

(Alice, Bob)

Recall : 2-player games.

Both players have n strategies/moves.

Representation : $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

Alice plays $i \in [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$

Bob " $j \in [n]$

payoffs are A_{ij} & B_{ij} respectively.

Set of randomized strategies $\Delta_n = \left\{ \bar{z} \in [0, 1]^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n z_i = 1 \right\}$

Alice plays $x \in \Delta_n$
 Bob " $y \in \Delta_n$

Payoffs are $x^T A y$ for Alice
 $x^T B y$ for Bob.

Nash Equilibrium : No unilateral deviation is beneficial.

(x, y) is NE iff

For Alice: $x^T A y \geq z^T A y, \forall z \in \Delta_n$

For Bob: $x^T B y \geq x^T B z, \forall z \in \Delta_n$

↓ characterization.

$\forall i \in [n],$
 $x_i > 0 \Rightarrow (A y)_i = \max_k (A y)_k \rightarrow \pi_A$
 $y_j > 0 \Rightarrow (x^T B)_j = \max_k (x^T B)_k \rightarrow \pi_B$

$\max_x : x^T (A+B) y - \pi_A - \pi_B$

$$\max: x^T(A+B)y - \pi_A - \pi_B$$

$$(Ay)_i \leq \pi_A, \quad \forall i \in [n]$$

$$(x^T B)_j \leq \pi_B, \quad \forall j \in [m]$$

$$x, y \in \Delta_m$$

If $B = -A$ then \uparrow is an LP.

Algorithm 1: Enumerative.

Observation: $(x^*, y^*) \in \text{NE of } (A, B)$

$$S_A = \text{Supp}(x^*) = \{i \in [n] \mid x_i^* > 0\}$$

$$S_B = \text{Supp}(y^*) = \{j \in [m] \mid y_j^* > 0\}$$

Linear Feasibility Formulation

LF(S_A, S_B)

$(Ay)_i \leq \pi_A$	$\forall i \in [n]$	\rightarrow ①
$(x^T B)_j \leq \pi_B$	$\forall j \in [m]$	\rightarrow ②
$x, y \in \Delta_m$		
$(Ay)_i = \pi_A$	$\forall i \in S_A$	\rightarrow ③
$x_i = 0$	$\forall i \notin S_A$	\rightarrow ④
$(x^T B)_j = \pi_B$	$\forall j \in S_B$	\rightarrow ⑤
$y_j = 0$	$\forall j \notin S_B$	\rightarrow ⑥

$$\pi_A = \max_K (Ay^*)_K \quad \pi_B = \max_K (x^* B)_K$$

Claim: $(x^*, y^*, \pi_A, \pi_B) \in \text{LF}(S_A, S_B)$

Lemma: $S_A, S_B \subseteq [n]$, $S_A, S_B \neq \emptyset$. if \dots (c. S_2) then

Lemma: $S_A, S_B \subseteq [n]$, $S_A \supseteq B^T y$.
 $\exists (x, y, \pi_A, \pi_B) \in LF(S_A, S_B)$ then
 (x, y) is a NE.

Pf: $\max_k (Ay)_k = \pi_A \rightarrow \textcircled{*} \quad \because \textcircled{1}$

$\forall i \in [n], x_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \in S_A \Rightarrow (Ay)_i = \pi_A$
 $\textcircled{2} \Rightarrow \textcircled{3}$

$\Rightarrow (Ay)_i \geq \max_{k \in [n]} (Ay)_k$

$\Rightarrow (Ay)_i = \max_{k \in [n]} (Ay)_k$

Algorithm: For every $S_A \subseteq [n], S_B \subseteq [n]$,
 $S_A, S_B \neq \emptyset$

check if $LF(S_A, S_B) \neq \emptyset$.

\rightarrow then o/p $(x, y, \pi_A, \pi_B) \in LF(S_A, S_B)$

Running time $2^n \times 2^n \text{ poly}(n) \rightarrow 2^n \times \text{poly}(n)$

NE computation in 2-player games is PPA-hard.

$\frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$ -NE " " $n^{O(\log n)}$
 $\checkmark O(1)$ -NE " " Quasi-poly.

ϵ -NE: (x, y) s.t. $A, B \in [0, 1]^{n \times n}$

$x^T A y \geq z^T A y - \epsilon$, $\forall z \in \Delta_n$

$\epsilon - \text{inv}$

$$\begin{matrix} \exists x^T A y \geq z^T A y \\ x^T B y \geq x^T B z \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} -\epsilon \\ -\epsilon \end{matrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} \forall z \in \Delta_n \\ \forall z \in \Delta_n \end{matrix}$$

(x, y) is NE (A, B)

$\rightarrow (x, y)$ is NE $(\alpha A, \beta B)$ $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$

(x, y) is NE $(\alpha A + \gamma, \beta B + \delta)$ $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$

$\epsilon = o(1)$

Aim for summation-time $n^{o(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2})} = n^{o(\log n)}$

[Rubinfeld] This is the best assuming ETH
for PPA

↓
Exponential Time Hypothesis

Algorithm 2: [Lipton-Markakis-Mehta '03]

$(A, B) \quad C = A + B$

Q: $\max_x: x^T C y - \pi_A - \pi_B$

$(A y)_i \leq \pi_A \quad \forall i \in [n]$

$(x^T B)_j \leq \pi_B \quad \forall j \in [n]$

$x, y \in \Delta_n$

Idea: Guess vector (y) at some NE

Idea: Guess vector (cy) at some NE
 say δ , replace in Q.P.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{LP}(\delta) \\
 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)
 \end{array}
 \left\{
 \begin{array}{l}
 \max: x^T \cdot \delta - \pi_1 - \pi_2 \\
 (Ay)_i \leq \pi_A \quad \forall i \in [m] \rightarrow \textcircled{1} \\
 (x^T B)_j \leq \pi_B \quad \forall j \in [m] \rightarrow \textcircled{2} \\
 \delta_i \leq (cy)_i \quad \forall i \in [m] \rightarrow \textcircled{3} \\
 x, y \in \Delta_m
 \end{array}
 \right.$$

Lemma 1: $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, OPT value $(\delta) \leq 0$

PF: (x, y, π_A, π_B) feasible in $\text{LP}(\delta)$ then

$$x^T A y \leq \pi_A \quad (\because \textcircled{1}) \quad x^T B y \leq \pi_B \quad (\because \textcircled{2})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow 0 &\geq x^T (A+B) y - \pi_A - \pi_B = x^T (cy) - \pi_A - \pi_B \\
 &\geq x^T \delta - \pi_A - \pi_B \quad (\because \textcircled{3})
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2: Suppose (x^*, y^*) is a NE.

$$\delta^* \text{ is s.t. } \delta_i^* \leq (cy^*)_i \quad \forall i \in [m]$$

$$| \delta_i^* - (cy^*)_i | < \epsilon \quad \forall i$$

then, OPT value $(\delta^*) \geq -\epsilon$

PF:

$$\pi_A^* = \max_k (Ay^*)_k, \quad \pi_B^* = \max_k (x^* B)_k \Rightarrow x^* A y^* = \pi_A^*, \quad x^* B y^* = \pi_B^*$$

$(x^*, y^*, \pi_A^*, \pi_B^*)$ is feasible in $\text{LP}(\delta^*)$

$(x^*, y^*, \pi_A^*, \pi_B^*)$ is feasible in $LP(\delta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Obj}(\delta) &= x^{*T} \cdot \delta - \pi_A^* - \pi_B^* \geq x^{*T} (cy - c) - \pi_A^* - \pi_B^* \\ &= x^{*T} cy - c - x^{*T} Ay^* - x^{*T} By^* \quad (\because x^*, y^* \text{ is NE}) \\ &= \cancel{x^{*T} cy} - c - \cancel{x^{*T} cy} \quad (\because Ay^* = By^* = c) \\ &= -c \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3: If opt value $(\delta) \geq -c \leftarrow$
 $(x, y, \pi_A, \pi_B) \in \text{OPT}$ of $LP(\delta)$, (x, y) is a NE.

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} &\Rightarrow x^T Ay \leq \pi_A \Rightarrow x^T Ay - \pi_A \leq 0 \\ \textcircled{2} &\Rightarrow x^T By \leq \pi_B \Rightarrow x^T By - \pi_B \leq 0 \end{aligned} \rightarrow \textcircled{*}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -c &\leq x^T \cdot \delta - \pi_A - \pi_B \quad (\because \textcircled{3}) \\ &= (x^T Ay - \pi_A) + (x^T By - \pi_B) \end{aligned} \rightarrow \textcircled{**}$$

$(*)$, $(**)$ $\Rightarrow x^T Ay - \pi_A \geq -c, x^T By - \pi_B \geq c$
 $\Rightarrow (x, y)$ is NE.

to guess $\delta^* \sim (cy^*)$ for (x^*, y^*) NE.

* How to guess $\hat{\sigma} \sim (C\hat{\sigma})$ NE.

$y \sim y^*$ Sample strategy $j \in [n]$

$S =$ set of K sampled strategies as per y^* w.p. y_j^*

S is a multiset of strategies.

$y =$ uniform distribution over S .

$$y_j = \frac{\# \text{ times } j \text{ appears in } S}{K}$$

* Focus on $i \in [n]:$ with what probability?

$$|(Cy)_i - (C\hat{y})_i| \leq ?$$

$C \in [0, 1]$

w.r.t. $(Cy^*)_i$

X_1, \dots, X_K

X_d takes value (C_{ij}) w.p. y_j^*

$$E[X_d] = (Cy^*)_i$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{K} [X_1 + \dots + X_K] = (Cy)_i$$

$$E[\bar{X}] = (Cy^*)_i$$

Hoeffding's inequality:

$$\gamma \leq e^{-\frac{K\epsilon^2}{4}} \leftarrow 2e^{-K\epsilon^2}$$

Hoeffding's inequality.

$$P_2 \left[\left| \bar{X} - E[\bar{X}] \right| > \epsilon \right] < 2e^{-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{K}} \leftarrow 2e$$

* Good event: $\forall i \in [n], |(cY)_i - (cY^*)_i| < \epsilon$

Bad event: $\exists i \in [n], |(cY)_i - (cY^*)_i| > \epsilon$

$$P_2 [\text{Bad event}] \leq 2^n e^{-K\epsilon^2} \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow 2^n < e^{K\epsilon^2} \Leftrightarrow K > \frac{\log(2^n)}{\epsilon^2}$$

\Downarrow

$$P_2 [\text{Good event}] > 0$$

$\Rightarrow \exists \gamma$ that is uniform dist. over a subset S , $|S| = K = O\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2}\right)$

s.t. $S = c\gamma$ we have $|\delta_i - (cY^*)_i| < \epsilon$



multisets of size $O\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ many possible

multisets \mathcal{S} size $O\left(\frac{m}{\epsilon}\right)$

————— X ————— X —————

Algorithm:

For each multiset S , $|S| \leq \frac{2 \log m}{\epsilon^2}$

$y =$ uniform dist over S

$\delta = Cy$

$(x, y, \pi_1, \pi_2) \in LP(\delta)$

if $opt(LP(\delta)) \geq -\epsilon$ then
 $opt(x, y)$

C is low-rank.

$rank(C) = r$

can you get

$r^{O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)} poly(m)$

$r = O(1)$

$C =$

$\left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right]$

$\left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right]$

$\left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right]_{r \times n}$

$n \times r$

$[0, \dots]$