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memory system is the greatest inhibitor of performance (low bandwidth, high latency)

therefore: integrate a high-performance processor & DRAM main memory on a chip

- low latency = 20-30ns instead of 300ns
- high bandwidth = 128 bytes instead of 16 bytes
Tradeoffs

Advantages

- high bandwidth to memory
- low latency to memory
- energy consumption in memory system decreases several times
  - reduction of off-chip accesses (high capacitance)
- fewer pins necessary in chip (currently, most pins used for wide mem. interface)
  - smaller packages thanks to fewer pins
  - regular chip layout, more dense
Tradeoffs (Continued)

**Advantage**
- can be combined with any processor organization

**Disadvantages**
- conventional processor design gains little from IRAM because they were designed with assumption of slow memory system
  → need to open up the memory
- if the programming model is too revolutionary, old apps will not run
- DRAM technology (memory) is much more dense than SRAM technology (caches)
  - 16 to 32 times more $\Rightarrow$ more storage on chip
Vector Processors

- ↑ capacity ↑ bandwidth \( \implies \) vector processing would work well

![Diagram of vector processing]

- Need explicit compilation into vector code
- It is claimed that many multimedia apps will be vectorizable
  - E.g. MMX can be considered modest vector unit
Advantages of IRAM

- Higher bandwidth
- Lower latency
- Energy efficiency
- Memory size and width $\rightarrow$ free organization
- Board space (small)
Disadvantages of IRAM

- Area and speed of logic in a DRAM process technology
  - Area: 30% - 70% Larger, Speed: 30% - 70% Less

- Area and power impact of increasing bandwidth to DRAM core

- Retention time of DRAM when operating at high Temperature
  - retention rate halved for every 10° C
  - refresh rates must increase for high temperature

- Scaling system beyond single IRAM

- Matching IRAM to commodity focus of DRAM industry

- Testing (single processor, everyone?)
Challenges to IRAM

1. Fabrication process is tough
   - DRAM fabrication technology is different than logic (microprocessor) technology
     - you get slower microprocessors
     - you need to complicate design to avoid noise of switching logic on memory array
     - refresh rates increase as temperature increases

2. Bounded amount of DRAM: soon 96 MBytes
   - OK for portable computers
   - not OK for workstations
     - what about multiple IRAMs?
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Christoforos Kozrakis, Ngeci Bowman, Neal Cardwell, Cynthia Rommer and Helen Wang
Workshop on “Mixing Logic and DRAM”, ISCA 1997
Motivation

- Intelligent IRAM promises:
  - high memory bandwidth (100x)
  - low memory latency (0.1x)
  - high energy efficiency (4x)
  - higher system integration

- Which microprocessor architecture can turn these advantages into significant application performance benefits?
Evolutionary IRAM Approach

- Use an existing processor architecture:
  - simple RISC micro, superscalar or out-of-order execution organization
- Advantages:
  - Good knowledge of how to design and implement them
  - Performance trade-offs are well understood
  - “Out of the box” solutions both for system software and applications – software compatibility
  - Higher performance by tuning programs and compilers to new memory hierarchy characteristics
- **This work:** evaluate potential performance benefits of this approach
IRAM Architectural Considerations

- IRAM systems using existing DRAM technology:
  - 256Mbit DRAM 0.25\textmu m CMOS process
  - 1/4 of die area for microprocessor
  - Up to 24MBytes of on-chip DRAM
- Memory access latency can be as low as 21ns
- Logic speed potentially 10% to 50% slower compared to conventional processors for initial implementations
- No L2 cache necessary since on-chip DRAM can have comparable latency
- Memory bus as wide as cache line
Method II: Detailed System Simulations

- Used SimOS to simulate simple MIPS R4000-based IRAM and conventional architectures
- Equal die size comparison:
  - Area for on-chip DRAM in IRAM systems same as area for L2 cache in conventional system
- Wide memory bus for IRAM systems
- Main simulation parameters:
  - On-chip DRAM access latency
  - Logic speed (CPU frequency)
- Benchmarks: SPEC95Int (compress, li, ijpeg, perl, gcc), SPEC95Fp (tomcatv, su2cor, wave5), Linpack1000
## Simulated Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IRAM</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Simple in-order</td>
<td>Simple in-order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU Frequency</td>
<td>333 or 500 MHz</td>
<td>500 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>0.25 µm DRAM</td>
<td>0.25 µm logic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Configuration</td>
<td>64KB I + 64KB D</td>
<td>64KB I + 64KB D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Associativity</td>
<td>2-way</td>
<td>2-way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Block Size</td>
<td>128B</td>
<td>64B I + 32B D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Type</td>
<td>On-chip SRAM</td>
<td>On-chip SRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Access Time</td>
<td>1 CPU cycle</td>
<td>1 CPU cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Configuration</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2MB unified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Associativity</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2-way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Block Size</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>128B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Type</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>On-chip SRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Access Time</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12 CPU cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Configuration</td>
<td>24MB DRAM on-chip</td>
<td>24MB 166MHz SDRAM off-chip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Bus Width</td>
<td>128B</td>
<td>16B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Latency</td>
<td>21 or 33ns</td>
<td>116ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method II: Results

- Execution times normalized to basic IRAM model (333MHz, 33ns memory latency)
- IRAM models up to 40% faster than conventional
Conclusions

- IRAM systems with existing processors provide only moderate performance benefits
- High bandwidth/low latency used to speed up memory accesses but not computation
- **Reason:** existing architectures developed under the assumption of a low bandwidth memory system
- Still attractive for portable/embedded domain
  - up to 4 times more energy efficient
  - higher system integration
Towards a Revolutionary Approach

To provide significant performance benefits, IRAM systems need microprocessor architectures that turn memory bandwidth into application performance

Candiates:
- Vector microprocessor
- Multithreading architectures
- Multiprocessor on a chip
- Some hybrid combination?
- Some new idea?
What People are Looking at?

- Nearest neighbor database searching
- IStore (Intelligent Storage)
- Multimedia apps
- SIMD computation
- Distributed vector
- ATM switch controllers
- Scalable DSMs
- Single chip MP + DRAM
- Synchronization & special support
- Petaflop: large scale
- ...