

## Homework 4 (due Dec 4 Monday 10am)

**Instructions:** see previous homework. This homework is shorter and is worth half the weight.

1. [22 pts] We are given an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges, where each edge  $e$  has a *time interval*  $[a(e), b(e)]$  indicating when  $e$  is “alive”. Assume that  $a(e), b(e) \in \{1, \dots, 2m\}$  and the numbers are all distinct. We want to determine all time values  $t \in \{1, \dots, 2m\}$  for which the subgraph  $G_t = (V, \{e \in E : t \in [a(e), b(e)]\})$  (consisting of all edges that are alive at time  $t$ ) is connected.
  - (a) [5 pts] Using a data structure from class, show that the problem can be solved in  $O(m \log^2 n)$  time.
  - (b) [17 pts] Next, describe a direct algorithm that solves the problem in  $O(m \log n)$  time.  
Hint: use divide-and-conquer on the time intervals, similar to segment trees. If an edge  $e = uv$ ’s time interval  $[a(e), b(e)]$  is “long”, we can *contract*  $e$ , i.e., collapse its two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  into a single vertex. . .
  
2. [28 pts] We want to maintain a dynamic set  $S$  of  $n$  intervals, subject to insertions and deletions, so that we can quickly compute the value  $c(S)$ , defined as the minimum number of intervals of  $S$  that cover  $[0, 1]$ .  
(For example, for  $S = \{[-0.3, 0.3], [-0.2, 0.05], [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.6], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 1.1], [0.8, 0.95]\}$ , we have  $c(S) = 4$  since the 4 intervals  $[-0.3, 0.3], [0.1, 0.6], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 1.1]$  cover  $[0, 1]$ . You may assume that all endpoints are distinct.)  
Define  $\text{succ}_S([a, b])$  to be the interval  $[a', b'] \in S$  that satisfies  $a' \leq b \leq b'$  while maximizing  $b'$ . It is known that the static problem can be solved by following greedy algorithm: let  $[a_0, b_0] = [0, 0]$ , and  $[a_i, b_i] = \text{succ}_S([a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}])$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ , till  $a_\ell \leq 1 \leq b_\ell$ . Then  $c(S) = \ell$ . (You do not need to prove correctness of this greedy algorithm, though the proof is not difficult.)
  - (a) [4 pts] First, show that for a static set  $P$  of  $n$  intervals, there is a data structure with  $\tilde{O}(n)$  preprocessing time and space, so that given any query interval  $[a, b]$  (not necessarily in  $P$ ), we can compute  $\text{succ}_P([a, b])$  in  $\tilde{O}(1)$  time. The  $\tilde{O}$  notation hides polylogarithmic (i.e.,  $\log^{O(1)} n$ ) factors.  
Hint: 1D range max.
  - (b) [16 pts] For a static set  $P$  of  $n$  intervals, give a data structure with  $\tilde{O}(n)$  preprocessing time and space, so that for any additional query set  $Q$  of  $q$  intervals, we can compute  $c(P \cup Q)$  in  $\tilde{O}(q)$  time.

Note: you may use the following fact: given any tree with  $n$  nodes (not necessarily balanced), we can build a static data structure with  $O(n)$  preprocessing time and space, so that given any node  $v$  and integer  $i$ , we can find the ancestor of  $v$  at level  $i$  (if exists) in  $O(1)$  time (this is known as a *level ancestor query*).

Hint: consider the tree/forest  $T = \{(I, \text{succ}_P(I)) : I \in P\}$ .

- (c) [8 pts] Now, consider a dynamic setting where the update sequence satisfies a *first-in first-out (FIFO)* assumption: namely, whenever  $I$  is inserted before  $I'$ , we are promised that  $I$  must be deleted before  $I'$ .

Using (a) and (b), give a dynamic data structure that supports insertions and deletions in  $S$  in  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$  amortized time and can compute  $c(S)$  in  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$  time, assuming FIFO updates.

Hint: do periodic rebuilding after every  $q$  updates.

Bonus [up to 5 pts]: obtain the same result in the general dynamic setting without the FIFO assumption (this might require a different approach).