CS477 Formal Software Dev Methods

Elsa L Gunter
2112 SC, UIUC
egunter@illinois.edu
http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs477

Slides based in part on previous lectures
by Mahesh Vishwanathan, and by Gul Agha

February 9, 2018

Proof by Assumption

$$\frac{\mathtt{A_1} \ldots \mathtt{A_i} \ldots \mathtt{A_n}}{\mathtt{A_i}}$$

- Proof method: `assumption`
- Use:

```
apply assumption
```

- Proves:

$$[\![\mathtt{A_1}; \ldots ; \mathtt{A_n}]\!] \Longrightarrow \mathtt{A}$$

by unifying A with one of the A_i

Rule Application: The Rough Idea

- Applying rule $[\![A_1; \ldots; A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$ to subgoal C:
  - Unify A and C
  - Replace C with n new subgoals: $A'_1 \ \ldots \ A'_n$
- Backwards reduction, like in Prolog

- Example:

  A B

  Rule: $[\![?P; ?Q]\!] \Longrightarrow ?P \wedge ?Q$

  Subgoal: 1. $A \wedge B$

- Resulting Subgoals :

  1. A
  2. B

Rule Application: More Complete Idea

Applying rule $[\![A_1; \ldots; A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$ to subgoal C:

- Unify A and C with (meta)-substitution σ
- Specialize goal to $\sigma(C)$
- Replace C with n new subgoals: $\sigma(A_1) \ \ldots \ \sigma(A_n)$

Note: schematic variables in C treated as existential variables
Does there exist value for $?X$ in C that makes C true?
(Still not the whole story)

`rule` Application

Rule: $[\![A_1; \ldots; A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$

Subgoal: 1. $[\![B_1; \ldots; B_m]\!] \Longrightarrow C$

Substitution: $\sigma(A) \equiv \sigma(C)$

New subgoals:

1. $[\![\sigma(B_1); \ldots; \sigma(B_m)]\!] \Longrightarrow \sigma(A_1)$

$\vdots$

n. $[\![\sigma(B_1); \ldots; \sigma(B_m)]\!] \Longrightarrow \sigma(A_n)$

Proves: $[\![\sigma(B_1); \ldots; \sigma(B_m)]\!] \Longrightarrow \sigma(C)$

Command: `apply (rule` <*rulename*>`)`

Applying Elimination Rules

`apply (erule` <*elim-rule*>`)`

Like `rule` but also

- Unifies first premise of rule with an assumption
- Eliminates that assumption instead of conclusion

Example

Rule: $[\![?\mathtt{P} \wedge ?\mathtt{Q}; [\![?\mathtt{P}; ?\mathtt{Q}]\!] \Longrightarrow ?\mathtt{R}]\!] \Longrightarrow ?\mathtt{R}$

Subgoal: 1. $[\![\mathtt{X}; \mathtt{A} \wedge \mathtt{B}; \mathtt{Y}]\!] \Longrightarrow \mathtt{Z}$

Unification: $?P \wedge ?Q \equiv A \wedge B$ and $?R \equiv Z$

$\{?P \mapsto A;\ ?Q \mapsto B;\ ?R \mapsto Z\}$

$$\frac{P \quad Q \quad \begin{array}{c}\cdots\\ R\end{array}}{P \wedge Q \quad R}$$

$$\frac{}{R}$$

New subgoal: 1. $[\![\mathtt{X}; \mathtt{Y}]\!] \Longrightarrow [\![\mathtt{A}; \mathtt{B}]\!] \Longrightarrow \mathtt{Z}$

Same as: 1. $[\![\mathtt{X}; \mathtt{Y}; \mathtt{A}; \mathtt{B}]\!] \Longrightarrow \mathtt{Z}$

Defining Things

Introducing New Types

- `typedef`: Primitive for type definitions; Only real way of introducing a new type with new properties
  - Must build a model and prove it nonempty
  - Probably won't use in this course
- `typedecl`: Pure declaration; New type with no properties (except that it is non-empty)
- `type_synonym`: Abbreviation - used only to make theory files more readable
- `datatype`: Defines recursive data-types; solutions to free algebra specifications

Datatypes: An Example

```
datatype 'a list = Nil | Cons 'a "'a list"
```

- Type constructors: `list` of one argument
- Term constructors: `Nil :: 'a list`
  `Cons :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list`
- Distinctness: `Nil` $\neq$ `Cons x xs`
- Injectivity:
  `(Cons x xs = Cons y ys) = (x = y ∧ xs = ys)`

Structural Induction on Lists

- To show `P` holds of every list
  - show `P Nil`, and
  - for arbitrary `a` and `list`, show `P list` implies `P (Cons a list)`

$$\frac{\texttt{P Nil} \qquad \begin{array}{c}\texttt{P list}\\ \vdots \\ \texttt{P (Cons a list)}\end{array}}{\texttt{P xs}}$$

In Isabelle:

$$[\![\texttt{?P [ ]}; \Lambda\texttt{a list. ?P list} \Longrightarrow \texttt{?P (a\#list)}]\!] \Longrightarrow \texttt{?P ?list}$$

datatype: The General Case

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \texttt{datatype}\ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)\tau & = & C_1\ \tau_{1,1} \ldots \tau_{1,n_1} \\ & | & \ldots \\ & | & C_k\ \tau_{k,1} \ldots \tau_{k,n_k} \end{array}$$

- Term Constructors:
  $C_i :: \tau_{i,1} \Rightarrow \ldots \Rightarrow \tau_{i,n_i} \Rightarrow (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)\tau$
- Distinctness: $C_i\ x_i \ldots x_{i,n_i} \neq C_j\ y_j \ldots y_{j,n_j}$ if $i \neq j$
- Injectivity: $(C_i\ x_1 \ldots x_{n_i} = C_i\ y_1 \ldots y_{n_i}) =$
  $(x_1 = y_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_{n_i} = y_{n_i})$

Distinctness and Injectivity are applied by `simp`
Induction must be applied explicitly

Proof Method

- **Syntax:** `(induct_tac x)`
  `x` must be a free variable in the first subgoal
  The type of `x` must be a datatype
- **Effect:** Generates 1 new subgoal per constructor
- Type of `x` determines which induction principle to use

case

Every `datatype` introduces a `case` construct, e.g.

```
(case xs of [ ] ⇒...| y#ys ⇒ ...y ...ys ...)
```

In general: `case` *Arbitrarily nested pattern* ⇒ *Expression using pattern variables* | ...

Patterns may be non-exhaustive, or overlapping

Order of clauses matters - early clause takes precedence.

HOL Functions are Total

Why nontermination can be harmful:

- If `f x` is undefined, is `f x = f x`?
- Excluded Middle says it must be `True` or `False`
- Reflexivity says it's `True`
- How about `f x = 0`? `f x = 1`? `f x = y`?
- If `f x` $\neq$ `y` then $\forall$`y. f x` $\neq$ `y`.
- Then f x $\neq$ f x #

! All functions in HOL must be total !

Function Definition in Isabelle/HOL

- Non-recursive definitions with `definition`
  No problem
- Well-founded recursion with `fun`
  Proved automatically, but user must take care that recursive calls are on "obviously" smaller arguments
- Well-founded recursion with `function`
  User must (help to) prove termination
  ($\rightsquigarrow$ later)
- Role your own, via definition of the functions graph
  use of choose operator, and other tedious approaches, but can work when built-in methods don't.
- Shouldn't need last two in this class

A Recursive Function: List Append

Declaration:

```
consts app ::  "'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list
```

and definition by *recursion*:

```
fun
app Nil ys = ys
app (Cons x xs) ys = Cons x (app xs ys)
```

Uses heuristics to find termination order

Guarantees termination (total function) if it succeeds

Demo: Another Datatype Example