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today design decisions

thursday architectural principles
Heterogeneity

- Different addressing, supported packet lengths, reliability mechanism, latency, status information, routing

Must let each network operate independently

Solution:

- IP
- “unacceptable alternative”
Gateways (routers) sit at interface between networks

...and speak an Internetworking protocol

Fig. 2. Three networks interconnected by two GATEWAYS.

Fig. 3. Internetwork packet format (fields not shown to scale).

[clippings here and in later slides from Cerf & Kahn '74]
Allow maximum packet size to evolve

Protocol mechanisms to split packets in-transit
  • byte-level sequence numbers

Reassemble at end-hosts
  • Why not gateways?
Unreliable datagrams

No need for reliability in underlying network

Greatly simplifies design

- Exception handling always adds complexity
- But in IP: Any problem? Just drop the packet
  - examples?

What’s not a stated reason for datagrams?

- Statistical multiplexing
Routing unspecified—but constrained!

- Hierarchical (network, host) address
- Route computed within network, hop-by-hop
- 8 bits for network: “This size seems sufficient for the foreseeable future.”
- Later: 32 bits in three size classes (A,B,C), and then CIDR (Classless Interdomain Routing = 32 size classes).

Many new routing/forwarding designs need to change this address format

Control plane of routing was not specified

- Essentially, only data plane of the design was presented
Associated with a process on a host

Identify endpoints of a connection ("association")

Rejected design:

- connection at host level
- packet may include bytes for multiple processes

What’s the difference between a port and an address?
What we now call TCP

Window-based scheme

Provides reliability, ordering, flow control

- Even though you might want only some of these

How is TCP different today?

- Congestion control
- Three-way handshake
- Split from IP
What we now call TCP

"It is our expectation that the host level retransmission mechanism ... will not be called upon very often in practice. Evidence already exists [ARPANET] that individual networks can be effectively constructed without this feature."

Why did they write this? Is it true now?

No congestion control in this early version!

- TCP congestion control introduces losses intentionally
Project Ideas
Introductions & Project Interest
Announcements

Read over syllabus

Academic honesty

- If you write a paper or submit work, it’s an implicit claim that the work is yours
- If you include text (even a sentence!) from elsewhere, it must be identified as a quote and credited

Review due Monday

- End-to-end arguments in system design (Saltzer et al, 1984)