

Robert Andrew
rgandre 2@ ill.
2018-01-24.5 → 2018-01-2
2018-01-29.2 ← CS5T

CS579: Computational Complexity: Lecture 4
admin: Michael out of town
Extra OH - T2, R1

today: NP-intermediate
Space

Q: How to make problems easier?
A: Longer input.

Lemma (Padding):

Given $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ language
 f time-constructible
Let $L_{pad} = \{x\#^{f(|x|)-|x|} : x \in L\}$.

$$L_{pad} \in TIME(T(n)) \Leftrightarrow L \in TIME(T(f(n)))$$

PF: \Rightarrow Given x
Pad to $x\#^{f(|x|)-|x|}$
Run algo for L_{pad} on $\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{both take } O(T(f(|x|))) \\ \text{time} \end{array} \right.$

\Leftarrow : Given y .
Check if $y = x\#^{f(|x|)-|x|}$ for some x
If no, reject

O.w., run algo for L on x .
running time: $O(|y|)$ for syntax check
 $O(T(f(|x|)))$ for L algo
overall $T(|y|)$ time \square

Q: If $P \neq NP$, does $NP \cap P = NP$ -complete?

A: No. [Ladner's Thm]
[ETH]

Exponential Time Hypothesis: 3SAT requires $2^{\Omega(n)}$ time

Andrews
andre2@illinois
-01-29.1 → 2018-01-29.2
-29.3 ← CS579

Rank: ETH $\Rightarrow P \neq NP$

Thm [Baby Ladner]: ETH $\Rightarrow NP \setminus P \neq NP$ -complete.

Idea: Pad 3SAT with $f(n) = \omega(\text{poly}(n))$ and $2^{o(n)}$.

Def: $3SAT_{\text{pad}} = 3SAT$ padded with $f(n) = n^{\log n}$.
 $3SAT \in \text{TIME}(2^n) \Rightarrow 3SAT_{\text{pad}} \in \text{TIME}(2^{2^{\sqrt{\log n}}})$.

$3SAT_{\text{pad}} \text{ NP-complete} \Rightarrow 3SAT \leq_P 3SAT_{\text{pad}}$ (reduction run-time)
 $\Rightarrow 3SAT \in \text{TIME}(2^{2^{\sqrt{\log n}}})$

$$2^{\sqrt{\log n}} = 2^{o(\sqrt{\log n})} = 2^{o(\log n)} = o(n)$$

$\Rightarrow 3SAT \in \text{TIME}(2^{o(n)}) \Rightarrow \neg \text{ETH}$.

$3SAT_{\text{pad}} \in P: 3SAT \in \text{TIME}(\text{poly}(n^{\log n}))$
 $\subseteq \text{TIME}(2^{o(n)})$
 $\Rightarrow \neg \text{ETH} \quad \square$

Rank NP-intermediate languages are constructible

Full Ladner completes padding via diagonalization.

Same proof works if SAT requires $n^{f(n)}$, $f(n) = \omega(1)$ time-constructible

Space complexity

Q: Time vs space as resources - can reuse space

Thm [Switch]: $\text{NSPACE}(S(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(S(n)^2)$

- $S(n) \geq \log n$, space-constructible.
will prove $S(n) \geq n$ today.

Def: A configuration of M on x is a string describing

- state of M
- contents of work tape
- head position

Assume wlog one accept config (erase tape & move head all the way left)

PF [Switch]

$L \in \text{NSPACE}(S(n))$, NTM N . $L(x) = L$

$\text{CanReach}(c_1, c_2, t)$: [reach c_2 from c_1 in t steps]

If $t=0$ & $c_1 \neq c_2$; reject.

If $t=1$, check if $c_1 \rightarrow c_2$ in 1 step.

Else for all config c_3 using $S(n)$ space:

If $\text{CanReach}(c_1, c_3, t/2)$

and $\text{CanReach}(c_3, c_2, t/2)$, accept

Reject

On input x , run $\text{CanReach}(c_{\text{start}}, c_{\text{accept}}, 2^{O(S(n))})$

Space usage: each level uses $O(S(n))$ space

$\log(2^{O(S(n))}) = O(S(n))$ levels

$\Rightarrow O(S(n)^2)$ space \square

Cor: $\text{PSPACE} = \text{NPSPACE}$.

Remarks: - Switch is a pathfinding algo for config graph

- Space-constructibility not necessary.

Q: Hard problems for space?

Def: $\text{TQBF} = \{ \langle \varphi \rangle : \varphi \text{ is true, fully quantified Boolean formula} \}$

ex. $\varphi_1 = \forall x \exists y [(x \wedge y) \vee (\neg x \vee \neg y)]$. $\varphi_1 \in \text{TQBF}$

$\varphi_2 = \exists x \forall y [\dots]$ " " $\varphi_2 \notin \text{TQBF}$

Thm: TQBF is PSPACE -complete.

PF ($\in \text{PSPACE}$).

Assume prenex form (quantifiers first).

IsTrue (φ):

If \forall quantifier, return $\text{IsTrue}(\varphi(\text{true}, \dots)) \wedge \text{IsTrue}(\varphi(\text{false}, \dots))$

\exists " " $\varphi(\text{true}, \dots) \vee \varphi(\text{false}, \dots)$

no quantifier, evaluate φ

Andrews
gandre2@illinois
8-01-29.3 → 208-01-29.4
-01-31-1 ← CS579.

(PSPACE-hard)

$L \in \text{PSPACE}$, TM M , $L(M) = L$.

runs in $O(n^k)$ space

Given x , want $\varphi_{c_1, c_2, t} = "M \text{ goes from } c_1 \text{ to } c_2 \text{ in } \leq t \text{ steps}"$

Config $c \mapsto$ Boolean vars

$$\varphi_{c_1, c_2, 1} = (c_1 = c_2) \vee (c_1 \rightarrow c_2)$$

details next time

$$\varphi_{c_1, c_2, t} = \exists c_3 \forall (a, b) \in \{(c_1, c_3), (c_3, c_2)\} [\varphi_{a, b, t/2}]$$

Final formula: $\varphi_{\text{start}, \text{accept}, 2^{O(n^k)}}$

Each level adds $O(n^k)$ to φ .
 $\log(2^{O(n^k)}) = O(n^k)$ levels \Rightarrow final formula has length $O(n^{2k})$.

$\text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{TIME}$, so TIME is PSPACE-hard

\Rightarrow

- complete \square

next time: - Small space

- Circuits

admin: no Michael this week.