

Frequent Items

Lecture 09

February 12, 2019

Richer model:

- Want to estimate a function of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ which is initially assume to be the all $\mathbf{0}$'s vector.
- Each element e_j of a stream is a tuple (i_j, Δ_j) where $i_j \in [n]$ and $\Delta_j \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real-value: this updates x_{i_j} to $x_{i_j} + \Delta_j$. (Δ_j can be positive or negative)

Richer model:

- Want to estimate a function of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ which is initially assume to be the all $\mathbf{0}$'s vector.
- Each element e_j of a stream is a tuple (i_j, Δ_j) where $i_j \in [n]$ and $\Delta_j \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real-value: this updates x_{i_j} to $x_{i_j} + \Delta_j$. (Δ_j can be positive or negative)
- $\Delta_j > 0$: *cash register* model. Special case is $\Delta_j = 1$.
- Δ_j arbitrary: *turnstile* model
- Δ_j arbitrary but $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ at all times: *strict turnstile* model
- *Sliding window* model: interested only in the last W items (window)

Frequent Items Problem

What is F_k when $k = \infty$?

Frequent Items Problem

What is F_k when $k = \infty$? Maximum frequency.

Frequent Items Problem

What is F_k when $k = \infty$? Maximum frequency.

F_∞ very brittle and hard to estimate with low memory. Can show strong lower bounds for very weak relative approximations.

Frequent Items Problem

What is F_k when $k = \infty$? Maximum frequency.

F_∞ very brittle and hard to estimate with low memory. Can show strong lower bounds for very weak relative approximations.

Hence settle for weaker (*additive*) guarantees.

Frequent Items Problem

What is F_k when $k = \infty$? Maximum frequency.

F_∞ very brittle and hard to estimate with low memory. Can show strong lower bounds for very weak relative approximations.

Hence settle for weaker (*additive*) guarantees.

Heavy Hitters Problem: Find all items i such that $f_i > m/k$ for some fixed k .

Heavy hitters are **very** frequent items.

Finding Majority Element

Majority element problem:

- Offline: given an array/list A of m integers, is there an element that occurs more than $m/2$ times in A ?
- Streaming: is there an i such that $f_i > m/2$?

Finding Majority Element

Streaming-Majority:

$c = 0$, $s \leftarrow \text{null}$

While (stream is not empty) do

 If ($e_j = s$) do

$c \leftarrow c + 1$

 ElseIf ($c = 0$)

$c = 1$

$s = e_j$

 Else

$c \leftarrow c - 1$

endWhile

Output s, c

Finding Majority Element

Streaming-Majority:

$c = 0$, $s \leftarrow \text{null}$

While (stream is not empty) do

 If ($e_j = s$) do

$c \leftarrow c + 1$

 ElseIf ($c = 0$)

$c = 1$

$s = e_j$

 Else

$c \leftarrow c - 1$

endWhile

Output s, c

Claim: If there is a majority element i then algorithm outputs $s = i$ and $c \geq f_i - m/2$.

Finding Majority Element

Streaming-Majority:

```
 $c = 0$ ,  $s \leftarrow null$   
While (stream is not empty) do  
  If ( $e_j = s$ ) do  
     $c \leftarrow c + 1$   
  ElseIf ( $c = 0$ )  
     $c = 1$   
     $s = e_j$   
  Else  
     $c \leftarrow c - 1$   
endWhile  
Output  $s, c$ 
```

Claim: If there is a majority element i then algorithm outputs $s = i$ and $c \geq f_i - m/2$.

Caveat: Algorithm may output incorrect element if no majority element. Can verify correctness in a second pass.

Misra-Gries Algorithm

Heavy Hitters Problem: Find all items i such that $f_i > m/k$.

MisraGreis(k):

D is an empty associative array

While (stream is not empty) do

e_j is current item

 If (e_j is in $keys(D)$)

$D[e_j] \leftarrow D[e_j] + 1$

 Else if ($|keys(A)| < k - 1$) then

$D[e_j] \leftarrow 1$

 Else

 for each $\ell \in keys(D)$ do

$D[\ell] \leftarrow D[\ell] - 1$

 Remove elements from D whose counter values are 0

endWhile

For each $i \in keys(D)$ set $\hat{f}_i = D[i]$

For each $i \notin keys(D)$ set $\hat{f}_i = 0$

Analysis

Space usage $O(k)$.

Theorem

For each $i \in [n]$: $f_i - \frac{m}{k+1} \leq \hat{f}_i \leq f_i$.

Corollary

Any item with $f_i > m/k$ is in D at the end of the algorithm.

A second pass to verify can be used to verify correctness of elements in D .

Proof of Correctness

Theorem

For each $i \in [n]$: $f_i - \frac{m}{k+1} \leq \hat{f}_i \leq f_i$.

Proof of Correctness

Theorem

For each $i \in [n]$: $f_i - \frac{m}{k+1} \leq \hat{f}_i \leq f_i$.

Easy to see: $\hat{f}_i \leq f_i$. Why?

Proof of Correctness

Theorem

For each $i \in [n]$: $f_i - \frac{m}{k+1} \leq \hat{f}_i \leq f_i$.

Easy to see: $\hat{f}_i \leq f_i$. Why?

Alternative view of algorithm:

- Maintains counts $C[i]$ for each i (initialized to 0). Only k are non-zero at any time.
- When new element e_j comes
 - If $C[e_j] > 0$ then increment $C[e_j]$
 - Else if less than k positive counters then set $C[e_j] = 1$
 - Else decrement all positive counters (exactly k of them)
- Output $\hat{f}_i = C[i]$ for each i

Proof of Correctness

Want to show: $f_i - \hat{f}_i \leq m/(k + 1)$:

Proof of Correctness

Want to show: $f_i - \hat{f}_i \leq m/(k + 1)$:

- Suppose we have ℓ occurrences of k counters being decremented.

Proof of Correctness

Want to show: $f_i - \hat{f}_i \leq m/(k + 1)$:

- Suppose we have ℓ occurrences of k counters being decremented. Then $\ell k + \ell \leq m$ which implies $\ell \leq m/(k + 1)$.
- Consider $\alpha = (f_i - \hat{f}_i)$ as items are processed. Initially $\mathbf{0}$. How big can it get?

Proof of Correctness

Want to show: $f_i - \hat{f}_i \leq m/(k + 1)$:

- Suppose we have ℓ occurrences of k counters being decremented. Then $\ell k + \ell \leq m$ which implies $\ell \leq m/(k + 1)$.
- Consider $\alpha = (f_i - \hat{f}_i)$ as items are processed. Initially $\mathbf{0}$. How big can it get?
 - If $e_j = i$ and $C[i]$ is incremented α stays same

Proof of Correctness

Want to show: $f_i - \hat{f}_i \leq m/(k + 1)$:

- Suppose we have ℓ occurrences of k counters being decremented. Then $\ell k + \ell \leq m$ which implies $\ell \leq m/(k + 1)$.
- Consider $\alpha = (f_i - \hat{f}_i)$ as items are processed. Initially 0 . How big can it get?
 - If $e_j = i$ and $C[i]$ is incremented α stays same
 - If $e_j = i$ and $C[i]$ is not incremented then α increases by one and k counters decremented — charge to ℓ

Proof of Correctness

Want to show: $f_i - \hat{f}_i \leq m/(k + 1)$:

- Suppose we have ℓ occurrences of k counters being decremented. Then $\ell k + \ell \leq m$ which implies $\ell \leq m/(k + 1)$.
- Consider $\alpha = (f_i - \hat{f}_i)$ as items are processed. Initially 0 . How big can it get?
 - If $e_j = i$ and $C[i]$ is incremented α stays same
 - If $e_j = i$ and $C[i]$ is not incremented then α increases by one and k counters decremented — charge to ℓ
 - If $e_j \neq i$ and α increases by 1 it is because $C[i]$ is decremented — charge to ℓ

Proof of Correctness

Want to show: $f_i - \hat{f}_i \leq m/(k + 1)$:

- Suppose we have ℓ occurrences of k counters being decremented. Then $\ell k + \ell \leq m$ which implies $\ell \leq m/(k + 1)$.
- Consider $\alpha = (f_i - \hat{f}_i)$ as items are processed. Initially 0 . How big can it get?
 - If $e_j = i$ and $C[i]$ is incremented α stays same
 - If $e_j = i$ and $C[i]$ is not incremented then α increases by one and k counters decremented — charge to ℓ
 - If $e_j \neq i$ and α increases by 1 it is because $C[i]$ is decremented — charge to ℓ
- Hence total number of times α increases is at most ℓ .

Deterministic to Randomized Sketches

Cannot improve $O(k)$ space if one wants additive error of at most m/k . Nice to have a deterministic algorithm that is near-optimal

Why look for randomized solution?

- Obtain a sketch that allows for deletions
- Additional applications of sketch based solutions
- Will see **Count-Min** and **Count** sketches

Basic Hashing/Sampling Idea

Heavy Hitters Problem: Find all items i such that $f_i > m/k$.

- Let b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k be the k heavy hitters
- Suppose we pick $h : [n] \rightarrow [ck]$ for some $c > 1$
- h spreads b_1, \dots, b_k among the buckets (k balls into ck bins)
- In ideal situation each bucket can be used to count a separate heavy hitter