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## Intraduction

Concept: Mast pet owners appreciate the safety of knowing where their pet is at all times. A modern pet containment solution is a Shock Collar. A user sets up magnetic posts or buries a wire in their yard which serves as an invisible boundary against a pet's movement. When the pet crosses the threshold between two posts, a sensor in the collar is alerted and a training pulse causes displeasure to the dog. One problem with this design is that a stubborn dog could crass the threshold and be free of its perimeter. Another problem with this design is that only one perimeter can be set up for the pet, and it must be set up using a cumbersome outdoor fence system. Dur idea is to replace the standard "fence" idea with GPS coordinates as set by the user. Not only is there no physical fence setup, but now multiple user locations can be specified for different occasions such as the user's home, office, ar favorite park. Multiple perimeters can be saved on the collar and chosen for the proper occasion, and the boundaries apply beyond the limits of the physical fence setup.

Behind the Concept: Instead of the standard magnetic sensing on current dag collars, ours will use GPS coordinates to achieve the same affect The GPS module will receive the current location from GPS satellites; transmitting the data via the NMEA-D183 serial protocol to a microcontroller. The microcontroller is in charge of interpreting all of the data given to it; determining whether the shock mechanism should be activated and making sure that the shock collar works only over certain intervals so as not to unjustly punish the pet. These coordinates will be written to RAM in the microcontroller using a couple possible methods:

- Instant: Triggering of a barrier with a certain radius as specified by a dial on the computer. A dial on the collar with selectable radii and an activation button will trigger an instant perimeter. This feature is beneficial when on vacation somewhere such as the beach and you want an instant perimeter for your pet Good for small/medium portable perimeters.
- Corner Set Point: A user will put the collar into set mode upon which time he/she will walk around the perimeter to set the various carners of the perimeter. Pressing the corner activation button will trigger a new corner point for the perimeter. Good for medium/large perimeters.

In addition to GPS, there are a few other features that we planned on implementing, but due to the time restraints of this class have only chosen one for the time being: detection of nearby pets wearing the same type of collar. A small transceiver antenna in the collar will transmit each dag's unique l.D. to a small praximity radius. The same transceiver antenna in another pet's collar will pick up the l.D.'s of other pets in the near vicinity and determine whether contact is allowed between these two pets. The factor of whether two pets are allowed to interact or not comes from the user's selection to put certain pet's I.D. tags an a "No-Contact List" within the RAM on the pet's collar. The prohibited list will be programmable via the computer user interface. The aption of preventing contact with all dags wearing this collar will alsa be available. Some other features that could passibly be implanted into the collar include barking $a$ jumping detection, and a passible GPS locator in case of a missing pet. The barking detection and GPS locator already exist as stand-alone products, but if all of these are implanted in ane collar, then we could see potential in having an all-in-one training solution. Users could even select different madels with different amounts of features at a lower cost to suit their needs

The shocking mechanism is not a novel factor to the concept. In the sense of avaiding "reinventing the wheel", the shacking partion will not be implemented at this time. An analog output on the Microcontroller will be left apen for future shock addition. Instead, two LED indicatars and activation sounds will be implemented to provide warning to the pet and owner of an infraction before and during the shock. The collar will go inta Warning Made whenever an infraction is being committed such as being outside of a boundary or interacting with a prohibited pet. In Warning Made, the LED and an assaciated buzz er will flash at roughly 1 Hz, much like when a garbage truck or schoal bus goes in reverse. This Warning Mode will serve as an indication to refrain from such activity before the shock occurs. Ivan Pavlov's Classical Conditioning proved that dags can be trained to react to a stimulus when repeated multiple times preceding a constant result. Why shock when a simple buzzer sound will da? After a predefined amount of time (currently five seconds) without praper reaction to the Warning Made, the pet will be shocked and a separate sound buzzer will go off simultaneously. The Pavlavian conditioning will take over and the pet will eventually learn to react before the shock. Another preventative measure to overpunishment is our methad to prevent too many shocks. Instead of blindly shocking the dag for hanging around his barrier, the internal software will have delays between shocks and
will eventually stap after too many consecutive shocks in case of an impraperly set boundary. This will prove to be anather advantage over the current shack callars on the market today which have no method of preventing repeated shocks.

## Features:

- GPS-based perimeter detection for pet confinement
- Multiple user-programmable perimeters
- Multiple perimeter entry mades including Instant and Set-Point perimeters
- Prevention of unwanted contact with other pets as specified by the user
- Adjustable shock levels
- LED/saund shock indicatars
- Battery powered
- Connects to computer via USB
- Computer user interface


## Benefits:

- GPS Accuracy (the Venus madel has 2.5m accuracy with up to a 20 Hz refresh rate)
- No physical fence setup which allows for larger and more diverse boundaries
- Multiple, configurable, and switchable perimeter lacations
- Preventative measures beyond the theoretical boundary
- Usable by dags, cats, exotic animals, and even flying animals.
- Inter-pet contact prevention


## Design

## Component Diagram :



## Camponent Descriptions:

- Power Source - Battery/Power Source for all other components in the project. This is rum via 4 AAA batteries for GV power. Immediate reason for use of AAA battery power is ease of use for consumers.
- 〔РS Madule - Receives and transmits current coardinates to the Micracontroller portion for crass-reference against nan-allowed zones. The Venus GPS-11058 transmits current coordinates via the NMEA-0183 protacal, has a 20Hz refresh rate, and is accurate within 2.5 meters.
- Transceiver - Sparkfun nRF24LDI+: Transmits the unique ID of the pet collar and identifies others in the пеаг praximity.
- MicraController - An Arduino-based ATmega-328P microcontroller: Takes input from the various detectors and references the physical interface buttons, switches, and knobs to determine if a violation is in progress while transmitting the unique pet l.D. through the Transceiver. It controls the alert/shocking system, stares GPS coordinates in its onboard RAM, and communicates to the Virtual Interface via the USB hub to receive programmed perimeters and prohibited pet I.D.'s. Will be programmed mastly in C with some additional subcade pravided by the Arduino community. Refer to Software Flow Chart in Figure 3.
- Physical Interface: LED/Buzzers - As described in the introduction, the alert/shocking partion will be represented by two sets of LED's and saund buzzers. One buzzer will be in sync with the yellow LED and the other buzzer with the red LED. The yellow combo will appear in a repeating pattern for Warning Mode i.e. whenever the pet is concurrently infracting on either its perimeter ar prahibited interactions and will only stap whenever returning to an area without infraction. The red combo will appear whenever the physical shocking should occur. The shocking mechanism will not be implemented at this time but a Micracontroller analog output will be left apen for the future addition.
- Physical Interface: Buttons/Switches - The physical interface will feature a button, switch, and rotary knob configuration as approximated in Figure 2. This will be the interface far human interaction with the device when it is in use.
- USB - The USB interaction circuit with an outboard TTL Serial port for connecting to a USB part on a standard home computer. Data will transfer between the microcontroller and the virtual interface via the USB hub.
- Virtual Interface - A program installed on the pet owner's computer that communicates with the collar via the USB. Will be capable of input of pet l.D.'s and GPS coordinates. Hapefully, a future implementation would allow far a user community for sharing of apinions, common perimeters such as local parks, and their unique pet I.D.'s. It will be programmed in Microsoft's Visual ए\# ZOID Express.
- Extension Space - Room in microcontroller for further pet-training additions such as barking coггесtion, jumping coггесtion, and location of lost pets.


## Project Requirements:

- Portable and compact, i.e. able to fit on a pet's callar.
- Consumer-friendly, easy to use, and marketable to a pet-owning community.
- Easily powered. AAA battery methad preferred for now for ease of use.
- GPS accurate to a reasonable proximity, preferably ID feet or less within perimeter.
- GPS functions in a vast majority of locations.
- No false infractions.
- Accurate transmission and detection of pet ID's through transceiver.
- Reasonable pet interaction distance for transceiver propagation must be achieved.
- Reprogrammable microcontroller capable of storing user's information.
- User interfaces, bath Physical and Virtual, are intuitive to the average consumer.
- Controllable shocking mechanism that proves to not continuously shock, ar shack in between intervals of 3 seconds. Needs to stap after a reasonable amount of time so as not to patentially shock pet for more than 5 iterations.
- Warning saund before shack with LED status indicatars (yellow for out of praximity and red during the actual shack) and buzzers (different sound for each).
- Safe testing (probably on ourselves at first).
- Safe to all pets (dogs the main consideration at the moment).


## Equations:

Besides the simple electrical circuit equations used in the design of our circuit specifically for finding needed resistar sizes, the mast impartant equations or calculations we needed were for determining the distance between two coardinate points and equations for determining if the current point is inside the box.

The tinyโPS Arduina library pravided a distance between function which would calculate the distance in meters between twa points returning a float or decimal number. This made the instant radius setting much easier to accomplish without any lengthy code.

In arder ta find if the current point is inside a bax determine by four corners, we first used an algorithm that checked to make sure the point was above two of the lines, determined by the sides, and below two of the lines. This algorithm would work as long as the box was a parallelogram. We wanted a better range of input boxes so with some investigation we determined that by using the four triangles made by connecting two adjacent corners and the current point, we could determine if the dag was inside or outside. We did this by first determining the area of each triangle using the equation:

$$
A_{t}=\sqrt{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)} \quad s=\frac{a+b+c}{2}
$$

Where a, b and care the side lengths. We then added up the area of the four triangles and compared this to the area of the box determined by:

$$
A_{b}=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{4 p^{2} q^{2}-\left(a^{2}+c^{2}-b^{2}-d^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

IF the sum of the triangles is greater than the area of the box, then the dog is outside the box. If it is equal then the dog is inside the box.

## Diagrams

## Physical Lantroller if Interface:

Mounted as part of the physical device on the collar, the controller offers an array of buttons, switches, and knobs. Allowing for direct contact proves to be mare useful for the average consumer, and the ability to set bath instant-radius and corner perimeters requires a portable interface that travels with the GPS so it makes sense to attach it to the actual collar for ease of use and portability. The collar module will be the same size as the battery holder for aesthetics. In Figure 2, the switch sizes and layouts are approximated but they should easily be able to fit into the space provided with extra room for the logo.


Figure 2

- Set Switch - Dperational switch that allows user to define whether they will be setting up a perimeter ar using an existing one. It switches between the Calibrate mode where the locations are set and the Qperate mode which puts the collar into aperation using the currently set location.
- The Lacation Knob is a user-selective ratary switch that choases between four lacations: Off, A, B, and Instant. Off specifies that there is no current perimeter so the dag is free to roam wherever. Off would prove useful for users only loaking far the pet interaction prevention. A and $B$ are perimeters put into the RAM using the Corner-Set input method. They are both over-writable, reconfigurable, and able to be stared even when the callar is turned off. The instant location refers to the last lacation set up using the Instant-Radius input methad.
- The Set Select switch allows the user to specify whether they will be updating perimeter using the Corner-Set or Instant-Radius input method. The Lacation Knob must be set accordingly as it specifies what location in memory will be over-written. The Set Select switch is only relevant when the Set Switch is set to Calibrate.
- The Radius Radius selects the radius size for an instant perimeter when the Set Select is put inta Instant.
- The Activate Button warks far both Set Select Mades. In Instant Mode, it activates a radius-based perimeter around the current location at whatever radius is currently selected by the Radius Knab. The Instant Location is then over-written. In Согпег Made, the Activate button selects each corner location into memory, in order, as a user walks around the outside of whatever perimeter they choose. As the user traverses the perimeter, they will press the button at each corner they reach. After four carners, the internal saftware will determine the GPS coordinates for the perimeter and over-write either A or B depending on which is currently selected by the Location Knob. While the user traverses the perimeter, warning made is enabled to let the user know that he/she is not yet finished entering the four corners.
- The I.D. switch allows the user to specify whether they would like to use the pet identification feature on the collar.


## Software Flow Lhart:

Figures 3.4, and 5. located in the appendices, are overall and detailed views of the software Hlowchart. The programming for the microcontroller follows these.

## Schematics

Figure G . located in the appendices, is a simple EAGLE implementation of the physical component outlay/PCB we plan on creating for the actual device imbedded in the pet's collar. Each component is reasonably small for portability. The only concern might arise when certain interconnections impede a certain placements of components, like the switches, that will alter the physical look of the physical interface.

Schematics of each module we have used including the transceiver, GPS and microcontroller are also included in the appendices.

## Verification

## Power:

We started our verification process by assembling our PСB. The first requirement we checked was the power module. We needed it to supply power to all the necessary parts. This means the 5 V and 3.3 V regulators need to be supply the correct voltages. We used a volt meter to measure the output voltage of the regulators and discovered them to be согrect.

## Microcontroller:

After insuring the components were powered up carrectly, we tested the microcontroller to insure it was working properly. We first verified that it could communicate with the switches as these were the easiest to communicate with. We ran a simple program that displayed the logic levels of the switches on the serial monitor while it was connected to the computer. We verified that all the switches were giving us the intended data. This also told us the micro was programmable. We verified the microcontroller's ability to communicate with the transceiver and GPS modules while verifying those modules themselves.

GPS:
The next section we verified was the GPS as this was our mast necessary component. We did this by running an example code included in the tinyБРS pragramming library that we were using. This program would ask for GPS data every second and display all possible data points on the serial monitar. We walked around the Engineering quad and saw that points were changing as we expected when we walked in known directions. As far as accuracy goes, without knowing what the exact GPS locations are, we have no way to prove that it is as accurate as stated so we will simply have to take the manufacturers word on it for the time being. Some of the data output from this test is included in the Appendices.

## Transceivers:

We then ran our test code for the transceivers. In order to do this, we assembled a ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ collar on a breadboard which would transmit a bad listed dag ID. Our main collar was running a program that first checked if any dag IDs had been received or nat. If an id was received, it was compared to the bad list of IDs and then it displayed the results of the serial monitor. Using this test, we were able to get the communication distance down to less than 4 meters. If necessary, we could do some antenna shielding in order to reduce this more but for our purposes, we considered this good.

## Dverall Project:

Atter verifying that each module of our project was working as expected, we then tested the project as a whole. At this point we could verify the physical interface was working as intended by selecting different combinations of calibrate/operate, 4 corner/instant radius, and ID detection on/off and seeing what subroutine the program called. Knowing this was working, we took the project outside and verified the instant radius was working. We set our radius to 10 meters and started walking until the collar displayed that it was warning or shocking. We estimate that we were approximately 10 meters away when this happened. We then tried the same test for 5 meters and 25 meters and the distance we needed to travel decreased ar increased as expected. We then verified the 4 corner setting was working by setting our 4 corners to be the carners of the engineering quad. We then walked around inside to verify the dog wouldn't be shocked inside. After that we stepped outside and quad and were immediately warned that we were outside the boundary. The last subsection to test was the ID detection. We again grabbed our second collar that transmits a bad listed
dog ID. We moved it in and out of range of our main collar and found that our collar would recognize when the second collar was too clase.

## PartStatistics:

| : :Unit:: | :-Relevant Statistics:: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Venus 638FLPx GPS | 20 Hz refresh rate $960 \mathrm{bits} /$ second 2.5 m ассигасу Separate Tx and Rx I/D Iptional Internal Flash $1.15 " \mathrm{x} 0.7^{\prime \prime}$ |
| CPS Antenna | VSWR < 2 <br> 2 ZdB amplifier gain 83 dB antenna gain Roughly l" x I" antenna with ab" cable |
| nRF24LDI+ Transceiver | Built-in antenna <br> 2400-2525 MHz (125 selectable channels) <br> Separate MISD and MOSI I/D <br> Data MISL/MDSI select, chip enable, clock, and interrupt <br> On baard regulatar (3.3-7V) <br> 32 Byte separate FIFD for Tx and Rx <br> 0.8" x 0. 7" $^{\prime \prime}$ |
| ATmega328P Micracontroller | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Speed : Up to } 2 \mathrm{MHz} \\ 6 \text { Analog } / / \square \\ \text { \|S Digital I/D } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Magnetic Buzzer (2400 Hz) | 0.46"Diameter x 0.35 "Height |
| Piezo Buzzer ( 40 ( Hz ) | 0.54"Diameter x 0.27"Height |
| AAA Battery Holder | Holds 4 Alkaline AAA Batteries $2.5 " \times 1.9^{\prime \prime} \times 0.5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Physical Interface | 2.5"x 1.9"x TBD" |

## Cost/Labor

Labor:

| Name | Hourly Rate | Tatal Hours | Total $=2.5$ * HR * TH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jacob Hardy | \$40.0] | 200 | \$20, 0 [.]. |
| Joshua Passwater | \$40.00 | 200 | \$20, 0 [1. 0 |
| Total |  | 40. | \$40, 0 [1.00 |

Total Hours = 20 hours/week * 10 weeks

Parts:

| Part | Price/Part | Quantity | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dog Callar | \$10.0] | 2 | \$20.00 |
| Venus GPS : GPS-11058 | \$49.95 | 1 | \$49.95 |
| GPS Antenna | \$11. 45 | 1 | \$11.45 |
| Transceiver nRF24LDI+ | \$19.95 | 4 | \$79.80 |
| Barebones Arduino Kit | \$14.95 | 2 | \$29.90 |
| AAA Battery Holder | \$1.45 | 2 | \$2.90 |
| Button Single-Throw | \$0.29 | 10 | \$2.90 |
| Slide Switch | \$ 0.39 | 4 | \$1.56 |
| Dip Switch | \$ 0.85 | 4 | \$3.40 |
| LED - Yellow | \$0.15 | 10 | \$1.50 |
| LED - Red | \$0.12 | 10 | \$1.20 |
| Buzzer - Magnetic | \$1.49 | 2 | \$2.98 |
| Buzzer - Piezo | \$1.25 | 2 | \$2.50 |
| 5 V Regulatars | \$1.45 | 4 | \$5.80 |
| Tupperware (Enclosure) | \$5. 98 | 1 | \$5. 39 |
| РСВ | \$0 | 1 | \$ |
| Shipping (SaFar) | \$30.64 | N/A | \$30.64 |
| Total |  |  | \$252. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
|  | Labor | \$4., |  |
|  | Parts | \$252 |  |
|  | Total | \$40,2 |  |

For Dne Callar:

| Part | Price/Part | Quantity | Cast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dog Eallar | \$10.00 | 1 | \$10.00 |
| Venus LPS : GPS-III58 | \$49.95 | 1 | \$49.35 |
| GPS Antema | \$11. 45 | 1 | \$11. 95 |
| Transceiver nRF24LIL+ | \$19.95 | 1 | \$19.95 |
| Barebones Arduina Kit | \$4.95 | , | \$14.95 |
| aAA Battery Holder | \$1.45 | 1 | \$1.45 |
| Button Single-Throw | \$0.29 | 1 | \$0.29 |
| Slide Switch | \$0.39 | 3 | \$1.17 |
| Dip Switch | \$0.85 | 2 | \$1.70 |
| LED - Yellow | \$0.15 | 1 | \$0.15 |
| LED - Red | \$0.12 | 1 | \$0.12 |
| Buzzer - Magnetic | \$1.49 | 1 | \$1.49 |
| Buzzer - Piezo | \$1.25 | 1 | \$1.25 |
| 5 V Regulators | \$1.45 | 1 | \$1.45 |
| Tupperware (Enclosure) | \$5. 98 | 1 | \$5.93 |
| PCB | \$10 est. | 1 | \$10 est. |
| Total |  |  | \$131.86 |

Considering bulk part purchases and the redundancies of various components listed above. we estimate that a collar's parts alone would cost roughly \$50.

## Conclusion

## Accomp/ishments:

We were able to meet all of our requirements we put forward during our design review including GPS barrier using instant radius or four corner method and ID detection. We have a finished project that is operating as intended and user friendly. The ID detection currently works at a range of slightly less than 4 meters and is able to detected dog IDs and verify if the dog is bad listed or not.

## Uncertainties:

Most of our uncertainties lie in the GPS madule. Without knowing the exact lacations of a certain area, we have no idea if our GPS is actually accurate to the amount of 2.5 meters that is stated. This could mean that saved locations drift slightly and dags could end up traveling out of the wanted boundary or be shacked while in the current boundary. We also could run into problems where this accuracy isn't close enough for smaller areas such as less than IV meters. The only other uncertainty at this moment is that the transceiver might be detecting at too far of a range. This could easily be fixed by shielding the antenna to reduce transmission power and receiving abilities.

## Future Work/Alternatives:

Some possibilities for future work and improvements are as follows:

- Location known and retrieval of lost pet through added communication with possible host station
- Jumping detection
- Barking detection
- Different punishment mechanism such as spray
- User community with uploadable, shareable perimeters such as local parks
- Rechargeable battery

We would also like to figure out the accuracy of our gps and the effect of interference from poor visibility cause by buildings and trees. The physical appearance of our project could be upgraded greatly with some design work in that area but it was not as important as getting the project working in this amount of time. We would also look into some higher quality PCBs and parts.

## Ethica/Lonsiderations - IEEE Code of Ethics

1. To accept responsibility in making decisions consistent with the safety, health, and welfare of the public, and to disclose promptly factors that might endanger the public ar the environment.

Dne ethical concern that arrives from the onset of our ргојеct is that it is essentially a "punishment device". Its sole intention is to cause harm to an animal, and while that sounds harsh, it is far the goad of all parties invalved. We ourselves will not be implementing the means of punishment, but current shocking devices have been approved by the CVM (Center for Veterinary Medicine, part of the FDA) as a humane alternative training measure. Certain shocking regulations are in place that ensure the safety of the pets invalved. The device itself (with shocking or not) will be entirely safe for use with mo infractions to the safety, health, or welfare of the general public or their assuciated pets.
2. To avaid rea/ ar perceived conflicts afinterest whene ver possible, and to discluse them to affected parties when theydo exist.
There might be some conflicts of interest with certain animal rights activists that do not approve of this method of pet training. One of America's greatest rights is that to protest, by boycott or otherwise, and it is understaod on our part if peaple choose nat to purchase our product. Dur product will be entirely safe and all safety issues, if any arise, will be addressed to the general public.
3. To be honest and realistic in stating claims ar estimates based on available data.

All of our stated claims and estimates are based entirely out of factual component data As the product nears completion, estimates will be updated to exact statistical and experimental models. No false claims will be made.
4. To reject bribery in all its forms.

While no bribes have been offered as of yet, we swear to reject all briberies in all of their forms.
5. To imprave the understanding of technology, its appropriate application, and potential consequences.
We are proud of the work we achieve as we strive for the best product we can create. Using other subsidiaries' products to achieve that goal requires a certain understanding of each barrowed component and we swear to fully justify the use of every piece of technology. Understanding each component is the first step in assembly and we will knowingly take the time to fully understand each.

[^0]We will make sure our technical knowledge not only applies, but is also up to the modern standard in all tasks undertaken. This applies to everything we do ourselves and for all other projects upon which our assistance is requested.
7. To seek, accept, and offer honest criticism of technical work, to acknowledge and correct errars, and to credit properly the contributions of others.
We will openly accept, acknowledge, and correct for all honest criticms. All cantributions will be hanared.
8. To treat fairly all persons regardless of such factars as race, religion, gender, disability, age, or national origin.
9. To avoid injuring others, their property, reputation, or employment by false ar malicious action.
10. To assist colleagues and co-workers in their professional development and to support them in following this cade of ethics.
We promise to follow these three codes without question as they are already embedded in our very personalities.

We also realize that there may be ethical dilemmas that extend outside of the reach of the IEEE Code of Ethics. Whenever they arise we will properly consult an apprapriate authority, and with accordance to IEEE Ethics Code 7, we will accept honest criticism and advice leading to a solution.

Approval from the لاIIUC Institutional Review Board for animal testing is not yet confirmed, but should be shortly.

## Selected Resources
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http://www.jameco.com
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http://www.ieee.org/about/corporate/governance/p7-8.html


[^0]:    6. To maintain and improve our technical competence and to undertake technological tasks for athers only ifqualified by training ar experience, ar after full disclusure afpertinent limitations.
