# **Distributed Systems**

### CS425/ECE428

March 29 2023

Instructor: Radhika Mittal

Acknowledgements for the materials: Indy Gupta and Nikita Borisov

# Logistics

- My OH on Monday will be replaced by Jiangran's (over Zoom, but at the same time).
- Regarding HW4
  - We fixed some typos in the Q2 yesterday morning.
  - You should be able to solve all questions upto 2(f) already.
  - You should be able solve 2(g) after today's class, and Q3 after coming Monday's class.

# Agenda for today

- Transaction Processing and Concurrency Control
  - Chapter 16
    - Transaction semantics: ACID
    - Isolation and serial equivalence
    - Conflicting operations
    - Two-phase locking
    - Deadlocks
    - Timestamped ordering
- Distributed Transactions (if time)

# Transaction Properties: ACID

- Atomic: all-or-nothing
  - Transaction either executes completely or not at all
- Consistent: rules maintained
- Isolation: multiple transactions do not interfere with each other
  - Equivalent to running transactions in isolation
- Durability: values preserved even after crashes

# Isolation

How to prevent transactions from affecting each other?

- Execute them serially at the server (one at a time).
  - e.g. through a global lock.
  - But this reduces number of concurrent transactions

Goal: increase concurrency and transaction throughput while maintaining correctness (ACID).

# Concurrency Control: Two approaches

- Pessimistic: assume the worst, prevent transactions from accessing the same object
  - E.g., Locking
- Optimistic: assume the best, allow transactions to write, but check later
  - E.g., Check at commit time

# Pessimistic: Locking

- Grabbing a global lock is wasteful
  - what if no two transactions access the same object?
- Each object has a lock
  - can further improve concurrency.
  - reads on the same object are non-conflicting.
- Per-object read-write locks.
  - Read mode: multiple transactions allowed in
  - Write mode: exclusive lock

# Guaranteeing Serial Equivalence with Locks

- Two-phase locking
  - A transaction cannot acquire (or promote) any locks after it has started releasing locks
  - Transaction has two phases
    - I. Growing phase: only acquires or promotes locks
    - 2. Shrinking phase: only releases locks
      - Strict two phase locking: releases locks only at commit point

# Can lead to Deadlocks!

#### Transaction TI

read\_lock(x)
x = getSeats(ABC123);

if(x > 1)

```
\times = \times - |;
```

write\_lock(x) Blocked!
write(x, ABC123);

commit

# read\_lock(x) x = getSeats(ABC123);if(x > |)x = x - 1; write\_lock(x) Blocked! write(x, ABC123);

Transaction T2

Deadlock!



commit

# When do deadlocks occur?

- 3 <u>necessary</u> conditions for a deadlock to occur
  - I. Some objects are accessed in exclusive lock modes
  - 2. Transactions holding locks are not preempted
  - 3. There is a circular wait (cycle) in the Wait-for graph
- "Necessary" = if there's a deadlock, these conditions are all definitely true
- (Conditions not sufficient: if they're present, it doesn't imply a deadlock is present.)

# Combating Deadlocks

- I. Lock all objects in the beginning in a single atomic step.
  - no circular wait-for graph created (3<sup>rd</sup> deadlock condition breaks)
  - may not know of all operations a priori.
- 2. Lock timeout: abort transaction if lock cannot be acquired within timeout
  - (2<sup>nd</sup> deadlock condition breaks)
  - Expensive; leads to wasted work
  - How to determine the timeout value?
    - Too large: long delays
    - Too small: false positives.
- 3. Deadlock Detection:
  - keep track of Wait-for graph, and find cycles in it (e.g., periodically)
  - If find cycle, there's a deadlock

 $\Rightarrow$ Abort one or more transactions to break cycle (2<sup>nd</sup> deadlock condition breaks)

# Concurrency Control: Two approaches

- Pessimistic: assume the worst, prevent transactions from accessing the same object
  - E.g., Locking
- Optimistic: assume the best, allow transactions to write, but check later
  - E.g., Check at commit time

# **Optimistic Concurrency Control**

- Increases concurrency more than pessimistic concurrency control
- Used in Dropbox, Google apps, Wikipedia, key-value stores like Cassandra, Riak, and Amazon's Dynamo
- Preferable than pessimistic when conflicts are expected to be rare
  - But still need to ensure conflicts are caught!

# First cut approach

- Most basic approach
  - Write and read objects at will
  - Check for serial equivalence at commit time
  - If abort, roll back updates made
  - An abort may result in other transactions that read dirty data, also being aborted
    - Any transactions that read from *those* transactions also now need to be aborted
    - $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}$  Cascading aborts

#### TI: times TZ: times

# Timestamped ordering

- Assign each transaction an id
- Transaction id determines its position in serialization order.
- Ensure that for a transaction T, both are true:
  - I. T's write to object O allowed only if transactions that have read or written O had lower ids than T.
  - 2. T's read to object O is allowed only if O was last written by a transaction with a lower id than T.
- Implemented by maintaining read and write timestamps for the object
- If rule violated, abort!
- Never results in a deadlock! Older transaction never waits on newer ones.

# Timestamped ordering: per-object state

- Committed value.
- Transaction id (timestamp) that wrote the committed value.
- Read timestamps (RTS): List of transaction ids (timestamps) that have read the committed value.
- Tentative writes (TW): List of tentative writes sorted by the corresponding transaction ids (timestamps).
  - Timestamped versions of the object.

$$A: [T_1], [T_2]$$

 $\tau(\omega A > 3)$ 

# Timestamped ordering rules

Rule	T <sub>c</sub>	$T_i$	
1.	write	read	$T_c$ must not write an object that has been read by any $T_i$ where $T_i > T_c$ This requires that $T_c \ge$ the maximum read timestamp of the object.
2.	write	write	$T_c$ must not write an object that has been written by any $T_i$ where $T_i > T_c$ This requires that $T_c >$ write timestamp of the committed object.
3.	read	write	$T_c$ must not <i>read</i> an object that has been <i>written</i> by any $T_i$ where $T_i > T_c$ This requires that $T_c$ > write timestamp of the committed object.

# Timestamped ordering: write rule $\tau$

3

RTS: T2, T2

Transaction T<sub>c</sub> requests a write operation on object D if (Tc ≥ max. read timestamp on D && Tc > write timestamp on committed version of D) Perform a tentative write on D: If T<sub>c</sub> already has an entry in the TW list for D, update it. Else, add T<sub>c</sub> and its write value to the TW list.

else

abort transaction  $T_c$ 

*I/too late; a transaction with later timestamp has already read or written the object.* 

# Timestamped ordering: write rule



A)-2,5,6,7 (A): 6]

# Timestamped ordering: read rule

Transaction  $T_c$  requests a read operation on object D

 $\sim$  If (T<sub>c</sub> > write timestamp on committed version of D) {

 $\rm D_{s}$  = version of D with the maximum write timestamp that is  $\rm \leq T_{c}$ 

//search across the committed timestamp and the TW list for object D.

if ( $D_s$  is committed)

read  $D_s$  and add  $T_c$  to RTS list (if not already added)

else

if  $D_s$  was written by  $T_c$ , simply read  $D_s$ 

else

wait until the transaction that wrote  $D_s$  is committed or aborted, and reapply the read rule.

// if the transaction is committed,  $T_c$  will read its value after the wait. // if the transaction is aborted,  $T_c$  will read the value from an older transaction.

} else

 $\checkmark$  abort transaction  $T_c$ 

*I/too late; a transaction with later timestamp has already written the object.* 

# Timestamped ordering: read rule



# Timestamped ordering: committing



- Suppose  $T_4$  is ready to commit.
- Must wait until  $T_3$  commits or aborts.
- When a transaction is committed, the committed value of the object and associated timestamp are updated, and the corresponding write is removed from TW list.



#### Transaction TI

```
x = getSeats(ABC123);
if(x > 1)
x = x - 1;
write(x, ABC123);
```

commit

**Transaction T2** x = getSeats(ABC123);if(x > |)x = x - |: write(x, ABC123); commit

ABC123: state committed value = 10 committed timestamp = 0 RTS: TW:

#### Transaction TI

```
x = getSeats(ABC123);
if(x > 1)
x = x - 1;
write(x, ABC123);
```

commit

**Transaction T2** x = getSeats(ABC123);if(x > |)x = x - 1; write(x, ABC123); commit

ABC123: state committed value = 10 committed timestamp = 0 RTS: 1 TW:

#### **Transaction T2** Transaction TI x = getSeats(ABC123);x = getSeats(ABC123);if(x > |)if(x > |) $\times = \times - |;$ write(x, ABC123); x = x - 1; write(x, ABC123); commit commit

ABC123: state committed value = 10 committed timestamp = 0 RTS: 1, 2 TW:

# $\frac{\text{Transaction TI}}{x = \text{getSeats}(ABC123)};$ if(x > 1)

x = x - 1;write(x, ABC123);

commit

**Transaction T2** x = getSeats(ABC123);if(x > |)x = x - |: write(x, ABC123); commit

ABC123: state committed value = 10 committed timestamp = 0 RTS: 1, 2 TW:

Abort!

Transaction TI	Transaction T2	ABC123: state committed value = 10 committed timestamp = 0
x = getSeats(ABCI23); y = getSeats(ABC789); write(x-5, ABCI23);		RTS: TW:
write(y+5, ABC789);	x = getSeats(ABC123); y = getSeats(ABC789);	       
commit	print(''Total:'' x+y);	ABC789: state committed value = 5 committed timestamp = 0
	commit	TW:

ABC123: state Transaction TI **Transaction T2** committed value = 10committed timestamp = 0RTS: x = getSeats(ABC123);TW: y = getSeats(ABC789);write(x-5, ABC123); x = getSeats(ABC123);y = getSeats(ABC789);write(y+5, ABC789);ABC789: state print(''Total:''x+y);committed value = 5committed timestamp = 0commit RTS: commit TW:

Transaction TIx = getSeats(ABC123);<br/>y = getSeats(ABC789);<br/>write(x-5, ABC123);x = g<br/>y = getSeats(ABC789);write(y+5, ABC789);print(

commit

**Transaction T2** x = getSeats(ABC123);y = getSeats(ABC789);print(''Total:''x+y);commit

ABC123: state committed value = 10committed timestamp = 0RTS: TW: ABC789: state committed value = 5committed timestamp = 0RTS: TW:

ABC123: state Transaction TI **Transaction T2** committed value = 10committed timestamp = 0RTS: x = getSeats(ABC123);TW: y = getSeats(ABC789);write(x-5, ABC123); x = getSeats(ABC123);y = getSeats(ABC789);write(y+5, ABC789);ABC789: state print(''Total:''x+y);committed value = 5committed timestamp = 0commit RTS: commit TW:

ABC123: state Transaction TI **Transaction T2** committed value = 10committed timestamp = 0RTS: x = getSeats(ABC123);TW: y = getSeats(ABC789);write(x-5, ABC123); x = getSeats(ABC123);y = getSeats(ABC789);write(y+5, ABC789);ABC789: state print(''Total:''x+y);committed value = 5committed timestamp = 0commit RTS: commit TW:

ABC123: state committed value = 10Transaction TI **Transaction T2** committed timestamp = 0RTS: x = getSeats(ABC|23);TW: y = getSeats(ABC789);write(x-5, ABC123); x = getSeats(ABC123);y = getSeats(ABC789);write(y+5, ABC789);ABC789: state print(''Total:''x+y);committed value = 5committed timestamp = 0commit RTS: commit TW:

#### Transaction TI

x = getSeats(ABC123); y = getSeats(ABC789); write(x-5, ABC123);

write(y+5, ABC789);

commit

Transaction T2

x = getSeats(ABC123); y = getSeats(ABC789);

print(''Total:'' x+y);

commit

ABC123: state committed value = 10 committed timestamp = 0 RTS: 1 TW: (5, 1)

ABC789: state committed value = 5 committed timestamp = 0 RTS: I TW:

#### Transaction TI

x = getSeats(ABC123); y = getSeats(ABC789); write(x-5, ABC123);

```
write(y+5, ABC789);
```

commit

```
Transaction T2
```

x = getSeats(ABCI23); y = getSeats(ABC789);

print(''Total:'' x+y);

commit

ABC123: state committed value = 10 committed timestamp = 0 RTS: 1 TW: (5, 1)

ABC789: state committed value = 5 committed timestamp = 0 RTS: I TW:

ABC123: state Transaction TI **Transaction T2** committed value = 10committed timestamp = 0RTS: x = getSeats(ABC|23);TW: (5, 1) y = getSeats(ABC789);write(x-5, ABC123); x = getSeats(ABCI23); waity = getSeats(ABC789);write(y+5, ABC789);ABC789: state print(''Total:''x+y);committed value = 5committed timestamp = 0commit RTS: commit TW:

ABC123: state Transaction TI **Transaction T2** committed value = 10committed timestamp = 0RTS: x = getSeats(ABC|23);TW: (5, 1) y = getSeats(ABC789);write(x-5, ABC123); x = getSeats(ABCI23); waity = getSeats(ABC789);write(y+5, ABC789);ABC789: state print(''Total:''x+y);committed value = 5committed timestamp = 0commit RTS: commit TW:



ABC123: state

committed value = 10Transaction TI **Transaction T2** committed timestamp = 0RTS: x = getSeats(ABC|23);TW: (5, 1) y = getSeats(ABC789);write(x-5, ABC123); x = getSeats(ABCI23); waity = getSeats(ABC789);write(y+5, ABC789);ABC789: state print(''Total:'' x+y);committed value = 5committed timestamp = 0commit RTS: commit TW: (10, 1)

commit

**Transaction T2** x = getSeats(ABCI23); waity = getSeats(ABC789);print(''Total:'' x+y);commit

ABC123: state committed value =  $\frac{10.5}{1000}$ committed timestamp =  $\frac{0.1}{1000}$ RTS: 1 TW:  $\frac{(5, 1)}{1000}$ 

ABC789: state committed value = 5-10 committed timestamp = 0-1 RTS: 1 TW: (10, 1)

ABC123: state Transaction TI **Transaction T2** committed value =  $\frac{10-5}{10}$ committed timestamp =  $\theta$ RTS: x = getSeats(ABC|23);TW: <del>(5, 1)</del> y = getSeats(ABC789);write(x-5, ABC123); x = getSeats(ABCI23); waity = getSeats(ABC789);write(y+5, ABC789);ABC789: state print(''Total:'' x+y);committed value =  $\frac{5}{10}$ committed timestamp = -0commit RTS: commit TW: (10, 1)T2 then proceeds after T1

commits

# Concurrency Control: Summary

- How to prevent transactions from affecting one another?
- Goal: increase concurrency and transaction throughput while maintaining correctness (ACID).
- Target serial equivalence.
- Two approaches:
  - Pessimistic concurrency control: locking based.
    - read-write locks with two-phase locking and deadlock detection.
  - Optimistic concurrency control: abort if too late.
    - timestamped ordering.