/* A "Hello World" Promela model for SPIN. */
active proctype Hello() {
    printf("Hello process, my pid is: %d\n", _pid);
}
init {
    int lastpid;
    printf("init process, my pid is: %d\n", _pid);
    lastpid = run Hello();
    printf("last pid was: %d\n", lastpid);
}
bash-3.2$ spin hello.pml
    init process, my pid is: 1
    Hello process, my pid is: 0
    Hello process, my pid is: 2
    last pid was: 2
3 processes created
bash-3.2$ spin hello.pml
    Hello process, my pid is: 0
    init process, my pid is: 1
    last pid was: 2
    Hello process, my pid is: 2
3 processes created
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Interleaving Semantics

- **Promela processes** execute **concurrently**.
- **Non-deterministic** scheduling of the processes.
- Processes are **interleaved**
  - Only one process can execute a statement at each point in time.
  - Exception: *rendez-vous communication*.
- All statements are **atomic**
  - Each statement is executed without interleaving it parts with other processes.
- Each process may have several **different possible actions** enabled at each point of execution.
  - Only one choice is made, **non-deterministically** (randomly).
Variables and Types (1)

- Five different (integer) basic types.
- Arrays
- Records (structs)
- Type conflicts are detected at runtime.
- Default initial value of basic variables (local and global) is 0.
- mtype (message type) one user-defined enum type

Basic types

- `bit turn=1;` [0..1]
- `bool flag;` [0..1]
- `byte counter;` [0..255]
- `short s;` [-2^16-1.. 2^16 -1]
- `int msg;` [-2^32-1.. 2^32 -1]

Arrays

- `byte a[27];`
- `bit flags[4]` array indexing start at 0

Typedef (records)

```c
typedef Record {
    short f1;
    byte f2;
} Record rr;
rr.f1 = ..
```
Variables and Types (2)

- Variables should be declared.
- Variables can be given a value by:
  - assignment
  - argument passing
  - message passing (see communication)
- Variables can be used in expressions.

Most arithmetic, relational, and logical operators of C/Java are supported, including bitshift operators.

```c
int ii;
bit bb;
bb = 1;
ii = 2;
short s = -1;
typedef Foo {
  bit bb;
  int ii;
};
Foo f;
f.bb = 0;
f.ii = -2;
ii * s + 27 == 23;
printf("value: %d", s * s);
```
Statements (1)

- The body of a process consists of a sequence of statements. A statement is either:
  - executable: the statement can be executed immediately.
  - blocked: the statement cannot be executed.

- An assignment is always executable.

- An expression is also a statement; it is executable if it evaluates to non-zero.
  
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  2 + x & \quad \text{always executable} \\
  x < 27 & \quad \text{only executable if value of } x \text{ is smaller than } 27 \\
  3 + x & \quad \text{executable if } x \text{ is not equal to } -3
  \end{align*}
  \]
Statements (2)

- The **skip** statement is always executable.
  - "does nothing", only changes process’ process counter

- A **run** statement is only executable if a new process can be created (remember: the number of processes is bounded).

- A **printf** statement is always executable (but is not evaluated during verification, of course).

```c
int x;
proctype Aap() {
    int y=1;
    skip;
    run Noot();
    x=2;
    x>2 && y==1;
    skip;
}
```

Executable if **Noot** can be created...

Can only become executable if some other process makes \( x \) greater than 2.
Statements (3)

• `assert(<expr>);`
  – The `assert`-statement is always executable.
  – If `<expr>` evaluates to zero, SPIN will exit with an error, as the `<expr>` “has been violated”.
  – The `assert`-statement is often used within Promela models, to check whether certain properties are valid in a state.

```proctype monitor() {
    assert(n <= 3);
}
proctype receiver() {
    ...
    toReceiver ? msg;
    assert(msg != ERROR);
    ...
}
```
Mutual Exclusion (1)

```c
bit flag; /* signal entering/leaving the section */
byte mutex; /* # procs in the critical section. */

proctype P(bit i) {
    flag != 1;
    flag = 1;
    mutex++;
    printf("MSC: P(%d) has entered section.\n", i);
    mutex--;
    flag = 0;
}

proctype monitor() {
    assert(mutex != 2);
}

init {
    atomic { run P(0); run P(1); run monitor(); }
}
```

Problem: assertion violation!
Both processes can pass the flag != 1 “at the same time”, i.e. before flag is set to 1.

starts two instances of process P

Wrong!

DEMO

Mutual Exclusion (1)
Mutual Exclusion (2)

```c
bit x, y; /* signal entering/leaving the section */
byte mutex; /* # of procs in the critical section. */

active proctype A() {
    x = 1;
    y == 0;
    mutex++;
    mutex--;
    x = 0;
}

active proctype B() {
    y = 1;
    x == 0;
    mutex++;
    mutex--;
    y = 0;
}

active proctype monitor() {
    assert(mutex != 2);
}
```

Process A waits for process B to end.

Problem: invalid-end-state!
Both processes can pass execute $x = 1$ and $y = 1$ “at the same time”, and will then be waiting for each other.
Mutual Exclusion (3)

```c
bit x, y;  /* signal entering/leaving the section */
byte mutex; /* # of procs in the critical section. */
byte turn; /* who's turn is it? */

active proctype A() {
    x = 1;
    turn = B_TURN;
    y == 0 ||
        (turn == A_TURN);
    mutex++;
    mutex--;
    x = 0;
}

active proctype B() {
    y = 1;
    turn = A_TURN;
    x == 0 ||
        (turn == B_TURN);
    mutex++;
    mutex--;
    y = 0;
}

active proctype monitor() {
    assert(mutex != 2);
}
```

Dekker [1962]

First "software-only" solution to the mutex problem (for two processes).

Can be generalised to a single process.
**Mutual Exclusion (4)**

```c
byte turn[2]; /* who’s turn is it? */
byte mutex;   /* # procs in critical section */

proctype P(bit i) {
    do
        :: turn[i] = 1;
        turn[i] = (turn[1-i] + 1);
        (turn[1-i] == 0) || (turn[i] < turn[1-i]);
        mutex++;
        mutex--;
        turn[i] = 0;
    od
}

proctype monitor() {
    assert(mutex != 2);
}
init {
    atomic {
        run P(0);
        run P(1);
        run monitor()
    }
}
```

Problem (in Promela/SPIN): `turn[i]` will overrun after 255.

More mutual exclusion algorithms in (good-old) [Ben-Ari 1990].

---

**DEMO**

**Bakery**
if-statement (1)

\begin{verbatim}
if
:: choice_1 -> stat_1.1; stat_1.2; stat_1.3; ...
:: choice_2 -> stat_2.1; stat_2.2; stat_2.3; ...
:: ...
:: choice_n -> stat_n.1; stat_n.2; stat_n.3; ...
fi;
\end{verbatim}

- If there is at least one \texttt{choice}_i (guard) executable, the \texttt{if}-statement is executable and SPIN non-deterministically chooses one of the executable choices.
- If no \texttt{choice}_i is executable, the \texttt{if}-statement is blocked.
- The operator “\texttt{->}” is equivalent to “;”. By convention, it is used within \texttt{if}-statements to separate the guards from the statements that follow the guards.
**if-statement (2)**

```plaintext
if
:: (n % 2 != 0) -> n=1
:: (n >= 0)     -> n=n-2
:: (n % 3 == 0) -> n=3
:: else        -> skip
fi
```

- The **else** guard becomes executable if none of the other guards is executable.

**non-deterministic branching**

```plaintext
if
:: skip -> n=0
:: skip -> n=1
:: skip -> n=2
:: skip -> n=3
fi
```

**skips are redundant, because assignments are themselves always executable...**
do-statement (1)

```
do
:: choice_1  -> stat_1.1; stat_1.2; stat_1.3; …
:: choice_2  -> stat_2.1; stat_2.2; stat_2.3; …
:: …
:: choice_n  -> stat_n.1; stat_n.2; stat_n.3; …
od;
```

- With respect to the choices, a `do`-statement behaves in the same way as an `if`-statement.

- However, instead of ending the statement at the end of the chosen list of statements, a `do`-statement repeats the choice selection.

- The (always executable) `break` statement exits a `do`-loop statement and transfers control to the end of the loop.
do-statement (2)

- Example – modelling a traffic light

```promela
mtype = { RED, YELLOW, GREEN } ;

active proctype TrafficLight() {
    byte state = GREEN;
    do
        ::  (state == GREEN)  -> state = YELLOW;
        ::  (state == YELLOW) -> state = RED;
        ::  (state == RED)    -> state = GREEN;
    od;
}
```

The do-loop does not contain any non-deterministic choice.

Note: this do-loop does not contain any non-deterministic choice.

if- and do-statements are ordinary Promela statements; so they can be nested.

mtype (message type) models enumerations in Promela
Communication

Major models of communication

1. **Shared variables**
   - one writes, many read later

2. **Point-to-Point synchronous** message passing
   - one sends, one other receives at the same time
   - send blocks until receive can happen

3. **Point-to-Point asynchronous** message passing
   - one sends, one other receives some time later
   - send never blocks

4. **Point-to-Point buffered** message passing
   - When buffer not full behaves like asynchronous
   - When buffer full, two variations: block or drop message
   - send never blocks

5. **Synchronous broadcast**
   - one sends, many receive synchronously
   - First variation: send never blocks process may receive if ready to ready
   - Second variation: send blocks until all possible recipients ready to receive
Communication in SPIN

- With more or less complexity each can implement the others
- Spin supports 1 and 4 (blocks send when buffer full), but with bounded buffers
- Buffer size = 0 $\iff$ synchronous communication
- Large buffer size approximates asynchronous communication
mtype = { RED, YELLOW, GREEN };

active proctype TrafficLight() {
  byte state = GREEN;
  do
    :: (state == GREEN) -> state = YELLOW;
    :: (state == YELLOW) -> state = RED;
    :: (state == RED)    -> state = GREEN;
  od;
}

Example – modelling a traffic light

Note: this do-loop does not contain any non-deterministic choice.

if-and-do statements are ordinary Promela statements; so they can be nested.

mtype (message type) models enumerations in Promela.

Communication (1)

sender
receiver

s2r!MSG
s2r?MSG
r2s!ACK
r2s?ACK

! is sending
? is receiving

Sender
Receiver

s2r
r2s
Communication (2)

- Communication between processes is via channels:
  - message passing
  - rendez-vous synchronisation (handshake)

- Both are defined as channels:

  ```
  chan <name> = [<dim>] of {<t1>,<t2>, ... <tn>};
  ```

  - `chan c = [1] of {bit};`
  - `chan toR = [2] of {mtype, bit};`
  - `chan line[2] = [1] of {mtype, Record};`

  Also called: queue or buffer
  Type of the elements that will be transmitted over the channel
  Number of elements in the channel
  Dim==0 is special case: rendez-vous

  Message passing
  Message testing
  `<var> + <const>` can be mixed
Communication (3)

- channel = FIFO-buffer (for dim>0)

! Sending - putting a message into a channel

\[
\text{ch} ! <\text{expr}_1>, <\text{expr}_2>, \ldots <\text{expr}_n>;
\]

- The values of <\text{expr}_i> should correspond with the types of the channel declaration.
- A send-statement is executable if the channel is not full.

? Receiving - getting a message out of a channel

\[
\text{ch} ? <\text{var}_1>, <\text{var}_2>, \ldots <\text{var}_n>;
\]

- If the channel is not empty, the message is fetched from the channel and the individual parts of the message are stored into the <\text{var}_i>s.

\[
\text{ch} ? <\text{const}_1>, <\text{const}_2>, \ldots <\text{const}_n>;
\]

- If the channel is not empty and the message at the front of the channel evaluates to the individual <\text{const}_i>, the statement is executable and the message is removed from the channel.
Communication \(^{(4)}\)

- **Rendez-vous communication**
  \(<\text{dim}> == 0\)
  The number of elements in the channel is now zero.
  - If send \texttt{ch!} is enabled and if there is a corresponding receive \texttt{ch?} that can be executed simultaneously and the constants match, then both statements are enabled.
  - Both statements will “handshake” and together take the transition.

- **Example:**
  \[
  \text{chan ch} = [0] \text{ of } \{\text{bit, byte}\};
  \]
  - P wants to do \texttt{ch ! 1, 3+7}
  - Q wants to do \texttt{ch ? 1, x}
  - Then after the communication, \texttt{x} will have the value \texttt{10}.
Alternating Bit Protocol

- To every message, the sender adds a bit.
- The receiver acknowledges each message by sending the received bit back.
- To receiver only excepts messages with a bit that it excepted to receive.
- If the sender is sure that the receiver has correctly received the previous message, it sends a new message and it alternates the accompanying bit.
Alternating Bit Protocol (2)

```plaintext
mtype {MSG, ACK};

chan toS = [2] of {mtype, bit};
chan toR = [2] of {mtype, bit};

proctype Sender(chan in, out)
{
  bit sendbit, recvbit;
  do
    :: out ! MSG, sendbit ->
      in ? ACK, recvbit;
    if
      :: recvbit == sendbit ->
        sendbit = 1 - sendbit
      :: else
        fi
    od
}

proctype Receiver(chan in, out)
{
  bit recvbit;
  do
    :: in ? MSG(recvbit) ->
      out ! ACK(recvbit);
  od

  init
  {
    run Sender(toS, toR);
    run Receiver(toR, toS);
  }
}
```

Alternative notation:
```
ch ! MSG(par1, ...)
ch ? MSG(par1, ...)
```
atomic

\begin{verbatim}
atomic { stat_1; stat_2; ... stat_n }
\end{verbatim}

- can be used to group statements into an atomic sequence; all statements are executed in a single step (no interleaving with statements of other processes)
- is executable if \texttt{stat_1} is executable
- if a \texttt{stat_i} (with \texttt{i} > 1) is blocked, the “atomicity token” is (temporarily) lost and other processes may do a step

• (Hardware) solution to the mutual exclusion problem:

\begin{verbatim}
proctype P(bit i) {
    atomic {flag != 1; flag = 1; }
    mutex++;
    mutex--;
    flag = 0;
}
\end{verbatim}
**d_step**

\[
d_{\text{step}} \{ \text{stat}_1; \text{stat}_2; \ldots \text{stat}_n \}
\]

- more efficient version of \texttt{atomic}: no intermediate states are generated and stored
- may only contain deterministic steps
- it is a run-time error if \texttt{stat}_i (i>1) blocks.

- \texttt{d_step} is especially useful to perform intermediate computations in a single transition

\begin{verbatim}
:: Rout?i(v) -> d_step {
  k++;
  e[k].ind = i;
  e[k].val = v;
  i=0; v=0;
}
\end{verbatim}

- \texttt{atomic} and \texttt{d_step} can be used to lower the number of states of the model
proctype P1() { t1a; t1b; t1c }
proctype P2() { t2a; t2b; t2c }
init { run P1(); run P2() }
No atomicity

\begin{verbatim}
proctype P1() { atomic {t1a; t1b; t1c} }
proctype P2() { t2a; t2b; t2c }
init { run P1(); run P2() }
\end{verbatim}

It is as if P1 has only one transition...

If one of P1’s transitions blocks, these transitions may get executed.

Although \texttt{atomic} clauses cannot be interleaved, the intermediate states are still constructed.
proctype P1() { d_step {t1a; t1b; t1c} }
proctype P2() { t2a; t2b; t2c }
init { run P1(); run P2() }

It is as if P1 has only one transition...

No intermediate states will be constructed.
Checking for pure atomicity

- Suppose we want to check that none of the atomic clauses in our model are ever blocked (i.e. pure atomicity).

1. Add a global bit variable:

   ```
   bit aflag;
   ```

2. Change all atomic clauses to:

   ```
   atomic {
   stat_1;
   aflag=1;
   stat_2
   ...
   stat_n
   aflag=0;
   }
   ```

3. Check that `aflag` is always 0.

   ```
   [!]aflag
   ```

   e.g.

   ```
   active process monitor {
   assert(!aflag);
   }
   ```
timeout (1)

- Promela does not have real-time features.
  - In Promela we can only specify functional behaviour.
  - Most protocols, however, use timers or a timeout mechanism to resend messages or acknowledgements.

- timeout
  - SPIN’s timeout becomes executable if there is no other process in the system which is executable
  - so, timeout models a global timeout
  - timeout provides an escape from deadlock states
  - beware of statements that are always executable…
timeout (1)

- Promela does **not** have real-time features.
  - In Promela we can only specify functional behaviour.
  - Most protocols, however, use timers or a timeout mechanism to resend messages or acknowledgements.

- **timeout**
  - SPIN’s timeout becomes executable if there is no other process in the system which is executable
  - so, timeout models a global timeout
  - timeout provides an escape from deadlock states
  - beware of statements that are always executable…
goto

goto label

- transfers execution to label
- each Promela statement might be labelled
- quite useful in modelling communication protocols

```promela
wait_ack:
  if
    :: B?ACK -> ab=1-ab ; goto success
    :: ChunkTimeout?SHAKE ->
      if
        :: (rc < MAX) -> rc++; F!(i==1),(i==n),ab,d[i];
        goto wait_ack
        :: (rc >= MAX) -> goto error
      fi
  fi;
```

Timeout modelled by a channel.
Part of model of BRP
unless

\{ <\textit{stats}> \} unless \{ \textit{guard}; <\textit{stats}> \}

- Statements in <\textit{stats}> are executed until the first statement (\textit{guard}) in the escape sequence becomes executable.
- resembles exception handling in languages like Java
- Example:

```proctype MicroProcessor() {
    { ... /* execute normal instructions */ }
    unless { port ? INTERRUPT; ... }
}
```
Unless

\{ \textit{<stats>} \} \textbf{unless} \{ \textit{guard}; \textit{<stats>} \}

- Statements in \textit{<stats>} are executed \textbf{until} the first statement (\textit{guard}) in the escape sequence becomes executable.
- resembles exception handling in languages like Java
- Example:

```plaintext
proctype MicroProcessor() {
    {
        ...
        /* execute normal instructions */
    }
    unless { port ? INTERRUPT; ... }
}
```
**inline - poor man’s procedures**

- Promela also has its own *macro-expansion* feature using the **inline**-construct.

```c
inline init_array(a) {
    d_step {
        i=0;
        do
            :: i<N -> a[i] = 0; i++
            :: else -> break
        od;
        i=0;
    } // Should be declared somewhere else (probably as a local variable).
}
```

- error messages are more *useful* than when using **define**
- *cannot* be used as expression
- all variables should be declared somewhere else