# CS 574: Randomized Algorithms

Lecture 14. Introduction to Martingales

October 8, 2015

• For independent r.v.s  $X_i$  we showed tight concentration of their sum around the mean.

- For independent r.v.s  $X_i$  we showed tight concentration of their sum around the mean.
- We can also show similar results for dependent r.v's.

- For independent r.v.s  $X_i$  we showed tight concentration of their sum around the mean.
- We can also show similar results for dependent r.v's.

#### Definition

A sequence of r.v.'s  $X_1, X_2 \cdots$  is called a discrete time martingale, if  $E[X_{i+1}|X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_i] = X_i$ , for every  $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ .

- For independent r.v.s  $X_i$  we showed tight concentration of their sum around the mean.
- We can also show similar results for dependent r.v's.

#### Definition

A sequence of r.v.'s  $X_1, X_2 \cdots$  is called a discrete time martingale, if  $E[X_{i+1}|X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_i] = X_i$ , for every  $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ .

• More generally,  $X_i$  sequence is a martingale with respect to a sequence  $Y_i$  if  $E[X_{i+1}|Y_0, Y_1, \cdots, Y_i] = X_i$ , for every  $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ .

- For independent r.v.s  $X_i$  we showed tight concentration of their sum around the mean.
- We can also show similar results for dependent r.v's.

#### Definition

A sequence of r.v.'s  $X_1, X_2 \cdots$  is called a discrete time martingale, if  $E[X_{i+1}|X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_i] = X_i$ , for every  $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ .

- More generally,  $X_i$  sequence is a martingale with respect to a sequence  $Y_i$  if  $E[X_{i+1}|Y_0, Y_1, \cdots, Y_i] = X_i$ , for every  $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ .
- Equivalently,  $E[X_{i+1} X_i | Y_0, \dots, Y_i] = 0$  if the set of  $Y_0, \dots, Y_i$  is all the information up to time i. Namely, the difference  $X_{i+1} X_i$  is unbiased on the past up to time i.



- For independent r.v.s  $X_i$  we showed tight concentration of their sum around the mean.
- We can also show similar results for dependent r.v's.

#### Definition

A sequence of r.v.'s  $X_1, X_2 \cdots$  is called a discrete time martingale, if  $E[X_{i+1}|X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_i] = X_i$ , for every  $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ .

- More generally,  $X_i$  sequence is a martingale with respect to a sequence  $Y_i$  if  $E[X_{i+1}|Y_0, Y_1, \cdots, Y_i] = X_i$ , for every  $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ .
- Equivalently,  $E[X_{i+1} X_i | Y_0, \dots, Y_i] = 0$  if the set of  $Y_0, \dots, Y_i$  is all the information up to time i. Namely, the difference  $X_{i+1} X_i$  is unbiased on the past up to time i.



• Classic example of a Gambler whose bank roll is  $X_0$ . At each time, she chooses to play some game in the casino at some stakes. If we assume that every game is fair (expected utility of playing is 0), but games need not be independent and stakes need not be independent, then the sequence  $X_0, X_1, ...$  is a martingale, where  $X_i$  is the amount of money she has at time i.

- Classic example of a Gambler whose bank roll is  $X_0$ . At each time, she chooses to play some game in the casino at some stakes. If we assume that every game is fair (expected utility of playing is 0), but games need not be independent and stakes need not be independent, then the sequence  $X_0, X_1, \ldots$  is a martingale, where  $X_i$  is the amount of money she has at time i.
- Tower rule of conditional expectations E[V|W] = E[E[V|U, W]|W].

- Classic example of a Gambler whose bank roll is  $X_0$ . At each time, she chooses to play some game in the casino at some stakes. If we assume that every game is fair (expected utility of playing is 0), but games need not be independent and stakes need not be independent, then the sequence  $X_0, X_1, \ldots$  is a martingale, where  $X_i$  is the amount of money she has at time i.
- Tower rule of conditional expectations E[V|W] = E[E[V|U, W]|W].
- Define Doob Martingale: Let  $X_0, X_1, \cdots$  be a sequence or r.v.s. Let Y be also an r.v. with  $E[Y] < \infty$ . Then  $Z_i = E[Y|X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_i]$  is a Doob Martingale.
- Doob martingales try to estimate function Y with finer and finer estimates.



- Classic example of a Gambler whose bank roll is  $X_0$ . At each time, she chooses to play some game in the casino at some stakes. If we assume that every game is fair (expected utility of playing is 0), but games need not be independent and stakes need not be independent, then the sequence  $X_0, X_1, \ldots$  is a martingale, where  $X_i$  is the amount of money she has at time i.
- Tower rule of conditional expectations E[V|W] = E[E[V|U, W]|W].
- Define Doob Martingale: Let  $X_0, X_1, \cdots$  be a sequence or r.v.s. Let Y be also an r.v. with  $E[Y] < \infty$ . Then  $Z_i = E[Y|X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_i]$  is a Doob Martingale.
- Doob martingales try to estimate function Y with finer and finer estimates.
- Frequently, in application we have  $Y = f(Z_1, ..., Z_n)$ . In this case,  $Z_0 = E(Y)$  and  $Z_n = E(Y|Z_1, ..., Z_n) = Y$ .

 Fair,independent coin tosses: Martingale with independent differences.

- Fair,independent coin tosses: Martingale with independent differences.
- Balls in Bins example: How may empty bins are there if I throw m balls in n bins randomly?

- Fair,independent coin tosses: Martingale with independent differences.
- Balls in Bins example: How may empty bins are there if I throw m balls in n bins randomly?
- The vertex/edge exposure martingale for random graphs and chromatic number.

- Fair,independent coin tosses: Martingale with independent differences.
- Balls in Bins example: How may empty bins are there if I throw m balls in n bins randomly?
- The vertex/edge exposure martingale for random graphs and chromatic number.

# Azuma Inequality

We say that the martingale  $\{X_i\}$  has L-bounded increments if  $|X_{i+1} - X_i| \le L$  for every i.

# Azuma Inequality

We say that the martingale  $\{X_i\}$  has L-bounded increments if  $|X_{i+1} - X_i| \le L$  for every i.

#### Theorem

For every L>0, if  $\{X_i\}$  is a martingale with L-bounded increments, then for every  $\lambda>0$  and every  $n\geq 0$  we have

$$P[X_n \ge X_0 + \lambda] \le e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2L^2n}}$$

and

$$P[X_n \ge X_0 - \lambda] \le e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2L^2n}}$$

**Class Assignment:** Show the special case for independent r.v.s:

#### Corollary

If  $Z_i$  are independent r.v.s taking values in [-L, L],  $Z = \sum Z_i$  and  $\mu = E(Z)$ , then for every  $\lambda > 0$  we have

$$P[Z \ge \mu + \lambda] \le e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2L^2n}}$$

and

$$P[Z \ge \mu - \lambda] \le e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2L^2n}}$$

### Lipschitz condition and Application to Balls in Bins

• Function  $f(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$  is L-Lipschitz is changing any one coordinate changes the value of f by at most c in absolute value.

### Lipschitz condition and Application to Balls in Bins

- Function  $f(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$  is L-Lipschitz is changing any one coordinate changes the value of f by at most c in absolute value.
- If  $f(Z_1,...Z_n)$  is L-Lipschitz and  $Z_i$  independent, then the Doob martingale of f with respect to  $Z_i$  has increments bounded by L.

### Lipschitz condition and Application to Balls in Bins

- Function  $f(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$  is L-Lipschitz is changing any one coordinate changes the value of f by at most c in absolute value.
- If  $f(Z_1,...Z_n)$  is L-Lipschitz and  $Z_i$  independent, then the Doob martingale of f with respect to  $Z_i$  has increments bounded by L.
- Apply Azuma to balls in bins for concentration of the number of empty bins.