle (s_1 - p_4)]$$

= 0° - [180° + 0° + 0°] = -180°



$$\angle (s_1 - z_1) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > z_1) \angle (s_1 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_1 < p_1) \angle (s_1 - p_2) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_1 > p_2) \angle (s_1 - p_3) = -\angle (s_1 - p_4) (\text{conjugate poles cancel})$$

$$\angle (s_1 - z_1) - [\angle (s_1 - p_1) + \angle (s_1 - p_2) + \angle (s_1 - p_3) + \angle (s_1 - p_4)]$$

= 0° - [180° + 0° + 0°] = -180° \sigma s_1 is on RL



Try more test points:



$$\angle (s_2 - z_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < z_2)$$

Try more test points:



 $\angle (s_2 - z_1) = 180^\circ$ $(s_2 < z_2)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_1) = 180^\circ$ $(s_2 < p_1)$

Try more test points:



$$\angle (s_2 - z_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < z_2) \angle (s_2 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < p_1) \angle (s_2 - p_2) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_2 > p_2)$$

Try more test points:



 $\angle (s_2 - z_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < z_2)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < p_1)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_2) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_2 > p_2)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_3) = -\angle (s_1 - p_4)$ (conjugate poles cancel)



 $\angle (s_2 - z_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < z_2)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < p_1)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_2) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_2 > p_2)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_3) = -\angle (s_1 - p_4)$ (conjugate poles cancel)

$$\angle (s_2 - z_1) - [\angle (s_2 - p_1) + \angle (s_2 - p_2) + \angle (s_2 - p_3) + \angle (s_2 - p_4)]$$



 $\angle (s_2 - z_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < z_2)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < p_1)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_2) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_2 > p_2)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_3) = -\angle (s_1 - p_4)$ (conjugate poles cancel)

$$\angle (s_2 - z_1) - [\angle (s_2 - p_1) + \angle (s_2 - p_2) + \angle (s_2 - p_3) + \angle (s_2 - p_4)]$$

= 180° - [180° + 0° + 0°] = 0°



 $\angle (s_2 - z_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < z_2)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_1) = 180^{\circ} \quad (s_2 < p_1)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_2) = 0^{\circ} \quad (s_2 > p_2)$ $\angle (s_2 - p_3) = -\angle (s_1 - p_4)$ (conjugate poles cancel)

$$\angle (s_2 - z_1) - [\angle (s_2 - p_1) + \angle (s_2 - p_2) + \angle (s_2 - p_3) + \angle (s_2 - p_4)]$$

= 180° - [180° + 0° + 0°] = 0° × s₁ is not on RL

Rule D: If s is *real*, then it is on the RL of 1 + KL if and only if there are an odd number of *real open-loop poles* and zeros to the right of s.

Rule D: If s is *real*, then it is on the RL of 1 + KL if and only if there are an odd number of *real open-loop poles* and zeros to the right of s.



Rule D: If s is *real*, then it is on the RL of 1 + KL if and only if there are an odd number of *real open-loop poles* and zeros to the right of s.



We will cover Rules E and F, and complete the RL for this example, in the next lecture.