

## 1 Network Design Problem

In the *Abstract Network Design Problem (ANDP)* a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a nonnegative edge costs  $c_e : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and a function  $f : 2^V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  are given. The goal is to find a subset  $E' \subseteq E$  such that  $S \subseteq V$  implies  $\delta_{E'}(S) \geq f(S)$ . Here is ANDP modeled by the an integer program:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e \\ & \sum_{e \in \delta(S)} x_e \geq f(S), \forall S \subseteq V \\ & x_e \in \{0, 1\}, \forall e \in E \end{aligned}$$

In general function  $f : 2^V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  can be any arbitrary function. However, interesting approximation algorithms can be found for this problem if  $f$  has certain properties. So, we spend some time on defining such properties. In all of the following definitions  $f : 2^V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is a function and  $A, B \subset V$ .

**Definition 1**  $f$  is *maximal* if for all disjoint  $A$  and  $B$  we have  $f(A \cup B) \leq \max\{f(A), f(B)\}$ .

**Definition 2**  $f$  is *proper* if it is symmetric, maximal and  $f(V) = 0$ .

**Definition 3**  $f$  is *downward monotone* if  $f(A) \leq f(B)$  for all  $\emptyset \neq B \subset A$ .

**Definition 4**  $f$  is *skew-supermodular* if for all  $A$  and  $B$  one of the following conditions hold,

1.  $f(A) + f(B) \leq f(A \cup B) + f(A \cap B)$
2.  $f(A) + f(B) \leq f(A - B) + f(B - A)$

**Claim 1** *If  $f$  is both proper and downward monotone, then it is also skew-supermodular.*

**Proof:** Since  $f$  is downward monotone,  $A - B \subset A$  and  $B - A \subset B$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &\leq f(A - B) \\ f(B) &\leq f(B - A) \end{aligned}$$

so the second condition of skew-supermodularity always holds. □

**Example 1** *The requirement function in the Steiner Forest problem is proper.*

**Example 2** *Let  $X = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  and  $Y = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  be two disjoint sets of terminals for the point to point connection problem, then  $f(A) = 1$  if and only if  $|A \cap X| \neq |A \cap Y|$*

**Example 3** *Lower Capacitated Tree Problem:* Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and a  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  find a set  $E' \subseteq E$  of minimum cost such that every connected component in  $G[E']$  has at least  $k$  edges;  $f(A) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |A| < k$ .

The above function is downward monotone but not proper.

**Example 4** *Connectivity Augmentation:* Given an undirected  $k$ -edge connected graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a set of edges  $E^{aug} \subseteq V \times V - E$ , find a set  $E' \subseteq E^{aug}$  of minimum cost such that  $G = (V, E \cup E')$  is  $(k + 1)$ -edge connected;  $f(A) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \delta_E(A) = k$ .

This function is proper but not skew-supermodular.

**Definition 5** Let  $G = (V, E)$  and  $f : 2^V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For each  $X \subseteq E$ , the residual requirement function  $f_X : 2^V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  is defined as:

$$f_X(A) = \max\{0, f(A) - |\delta_X(A)|\}$$

**Claim 2** If  $f$  is skew-supermodular then  $f_X$  is also skew-supermodular for each  $X \subseteq E$ .

**Proof:** Obviously, the lemma follows if the following inequalities hold ( $|\delta_X(\cdot)|$  is submodular).

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_X(A)| + |\delta_X(B)| &\geq |\delta_X(A - B)| + |\delta_X(B - A)| \\ |\delta_X(A)| + |\delta_X(B)| &\geq |\delta_X(A \cap B)| + |\delta_X(B \cup A)| \end{aligned}$$

In the first case, if an edge has one end in  $A \cap B$  and the other end in  $\overline{A \cup B}$  it only contributes to the left. Other edges equally contribute to both sides. Similarly, in the second case, if an edge has one end in  $A - B$  and the other end in  $B - A$ , it only contributes to the left. Other edges equally contribute to both sides. □

**Claim 3** If  $f$  is a  $\{0, 1\}$  skew-supermodular function and  $X \subseteq E$ , then any minimal violated sets with respect to  $X$  are disjoint.

**Proof:** Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be two minimal violated sets; that is:  $f(Y) = f(Z) = 1$  and  $\delta_X(Y) = \delta_X(Z) = \emptyset$ . From the last equality we get:

$$\delta_X(Y - Z) = \delta_X(Z - Y) = \delta_X(Z \cap Y) = \delta_X(Y \cup Z) = \emptyset$$

On the other hand from the skew-supermodularity we know at least one of the following conditions holds:

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z - Y) = f(Y - Z) &= 1 \\ f(Z \cap Y) = f(Y \cup Z) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

In either case if  $Z$  and  $Y$  are not disjoint then their minimality assumption will be violated. □

Now, we analyze the ANDP for a  $\{0, 1\}$  skew-supermodular function  $f : 2^V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . We also assume that  $f$  is specified as an oracle, that for each  $A \subseteq V$  the oracle return  $f(A)$ . The primal and dual relaxed LPs for the problem follow, respectively.

Primal:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e \\ \sum_{e \in \delta(S)} x_e &\geq f(S), \forall S \subseteq V \\ x_e &\geq 0, \forall e \in E \end{aligned}$$

Dual:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \sum_{S \subseteq V} f(S) y_S \\ \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} y_S &\leq c_e, \forall e \in E \\ y_S &\geq 0, \forall S \subseteq V \end{aligned}$$

---

**Algorithm 1** Primal-Dual Algorithm

---

```

 $F \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
while  $f$  is not feasible do
  Let  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  be the minimal violated sets w.r.t  $F$  {they are disjoint}
  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  do
    Raise  $y_{C_i}$  uniformly for all  $C_i$ s until  $\exists j, e \in \delta(C_j), \sum_{S: e \in \delta(C_j)} y_{C_j} = c_e$ 
  end for
   $F \leftarrow F + e$ 
end while
{Do reverse delete}
Let  $e_1, \dots, e_\ell$  be added in order
for  $h \leftarrow \ell$  downto 1 do
  if  $F - e_h$  is feasible then
     $F \leftarrow F - e_h$ 
  end if
end for

```

---

**Theorem 4** *The Primal-Dual algorithm gives a 2-approximation for any  $\{0, 1\}$  skew-supermodular function  $f$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $F'$  be the output of the algorithm. We like to show  $c(F') \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} f(S) y_S$ . Since every picked edge is tight, we know  $c(F') = \sum_{e \in F'} c_e = \sum_{e \in F'} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} y_S$ . Moreover,  $\sum_{e \in F'} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} y_S = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} y_S \delta_{F'}(S)$ , where  $\delta_{F'}(S)$  denotes the number of edges of  $F'$  cross the  $(S, V \setminus S)$  cut.

Let  $A_i$  contains all minimally violated sets at the  $i$ th iteration. Also, let  $\Delta_i$  be the dual growth in this iteration. We rewrite the above sum as  $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} y_S \delta_{F'}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \sum_{S \in A_i} \Delta_i \deg_{F'}(S)$ , where  $\alpha$  is the number of iterations. Using the result of the next lemma, that is  $\sum_{S \in A_i} \deg_{F'}(S) \leq 2|A_i|$ ,

we have:

$$c(F') = \sum_{e \in F'} c_e = \sum_{e \in F'} \sum_{S: e \in \delta(S)} y_S = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} y_S \delta_{F'}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \sum_{S \in A_i} \Delta_i \deg_{F'}(S) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \Delta_i \cdot 2|A_i| \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} f(S) y_S$$

□

**Lemma 5** For any iteration  $i$  of the algorithm we have  $\sum_{S \in A_i} \deg_{F'}(S) \leq 2|A_i|$ .

**Proof:** Consider the graph  $G[F']$ , and fix some iteration  $i$ . In this graph contract each set of active vertices in iteration  $i$  to a single vertex (call it an active vertex). Similarly, contract inactive sets to inactive vertices. Let the resulting graph be  $H$ . We show that no vertex in  $H$  has degree less than two.

Suppose there exist a component  $C$  that has degree one in  $H$ . So there exists an edge crossing  $(C, V \setminus C)$  cut. Since  $F'$  has no redundant edges (second phase of the algorithm),  $f(C) \geq 1$ , that is  $C$  is violating. □

## 2 Steiner Network Problem

Steiner Network Problem (also called Survivable Network Design) is defined as follows. Given  $G = \{V, E\}$ ,  $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and a requirement  $r_{uv} \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$  for each pair  $(u, v) \in V \times V$ , find a set  $E' \subseteq E$  of minimum cost such that  $G[E']$  has  $r_{uv}$  disjoint paths between  $u$  and  $v$ .

This is special case of the network design problem where  $f(A) = \max_{(u,v): |A \cap \{u,v\}|=1} r_{uv}$ . Here is a simple  $2 \max(r_{uv})$ -approximation which is result of augmenting Steiner Forest approximation algorithm.

---

### Algorithm 2 Steiner Network $2 \max(r_{uv})$ -Approx

---

Find a 2-approx solution for the Steiner Forest Problem

**for**  $i \leftarrow 2$  to  $\max(r_{uv})$  **do**

    Augment the solution for those who want  $i$ -connectivity

**end for**

---

The approximation ratio of this algorithm can be reduced to  $2 \log(\max(r_{uv}))$  if we do the augmentation in the reverse order.