Jacobi Method

Iterative methods for solving linear system \( Ax = b \) begin with initial guess for solution and successively improve it until solution is as accurate as desired.

- In theory, infinite number of iterations might be required to converge to exact solution.
- In practice, iteration terminates when residual \( \| b - Ax \| \) or some other measure of error, is as small as desired.
- Iterative methods are especially useful when matrix \( A \) is sparse because, unlike direct methods, no fill is incurred.

Jacobi method requires nonzero diagonal entries, which can usually be accomplished by permuting rows and columns if not already true.

Jacobi method requires duplicate storage for \( x \), since no component can be overwritten until all new values have been computed.

Components of new iterate do not depend on each other, so they can be computed simultaneously.

Jacobi method does not always converge, but it is guaranteed to converge under conditions that are often satisfied (e.g., if matrix is strictly diagonally dominant), though convergence rate may be very slow.

Gauss-Seidel Method

- Faster convergence can be achieved by using each new component value as soon as it has been computed rather than waiting until next iteration.
- This gives Gauss-Seidel method:
  \[
  x_i^{k+1} = \left( b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j^{k+1} - \sum_{j > i} a_{ij} x_j^{k} \right) / a_{ii}
  \]
  Using same notation as for Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel method can be written:
  \[
  x_i^{k+1} = (D + L)^{-1} \left( b - U x_i^{k} \right)
  \]

SOR Method

- Successive over-relaxation (SOR) uses step to next Gauss-Seidel iterate as search direction with fixed search parameter \( \omega \).
- SOR computes next iterate as
  \[
  x_i^{k+1} = x_i^{k} + \omega \left( x_i^{GS(k+1)} - x_i^{GS(k)} \right)
  \]
  where \( x_i^{GS(k+1)} \) is next iterate generated by Gauss-Seidel.
- Equivalently, next iterate is weighted average of current iterate and next Gauss-Seidel iterate
  \[
  x_i^{k+1} = (1 - \omega) x_i^{k} + \omega x_i^{GS(k+1)}
  \]
- If \( A \) is symmetric, the SOR can be written as the application of a symmetric matrix; this is the Symmetric Successive Over-Relaxation method.
Preconditioning

- Convergence rate of CG can often be substantially accelerated by **preconditioning**
- Apply CG to $M^{-1}A$, where $M$ is chosen so that $M^{-1}A$ is better conditioned than $A$, and systems of form $Mz = y$ are easily solved
- Typically, $M$ is diagonal or triangular
- Types of preconditioners include
  - Diagonal or block-diagonal
  - SSOR
  - Incomplete factorization
  - Polynomial
  - Approximate inverse

Conjugate Gradient Method

- If $A$ is $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix, then quadratic function
  $$
  \phi(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^TAx - x^Tb
  $$
  attains minimum precisely when $Ax = b$
- Optimization methods have form
  $$
  x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha s_k
  $$
  where $\alpha$ is search parameter chosen to minimize objective function $\phi(x_k + \alpha s_k)$ along $s_k$
- For method of **steepest descent**, $s_k = -\nabla\phi(x)$

Conjugate Gradient Method

- Error is reduced at each iteration by factor of $$(\sqrt{\kappa} - 1)/(\sqrt{\kappa} + 1)$$ on average, where
  $$
  \kappa = \text{cond}(A) = \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\| = \lambda_{\text{max}}(A)/\lambda_{\text{min}}(A)
  $$
- Thus, convergence tends to be rapid if matrix is well-conditioned, but can be arbitrarily slow if matrix is ill-conditioned
- But convergence also depends on clustering of eigenvalues of $A$

Nonsymmetric Krylov Subspace Methods

- CG is not directly applicable to nonsymmetric or indefinite systems
- CG cannot be generalized to nonsymmetric systems without sacrificing one of its two key properties (short recurrence and minimum error)
- Nevertheless, several generalizations have been developed for solving nonsymmetric systems, including GMRES, QMR, CGS, BiCG, and Bi-CGSTAB
- These tend to be less robust and require more storage than CG, but they can still be very useful for solving large nonsymmetric systems
Parallel Implementation

- Iterative methods for linear systems are composed of basic operations such as:
  - vector updates (saxpy)
  - inner products
  - matrix-vector multiplication
  - solution of triangular systems
- In parallel implementation, both data and operations are partitioned across multiple tasks.
- In addition to communication required for these basic operations, necessary convergence test may require additional communication (e.g., sum or max reduction).

Partitioning of Vectors

- Iterative methods typically require several vectors, including solution $x$, right-hand side $b$, residual $r = b - Ax$, and possibly others.
- Even when matrix $A$ is sparse, these vectors are usually dense.
- These dense vectors are typically uniformly partitioned among $p$ tasks, with given task holding same set of component indices of each vector.
- Thus, vector updates require no communication, whereas inner products of vectors require reductions across tasks, at cost we have already seen.

Partitioning of Sparse Matrix

- Sparse matrix $A$ can be partitioned among tasks by rows, by columns, or by submatrices.
- Partitioning by submatrices may give uneven distribution of nonzeros among tasks; indeed, some submatrices may contain no nonzeros at all.
- Partitioning by rows or by columns tends to yield more uniform distribution because sparse matrices typically have about same number of nonzeros in each row or column.

Row Partitioning of Sparse Matrix

- Suppose that each task is assigned $n/p$ rows, yielding $p$ tasks, where for simplicity we assume that $p$ divides $n$.
- In dense matrix-vector multiplication, since each task owns only $n/p$ components of vector operand, communication is required to obtain remaining components.
- If matrix is sparse, however, few components may actually be needed, and these should preferably be stored in neighboring tasks.
- Assignment of rows to tasks by contiguous blocks or cyclically would not, in general, result in desired proximity of vector components.

Graph Partitioning

- Desired data locality can be achieved by partitioning graph of matrix, or partitioning underlying grid or mesh for finite difference or finite element problem.
- For example, graph can be partitioned into $p$ pieces by nested dissection, and vector components corresponding to nodes in each resulting piece assigned to same task, with neighboring pieces assigned to neighboring tasks.
- Then matrix-vector product requires relatively little communication, and only between neighboring tasks.

Graph Partitioning Software

- Chaco
- Jostle
- Meshpart
- Metis/ParMetis
- Mondriaan
- Party
- Scotch
- Zoltan

Graph Partitioning Methods

- Combinatorial refinement (e.g., Kernighan-Lin)
- Level structure
- Coordinate bisection
- Inertial
- Spectral
- Geometric
- Multilevel

Two-Dimensional Partitioning
Sparse MatVec with 2-D Partitioning

- Partition entries of both $x$ and $y$ across processes
- Partition entries of $A$ accordingly
  
  (a) Send entries $x_j$ to processes with nonzero $a_{ij}$ for some $i$
  (b) Local multiply-add: $y_i = y_i + a_{ij}x_j$
  (c) Send partial inner products to relevant processes
  (d) Local sum: sum partial inner products

Parallel Jacobi

- We have already seen example of this approach with Jacobi method for 1-D Laplace equation
- Contiguous groups of variables are assigned to each task, so most communication is internal, and external communication is limited to nearest neighbors in 1-D mesh
- More generally, Jacobi method usually parallelizes well if underlying grid is partitioned in this manner, since all components of $x$ can be updated simultaneously

Row-Wise Ordering for 2-D Grid

- Apparent sequential order can be broken, however, if components are reordered according to coloring of underlying graph
  
  - For 5-point discretization on square grid, for example, color alternate nodes in each dimension red and others black, giving color pattern of chess or checker board
  
  - Then all red nodes can be updated simultaneously, as can all black nodes, so algorithm proceeds in alternating phases, first updating all nodes of one color, then those of other color, repeating until convergence

Red-Black Ordering

- More generally, arbitrary graph requires more colors, so there is one phase per color in parallel algorithm
- Nodes must also be partitioned among tasks, and load should be balanced for each color
- Reordering nodes may affect convergence rate, however, so gain in parallel performance may be offset somewhat by slower convergence rate
More generally, multicolor ordering of graph of matrix enhances parallel performance of sparse triangular solution by identifying sets of solution components that can be computed simultaneously (rather than in usual sequential order for triangular solution).
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### References – Asynchronous or Chaotic Relaxation

- Using updated values for solution components in Gauss-Seidel and SOR methods improves convergence rate, but limits parallelism and requires synchronization
- Alternatively, in computing next iterate, each processor could use most recent value it has for each solution component, rather than waiting for latest value on any processor
- This approach, sometimes called asynchronous or chaotic relaxation, can be effective, but stochastic behavior complicates analysis of convergence and convergence rate