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Task

Recognizing textual entailment (RTE) is the task of determining whether two natural language sentences are
1. contradicting each other (CONTRADICTION)
2. not related (NEUTRAL)
3. the first sentence entails the second sentence (ENTAILMENT)

Notation: We call the first sentence \textit{premise} and second sentence \textit{hypothesis}.
Example

Premise: A wedding party taking pictures.

Hypothesis: Someone got married.

ENTAILS
Example

Premise: A girl is wearing a **blue** jacket.

Hypothesis: A young girl wearing a **pink** coat.
A Classification Problem

Input: two natural language sentences (Premise, Hypothesis)

Output: one of 3 classes \{ENTAILMENT, NEUTRAL, or CONTRADICTION\}
A Classification Problem

Input: two natural language sentences (Premise, Hypothesis)

Model

Output: one of 3 classes \{ENTAILMENT, NEUTRAL, or CONTRADICTION\}
Previous Models
State-of-the-art: Lexicalized classifier that heavily relies on hand-crafted features, various external resources, and other subcomponents such as negation detection.

Neural Model:
• Pros: end-to-end differentiable and trainable, avoids assumptions and external resources about the underlying language.
• Cons: Previous LSTM model (Bowman 2015) didn’t outperform state-of-the-art.
Previous LSTM model (Bowman 2015)

Premise: A wedding party taking pictures
Premise: A wedding party taking pictures

Hypothesis: Someone got married

multilayer perceptron classifier
Observation

Fail to capture the asymmetry of premise and hypothesis.

Observation:

People may read the hypothesis in a different way conditioned on the semantic of the premise.

• Premise: A girl is wearing a blue jacket.

• Hypothesis: A young girl wearing a pink coat plays with a yellow toy gold club.
Observation

Fail to capture the asymmetry of premise and hypothesis.

Observation:

People may read the hypothesis in a different way conditioned on the semantic of the premise.

• Premise: A girl is waring a blue jacket.

• Hypothesis: A young girl wearing a pink coat plays with a yellow toy gold club.
Conditional Encoding

Use LSTM-1 to encode premise until a special token of <END> is encountered.

A wedding party taking pictures
Conditional Encoding (cont.)

Initialize LSTM-2 with the last cell vector of LSTM-1. Then use LSTM-2 to encode hypothesis.

A wedding party taking pictures Someone got married
Conditional Encoding (cont.)

For classification, use a softmax layer over the output of a non-linear projection of the last output vector (h9 in the example).

A wedding party taking pictures

Someone got married
Observation 2

- People may read the hypothesis in a more focused way conditioned on the premise.
- Premise: A *wedding* party taking pictures.
- Hypothesis: Someone got *married*.
- (If we notice the word *wedding* in premise, we will focus on related words in hypothesis, i.e., *married*.)
- It’s natural to use attention mechanism over LSTM.
Attention

Let \([h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_L]\) be the output vectors of the premise, where every \(h_i\) is a vector.

For the last output vector \(h_N\) of the hypothesis, define attention \(\alpha\) as follows,

\[ m_i = \tanh(W^y h_i + W^h h_N) \]

this result is a vector of same dimensionality as \(h_i\) and \(h_N\). Then project \(m_i\) to a scalar and put a softmax layer over them to compute attention \(\alpha\).

\[ \alpha_i = \text{softmax}(w^T m_i) \]
Attention

\[ m_i = \tanh(W_y h_i + W^h h_N) \]

\[ \alpha_i = \text{softmax}(w^T m_i) \]

A wedding party taking pictures

Someone got married
Attention (cont.)

Then compute the attention-weighted representation of premise

\[ r := \sum_{i=1}^{L} \alpha_i \cdot h_i \]
Finally, combine $r, h_N$ by

$$h^* = \tanh(W^p r + W^x h_N)$$

A wedding party taking pictures
Someone got married
Word-by-word attention

Compute attention for every premise word and every hypothesis word.

Very similar to previous slides, only with more computation.
## Results of SNLI corpus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>#Parameters</th>
<th>Dev Acc</th>
<th>Test Acc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lexicalized classifier</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM (Bowman 2015)</td>
<td>10M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional encoding</td>
<td>3.9M</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>80.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>3.9M</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word-by-word attention</td>
<td>3.9M</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results of attention (examples)

(a) Hypothesis: A boy is riding an animal.

(b) Hypothesis: A girl is wearing a blue jacket.
Conclusion

For the problem of RTE, the authors use conditional encoding, attention, and word-by-word attention to improve the performance of the neural model.

For the first time, a neural model outperforms a feature-based system for RTE.

Since neural model is end-to-end differentiable and trainable, authors consider to use it to other sequential data other than natural language.
Thanks!
Experiment

Stanford Natural Language Inference corpus (SNLI).
Use cross-entropy loss.
ADAM optimizer with recommended coefficient.
Grid search on initial learning rate, dropout rate, and L2 regularization strength.
Experiment Details

• Use word2vec vectors and add a linear layer to project them into proper dimensionality.

• Fix word2vec vectors during training. Out-of-vocabulary word vectors during training are initialized randomly and optimized during training.