University of Illinois

Problem Set #9: Solutions Page 1 of 2

$$\begin{array}{c} +1 & +1 \\ 1.(a) & E[\mathbf{Y}] = E[\mathbf{X}^2] = \begin{array}{c} +1 & +1 \\ u^2(1/2)du = 1/3. & E[\mathbf{Y}^2] = E[\mathbf{X}^4] = \begin{array}{c} u^4(1/2)du = 1/5. \\ -1 & -1 \\ \text{Hence, var}(\mathbf{Y}) = E[\mathbf{Y}^2] - (E[\mathbf{Y}])^2 = (1/5) - (1/3)^2 = 4/45. \\ 0 & 1 \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathbf{b}) & E[\mathbf{Z}] = \begin{array}{c} g(u)f(u)du = \begin{array}{c} -u^2(1/2)du + u^2(1/2)du = -1/3 + 1/3 = 0. \\ -1 & 0 \end{array}$$

2.(a) Obviously
$$P\{Y = \} = P\{Y = -\} = 1/2$$
.

(1.29-1) = 0.29. $(1.29-1)^2 = 0.0841$. $(/4-1) = -0.214..., (/4-1)^2 = 0.046...$ $(-/4-(-1)) = -0.214..., (-/4-(-1))^2 = 0.046...$ Note that the error for +**X** is the same as that for -**X**. **(b)**

(c)
$$E[\mathbf{Z}] = (u -)^2 f(u) du + (u +)^2 f(u) du = (u^2 + {}^2) f(u) du - 4 u f(u) du = 1 + {}^2 - 4 \sqrt{2} on$$

expanding out the quadratics, changing variables, and using the fact that
$$E[X^2] = 2 + \mu^2 = 1$$
. Note that uf(u) is a perfect integral. It is easy to show that $E[Z]$ has minimum value 1-2/ at $=\sqrt{2/2}$.

(d) From tables of (•), we get
$$P\{W = -3\} = P\{W = +3\} = (-2.5) = 0.0062$$
,
 $P\{W = 0\} = (0.5) - (-0.5) = 0.3830$, $P\{W = -1\} = P\{W = +1\} = (1.5) - (0.5) = 0.2417$, and
 $P\{W = -2\} = P\{W = +2\} = (2.5) - (1.5) = 0.0606$.
(e) $P\{Z_{12} = 1\} = P\{W < 0\} = 0.3085$.

(e)
$$P\{Z_2 = 1\} = P\{W < 0\} = 0.3085.$$

 $P\{Z_1 = 1\} = P\{W = 2\} + P\{W = 3\} + P\{W = -1\} + P\{W = -2\} = 0.3691$
 $P\{Z_0 = 1\} = P\{W = 2\} + P\{W = 0\} + P\{W = -2\} = 0.5042$ $P\{Z_0 = 0\}$

3.(a) From the figure shown below, we see that the pdf is symmetric about u = 0. Hence, we get that ln 2

$$P\{\mathbf{X} \quad \ln 2\} = \frac{1}{2} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}exp(-u) \, du}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} + \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}exp(-u) & \ln 2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}exp(-u) & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\{\mathbf{X} \quad \ln 2\} = \frac{1}{2} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}exp(-u) \, du}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}exp(-u) \, du}_{0} = \frac{1}{4} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}exp(-u) \, du}$$

(b)
$$P\{|\mathbf{X}| \quad \ln 2 \mid \mathbf{X} \quad \ln 2\} = \frac{P\{|\mathbf{X}| \quad \ln 2\}}{P\{\mathbf{X} \quad \ln 2\}} = \frac{P\{|\mathbf{X}| \quad \ln 2\}}{P\{\mathbf{X} \quad \ln 2\}} = \frac{P\{|\mathbf{X}| \quad \ln 2\}}{3/4} = \frac{1/2}{3/4} = \frac{1}{3/4} = \frac{1}{3/4} = \frac{1}{3}$$



The minimum value of \mathbf{I} is -1. $F_{\mathbf{I}}(b) = P\{\mathbf{I} \ b\} = 0$ if b < -1. (c) For b -1, $F_{I}(b) = P\{I \ b\} = P\{e^{V} - 1 \ b\} = P\{V \ ln(b+1)\} = F_{V}(ln(b+1))$. But,

$$F_{\mathbf{V}}(a) = \begin{array}{ccc} e^{a/2}, & a & 0, \\ 1 & -e^{-a/2}, & a & 0. \end{array} \quad \text{Thus, } F_{\mathbf{I}}(b) = \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{b+1}{2} & -1 & b & 0, \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2(b+1)}, & b & > 0, \end{array}$$

and $f_{\mathbf{I}}(b) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad b > 0.$

Note that the pdf has constant value 1/2 in the range -1 b 0.

4. Since X is discrete, so is Y. Since X takes on integer values, we have that $0, ext{ if } \mathbf{X} ext{ is even,}$ $\mathbf{Y} = \sin(\mathbf{X}/2) = +1,$ if $\mathbf{X} = 4k+1,$ k = 0, 1, ...-1, if $\mathbf{X} = 4k+3,$ k = 0, 1, ...

University of Illinois

and hence,
$$p_{\mathbf{Y}}(0) = P\{\mathbf{X} \text{ is even}\} = \Pr\{\mathbf{X} = 2k\} = \binom{1/2}{k=1}^{2k} = (1/2)^{2k} = (1/4) \binom{1/4}{k} = 1/3$$

$$p_{\mathbf{Y}}(1) = \Pr\{\mathbf{X} = 4k+1\} = \binom{1/2}{k=0}^{4k+1} = (1/2) \binom{1/16}{k} = 8/15$$

$$p_{\mathbf{Y}}(-1) = \Pr\{\mathbf{X} = 4k+3\} = \binom{1/2}{k=0}^{4k+3} = (1/2)^{4k+3} = (1/8) \binom{1/16}{k} = 2/15$$
5.(a) Since **X** takes on values between 0 and 1, so does **Y** and thus = 0 and = 1.
Obviously, $P\{\mathbf{Y} = -1\} = P\{\mathbf{Y} = -1\} = 0$ and $P\{\mathbf{Y} > 2\} = P\{\mathbf{Y} > 2\} = 0.$
(b) Let 0 v 1. Then, $F_{\mathbf{Y}}(v) = P\{\mathbf{Y} = v\} = P\{(1 - \mathbf{X})^2 = v\} = P\{-\sqrt{v} = 1 - \mathbf{X} = \sqrt{v}\} = P\{\mathbf{X} = 1 - \sqrt{v}\}$

$$= 1 - F_{\mathbf{X}}(1 - \sqrt{v}) = (1 - (1 - \sqrt{v}))^2 = v \text{ where we used the result that } F_{\mathbf{X}}(u) = 1 - (1 - u)^2.$$
Hence, $F_{\mathbf{Y}}(v) = v$, $0 = v = 1$,
(c) A sketch of the function $F_{\mathbf{Y}}(v)$ reveals that it is a nondecreasing continuous function. It is not differentiable at $= 0$ or at $= 1$.
(d) Yes, we get the same values for $P\{\mathbf{Y} = -1\}$ and $P\{\mathbf{Y} > 2\}$ as in part (a).

= P{4 $\mathbf{R}^3/3$ u} = P{ $\mathbf{R}^3\sqrt{3u/4}$ } = F_{**R**}($\sqrt[3]{3u/4}$) = 3u/4 since F_{**R**}() = ³ for 0 < <1. Hence, f_{**V**}(u) is uniform on (0, 4 /3).

- (b) The electrical charge is uniformly distributed on the surface of the sphere. The surface charge density is $\mathbf{S} = Q/4 \ \mathbf{R}^2 > Q/4$. For x > Q/4, $F_{\mathbf{S}}(x) = P\{\mathbf{S} \ x\} = P\{Q/4 \ \mathbf{R}^2 \ x\} = P\{1 > \mathbf{R} \ \sqrt{Q/4} \ x\} = 1 - (Q/4 \ x)^{1.5}$. Hence, $f_{\mathbf{S}}(x) = (3/2x)(Q/4 \ x)^{1.5}$ for x > Q/4, and 0 otherwise.
- 7.(a) $E[\mathbf{X}] = \bigcup_{0}^{u} f(u) \, du = \bigcup_{0}^{u} (bu) \cdot \exp(-bu^2/2) \, du = \sqrt{2/b} \sqrt{v} \cdot \exp(-v) \, dv$ on setting $bu^2/2 = v$. The integral is $(3/2) = (1/2) (1/2) = \sqrt{-4}$ and hence $E[\mathbf{X}] = \sqrt{2/b} \sqrt{-4} = \sqrt{-2} \sqrt{1/b}$.
- (b) $E[\mathbf{X}] = \bigcap_{0} P\{\mathbf{X} > t\} dt = \inf_{0} \exp(-bt^2/2) dt$. But, we know (we do? how on earth did I ever get that idea?) that $\bigcap_{0} (\sqrt{b/2}) \cdot \exp(-bt^2/2) dt = 1/2$ and hence $E[\mathbf{X}] = \sqrt{-/2}\sqrt{1/b}$ just as in part (a).
- (c) The median lifetime is T satisfying $P\{X > T\} = exp(-bT^2/2) = 1/2$. This gives $T = \sqrt{2} \ln 2\sqrt{1/b}$. Since 2 ln 2 < /2, the median is smaller than the mean. The mode of the pdf is easily found to be at $\sqrt{1/b}$ and is the smallest of the three central measures.
- (d) $\frac{d}{db}(bt)\exp(-bt^2/2) = t \cdot \exp(-bt^2/2) bt \cdot \exp(-bt^2/2) \cdot t^2/2$ is zero for $b = \sqrt{2}/t$. Thus, if we observe that $\mathbf{X} = t$, the maximum-likelihood estimate of b is $\sqrt{2}/t$. Reality check: If t is large, we estimate the value of b to be quite small. This makes sense. If the system lasted for a long time, its hazard rate can be expected to be small, and the hazard rate is proportional to b.