

# Program Verification: Lecture 9

José Meseguer

Computer Science Department  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

## Unsorted Homomorphisms

Given unsorted  $\Sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A} = (A, -_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $\mathcal{B} = (B, -_{\mathcal{B}})$ , a  $\Sigma$ -**homomorphism**  $h$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ , written  $h : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , is a function  $h : A \longrightarrow B$  such that (with  $s$  the only sort) we have:

- for each constant  $a : nil \longrightarrow s$  in  $\Sigma$ ,  $h(a_{\mathcal{A}}) = a_{\mathcal{B}}$   
(**preservation of constants**)
- for each  $f : s \cdot^n \cdot s \longrightarrow s$  in  $\Sigma$  and each  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ ,  
we have  $h(f_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = f_{\mathcal{B}}(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_n))$   
(**preservation of operations**)

## Examples of Unsorted Homomorphisms

The term algebra  $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma_{\text{NAT-MIXFIX}}}$ , the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ , and the natural numbers modulo  $n$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_n$  (for any  $n \geq 1$ ) are all  $\Sigma_{\text{NAT-PREFIX}}$ -algebras (Lectures 2–3). Show that (for any  $n$ ) we have  $\Sigma_{\text{NAT-PREFIX}}$ -homomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma_{\text{NAT-PREFIX}}} \xrightarrow{-_{\mathbb{N}}} \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{rem_n} \mathbb{N}_n$$

where  $-_{\mathbb{N}}$  evaluates a term to its value in  $\mathbb{N}$ , and  $rem_n$  sends each number to its remainder after dividing by  $n$ . For example, we should have:

- $(s(0) + s(0))_{\mathbb{N}} = 2$ , and
- $rem_7(23) = 2$ .

Show that  $-_{\mathbb{N}}; rem_n$  is also a homomorphism, and that we have the identity  $-_{\mathbb{N}}; rem_n = -_{\mathbb{N}_n}$ .

## Examples of Unsorted Homomorphisms (II)

Recall (Lecture 2, pg. 30) the powerset algebra  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  over the Boolean signature  $\Sigma_{\text{BOOL}}$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be any sets, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be any function. Prove in detail that the function

$$f^{-1} : \mathcal{P}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$$

defined for any  $A \subseteq Y$  by:  $f^{-1}(A) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in A\}$ , is a  $\Sigma_{\text{BOOL}}$ -homomorphism. Prove also that if we also have a function  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ , then we have the identity  $(f; g)^{-1} = g^{-1}; f^{-1}$ , and therefore that  $g^{-1}; f^{-1} : \mathcal{P}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  is also a  $\Sigma_{\text{BOOL}}$ -homomorphism.

## Many-Sorted Homomorphisms

Given (many-sorted)  $\Sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A} = (A, -_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $\mathcal{B} = (B, -_{\mathcal{B}})$ , a  $\Sigma$ -**homomorphism**  $h$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ , written  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , is an  $S$ -indexed family of functions  $h = \{h_s : A_s \rightarrow B_s\}_{s \in S}$  such that:

- for each constant  $a : nil \rightarrow s$ ,  $h_s(a_{\mathcal{A}}^{nil,s}) = a_{\mathcal{B}}^{nil,s}$   
(**preservation of constants**)
- for each  $f : w \rightarrow s$  with  $w = s_1 \dots s_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and each  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^w$ , we have  
 $h_s(f_{\mathcal{A}}^{w,s}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = f_{\mathcal{B}}^{w,s}(h_{s_1}(a_1), \dots, h_{s_n}(a_n))$   
(**preservation of operations**)

## Examples of Many-Sorted Homomorphisms

Recall the module NAT-LIST in Lecture 2, and the two algebras on such a signature, let us call them  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , defined on page 34–35 of Lecture 2, namely  $\mathcal{A} =$  lists of natural numbers and  $\mathcal{B} =$  (finite) sets of natural numbers. Show that there **cannot** be any  $\Sigma_{\text{NAT-LIST}}$ -homomorphism  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .

For  $\Sigma$  the signature in picture 2.4, consider the first family of algebras for it described in point 1, pages 35–36 of Lecture 2, namely  $n$ -dimensional vector spaces on the rational, the real, or the complex numbers. Let us be specific and fix the reals. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the 3-dimensional real vector space, and  $\mathcal{B}$  the 2-dimensional real vector space. What is then a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ? Prove that

any such homomorphism  $h$  can be completely described by a  $2 \times 3$  matrix  $M_h$  with real coefficients, so that applying to a 3-dimensional vector  $\vec{v}$  the homomorphism  $h$ , that is, computing  $h(\vec{v})$  exactly corresponds to computing the matrix multiplication  $\vec{v} \circ M_h$ . Generalize this to  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  real vector spaces of arbitrary finite dimensions  $n$  and  $m$ . Generalize it further to rational, resp. complex, vector spaces of any pair of finite dimensions  $n$  and  $m$ .

Now generalize this even further to characterize by means of matrices **all**  $\Sigma$ -homomorphisms between  $\Sigma$ -algebras in cases 2–7 in pages 36–38 of Lecture 2, where in case 7 (fuzzy sets) you should restrict yourself to the fuzzy subsets of **finite** sets. Give for each of these cases specific examples of  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  showing how this works and how  $h$  is thus applied to specific elements in the corresponding algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## Order-Sorted Homomorphisms

For  $\Sigma = ((S, <), F)$  an order-sorted signature, and  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  order-sorted  $\Sigma$ -algebras, a  $\Sigma$ -**homomorphism**  $h$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ , written  $h : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , is an  $S$ -indexed family of functions  $h = \{h_s : A_s \longrightarrow B_s\}_{s \in S}$  such that:

- $h : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a many-sorted  $(S, F)$ -homomorphism; and
- if  $[s] = [s']$  and  $a \in A_s \cap A_{s'}$ , then  $h_s(a) = h_{s'}(a)$   
(**agreement on data in the same connected component**)



## Examples of Order-Sorted Homomorphisms

Consider the order-sorted signature  $\Sigma$  of the NAT-LIST-II example in Lecture 2, the two algebras on such a signature, let us call them  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , defined on page 40 of Lecture 2, with  $\mathcal{A}$  case (1), and  $\mathcal{B}$  case (2). Show that there is **exactly one** order-sorted  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism  $h : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . Describe such a homomorphism  $h$  in complete detail. Show that there **cannot be** any other  $\Sigma$ -homomorphisms  $h' : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  with  $h \neq h'$ .

## Initiality of the Term Algebra

If a signature is sensible, then different terms denote different things. In the argot of algebraic specifications, this is expressed by saying that the term algebra has **no confusion**.

Furthermore, the term algebra is in some sense **minimal**, since it has only the elements it needs to have to be an algebra: the constants, and the terms needed so that the operations can yield a result; that is why this minimality is expressed saying that it has **no junk**.

**Note:** In the rest of the course **we will always assume that all signatures are sensible**.

## Initiality of the Term Algebra (II)

This minimality means that there is **at most one way** to map homomorphically the elements of  $\mathcal{T}_\Sigma$  to any algebra. And its “no confusion” lack of ambiguity means that such an homomorphic map can **always** be defined.

For example, it couldn't be defined for  $\Sigma$  the non-sensible signature we showed in pg. 4 of Lecture 3 and the  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}$  with:  $K_A = \{a\}$ ,  $K_B = \{b\}$ ,  $K_C = \{c\}$ ,  $K_D = \{d, d'\}$ , and with  $f^{A,B}(a) = b$ ,  $f^{A,C}(a) = c$ ,  $g^{B,D}(b) = d$ , and  $g^{C,D}(c) = d'$ . Indeed, there is **no**  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism  $h : \mathcal{T}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  at all, since  $h_D(g(f(a)))$  must be either  $d$  or  $d'$ . If  $h_D(g(f(a))) = d$ , then  $h$  fails to preserve the operation  $g : C \rightarrow D$ , and if  $h_D(g(f(a))) = d'$ , then  $h$  fails to preserve the operation  $g : B \rightarrow D$ .

## Initiality of the Term Algebra (III)

In summary, the claim is that, if  $\Sigma$  is sensible, then for any  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  there is a **unique**  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism, say,

$\_A : \mathcal{T}_\Sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . This is called the **initiality property** of  $\mathcal{T}_\Sigma$ .

The map  $\_A$  is the obvious **evaluation function**, mapping each term  $t$  to the result of evaluating it in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\_A$  is defined inductively in the obvious way:

- for a constant  $a$  we define  $(a)_A = a_A$ , and
- for a term  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  we define
$$(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))_A = f_A((t_1)_A, \dots, (t_n)_A).$$

Let us prove it in detail.

**Theorem.** If  $\Sigma$  is a sensible order-sorted signature, then  $\mathcal{T}_\Sigma$  satisfies the initiality property.

## Proof of the Initiality Theorem

**Proof:** For  $\mathcal{A}$  any  $\Sigma$ -algebra Let us first prove the uniqueness of  $\_A$ , and then its existence.

**Proof of uniqueness.** Let us suppose that we have two different homomorphisms  $h, h' : \mathcal{T}_\Sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . We can prove that  $h = h'$  by induction on the depth of the terms.

For terms of depth 0 let  $a$  be a constant in  $T_{\Sigma,s}$ . That means that there is a sort  $s' \leq s$  with an operator declaration  $a : nil \longrightarrow s'$  and therefore, by  $h$  and  $h'$  being  $\Sigma$ -homomorphisms we must have  $h_s(a) = h'_s(a) = a_{\mathcal{A}}^{nil,s'}$ .

## Proof of the Initiality Theorem (II)

Assume that the equality  $h = h'$  holds for terms of depth less or equal to  $n$ , and let  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma, s}$  have depth  $n + 1$ . That means that there is an operator declaration  $f : s_1 \dots s_n \longrightarrow s'$  with  $s' \leq s$  and  $t_i \in T_{\Sigma, s_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Again, by  $h$  and  $h'$  being  $\Sigma$ -homomorphisms we must have:

$$\begin{aligned} h_s(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) &= \\ &= f_{\mathcal{A}}^{s_1 \dots s_n, s'}(h_{s_1}(t_1), \dots, h_{s_n}(t_n)) \quad (h \text{ homomorphism and } s \leq s') \\ &= f_{\mathcal{A}}^{s_1 \dots s_n, s'}(h'_{s_1}(t_1), \dots, h'_{s_n}(t_n)) \quad (\text{induction hypothesis}) \\ &= h'_s(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) \quad (h' \text{ homomorphism and } s \leq s'). \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of the Initiality Theorem (III)

**Proof of Existence.** We can both define  $\_A$  and show that it is a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism by induction on the depth of terms. For terms of depth 0, let  $a \in T_{\Sigma, s}$  be a constant. That means that there is a sort  $s' \leq s$  with an operator declaration  $a : nil \longrightarrow s'$ ; we then define  $(a)_{\mathcal{A}_s} = a_{\mathcal{A}}^{nil, s'}$ .

Note that the constant  $a$  could be subsort-overloaded (cannot be ad-hoc overloaded, since this is ruled out by  $\Sigma$  being sensible) but the above assignment is **well-defined** (does not depend on the particular declaration  $a : nil \longrightarrow s'$  chosen), because by our definition of  $\Sigma$ -algebra the interpretations of all subsort overloaded versions of a constant  $a$  must coincide in the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore,  $\_A$  preserves constants, so it is a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism.

## Proof of the Initiality Theorem (IV)

Assume that  $\_A$  has already been defined and is a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism for terms of depth less or equal to  $n$ , and let  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma, s}$  be a term of depth  $n + 1$ . That means that there is an operator declaration  $f : s_1 \dots s_n \longrightarrow s'$  with  $s' \leq s$  and  $t_i \in T_{\Sigma, s_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We define

$$(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))_A = f_{\mathcal{A}}^{s_1 \dots s_n, s'}((t_1)_A, \dots, (t_n)_A).$$

Note that, by the induction hypothesis,  $\_A$  has already been defined for terms of depth less or equal to  $n$  and is a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism on those terms.

Note also that, by the Lemma on sensible signatures, for any other  $f : s'_1 \dots s'_n \longrightarrow s''$  such that  $t_i \in T_{\Sigma, s'_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we must have,  $[s_i] = [s'_i]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $[s'] = [s'']$ .



## Proof of the Initiality Theorem (V)

Since we have  $[s_i] = [s'_i]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , by definition of order-sorted  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism this then forces,

$$-_{\mathcal{A}_{s_i}}(t_i) = -_{\mathcal{A}_{s'_i}}(t_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then, by our definition of  $\Sigma$ -algebra, all subsort overloaded operators must agree on common data, so that we have,

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}^{s_1 \dots s_n, s'}((t_1)_{\mathcal{A}}, \dots, (t_n)_{\mathcal{A}}) = f_{\mathcal{A}}^{s'_1 \dots s'_n, s''}((t_1)_{\mathcal{A}}, \dots, (t_n)_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Therefore, the definition does not depend on the choice of the subsort overloaded operator. As a consequence, the extension of  $-_{\mathcal{A}}$  to the step  $n + 1$  is well-defined and, by construction, a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism, i.e., we have inductively proved the existence of the  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism  $-_{\mathcal{A}}$ . q.e.d.

## More on Homomorphisms

Homomorphisms compose. That is, if  $h : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $g : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  are  $\Sigma$ -homomorphisms, then  $g \circ h = \{g_s \circ h_s\}_{s \in \Sigma}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism  $g \circ h : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  (**Ex.9.7**).

**Notation.** The notation  $g \circ h$  is the most common mathematical notation for function composition and is good to apply the composition to elements, since  $g \circ h(x) = g(h(x))$  but has the unfortunate drawback of reversing the order of the arrows. Often we will use the alternative notation  $h;g$  which is used for sequential composition in computer science and keeps the order of the arrows.

Identities are homomorphisms. That is, given a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (A, -_{\mathcal{A}})$ , the family of identity functions  $id_{\mathcal{A}} = \{id_{A_s}\}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism  $id_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .

## More on Homomorphisms (II)

A  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism  $h : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is called an **isomorphism** if there is another  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism  $g : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $h;g = id_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $g;h = id_{\mathcal{B}}$ . We then may use the notation  $g = h^{-1}$  and  $h = g^{-1}$ .

We call a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism  $h : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$

- **injective** (resp. **surjective**) if for each sort  $s \in S$  the function  $h_s$  is injective (resp. surjective)
- a **monomorphism** if for any pair of  $\Sigma$ -homomorphisms  $g, q : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , if  $g;h = q;h$  then  $g = q$
- an **epimorphism** if for any pair of  $\Sigma$ -homomorphisms  $g, q : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , if  $h;g = h;q$  then  $g = q$ .

## More on Homomorphisms (III)

For example, if  $\mathbf{N}_{bin}$ , resp.  $\mathbf{N}_{dec}$ , denote the natural numbers with 0, successor, and addition in binary, resp. decimal, representation, we have an obvious binary-to-decimal **isomorphism**  $b2d : \mathbf{N}_{bin} \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}_{dec}$  preserving all operations, whose inverse is the decimal-to-binary **isomorphism**,  $d2b : \mathbf{N}_{bin} \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}_{dec}$ . Of course,  $d2b; b2d = id_{\mathbf{N}_{dec}}$ , and  $b2d; d2b = id_{\mathbf{N}_{bin}}$ .

For  $\mathbf{N}_n$  the residue classes modulo  $n$ , the remainder function  $\mathbf{N} \xrightarrow{rem_n} \mathbf{N}_n$  is a **surjective** homomorphism for  $\Sigma$  containing, say, 0, 1, +,  $\times$ .

Similarly, for  $\mathbf{Z}_{dec}$  the integers in decimal notation, the inclusion  $j : \mathbf{N}_{dec} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{dec}$  is an **injective** homomorphism preserving all shared operations: 0, 1, +,  $\times$ , etc.

## Theorem: All Initial Algebras Are Isomorphic

**Proof:** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are  $\Sigma$ -algebras and both satisfy the initiality property of having a unique  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism to any other algebra. In particular, we have unique homomorphisms,

$$h : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \quad g : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

and therefore a composed homomorphism

$$h; g : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

but we also have the identity homomorphism  $id_{\mathcal{A}}$ , which by uniqueness forces  $h; g = id_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Interchanging the role of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  we also get,  $g; h = id_{\mathcal{B}}$ . q.e.d.

## Evaluating Program Expressions

**Q1:** Can we **model** the **evaluation of expressions** in a programming language using **initial algebras**?

**A1:** We first of all need a **signature**  $\Sigma$  of operations.

For example,  $\Sigma$  could be a signature for integer operations, and/or Boolean operations, and/or real number operations (typically using a floating point representation).

Assume a programming language in which we only have integers and integer operations (note that we can encode true and false as, respectively, 0 and 1). In this case  $\Sigma$  can be unsorted and have two constants, 0 and 1, and three binary function symbols:  $_ + _$ ,  $_ - _$ , and  $_ * _$ .

## Evaluating Program Expressions (II)

**Q2:** What else do we need?

**A2:** We need a set  $X$  of **variables** appearing on our expressions. This means that we need to extend  $\Sigma$  to  $\Sigma(X)$ , so that our program **expressions** will be **terms**  $t \in T_{\Sigma(X)}$ .

**Q3:** And what else do we need if we want to **evaluate** such expressions?

**A3:** We of course need a  $\Sigma$ -**algebra** in which they will be evaluated. For integers expressions this is the algebra  $\mathcal{Z} = (\mathbf{Z}, -_{\mathcal{Z}})$  of the integers with  $+, *, -, 0, 1$ .

## Evaluating Program Expressions (III)

**Q4:** And what else do we need?

**A4:** Since expression evaluation **depends** on the memory state, we need to **model mathematically** memory states.

**Q5:** And how can we model **memory states**?

**A5:** Assuming programs with just global variables, a memory state for arithmetic expressions is just a **function**  $m : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ . This is a special instance of the general notions of an **assignment** of values to variables in an **algebra**.



## Assignments

Given variables in  $X = \{X_s\}$  we will often be interested in **assignments** (also called **valuations**) of data elements in a given  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (A, -_{\mathcal{A}})$  to those variables. Of course, if  $x \in X_s$  then the value, say  $a(x)$ , assigned to  $x$  should be an element of  $A_s$ . That is the assignments should be **well-sorted**. All this can be made precise by defining an assignment as an  $S$ -indexed family of functions,  $a = \{a_s : X_s \longrightarrow A_s\}_{s \in S}$ , denoted  $a : X \longrightarrow A$ .

Often what we want to do with such assignments is to extend them from variables to terms on such variables in the obvious, homomorphic way.

## Evaluating Program Expressions (VI)

**Q6:** Now that we have everything we need, how can evaluation of arithmetic expressions be precisely defined relative to a memory (state)  $m : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ ?

**A6:** As a function  $-(z,m) : T_{\Sigma(X)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  defined inductively by:

1.  $x_{(z,m)} = m(x)$  for  $x \in X$
2.  $0_{(z,m)} = 0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $1_{(z,m)} = 1 \in \mathbf{Z}$
3.  $f(t, t')_{(z,m)} = f_{\mathbf{Z}}(t_{(z,m)}, t'_{(z,m)})$  for  $f \in \{+, *, -\}$ .

## Evaluating Program Expressions (VII)

**Q7:** Conditions (2)–(3) show that  $_{-(\mathcal{Z}, m)}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism. What about condition (1)?

**A7:** Condition (1) plus (2)–(3) show that it is a  $\Sigma(X)$ -homomorphism, when we **extend** the algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  of the integers with the **additional constants**  $X$ , where each  $x \in X$  is interpreted in  $\mathbf{Z}$  as  $m(x)$ . Denote this extended  $\Sigma(X)$ -algebra  $(\mathcal{Z}, m)$ . Then the evaluation of arithmetic expressions is the **unique**  $\Sigma(X)$ -homomorphism:

$$_{-(\mathcal{Z}, m)} : \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma(X)} \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, m)$$

ensured by the initiality of  $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma(X)}$ . So we have modeled **expression evaluation** as a **homomorphism** from  $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma(X)}$  to the  $\Sigma(X)$ -algebra  $(\mathcal{Z}, m)$  extending  $\mathcal{Z}$  with memory  $m$ .

## Exercises

**Ex.9.1.** Show that a homomorphism is injective iff it is a monomorphism. Prove that every surjective homomorphism is an epimorphism. Construct an epimorphism that is not surjective.

**Ex.9.2.** Show that any many-sorted  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism that is surjective and injective is an isomorphism.

Construct an order-sorted homomorphism that is surjective and injective but is not an isomorphism. Give a sufficient condition on the poset  $(S, \leq)$  (more general of course than being a discrete poset, since that is the many-sorted case) so that  $h$  is an isomorphism iff  $h$  is surjective and injective.

## Exercises (II)

**Ex.9.3.** Prove that if an algebra  $\mathcal{J}$  is isomorphic to an initial algebra  $\mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathcal{J}$  itself is initial.

**Ex.9.4.** Show that the natural numbers in Peano notation (zero and successor) and in base 2 are isomorphic  $\Sigma$ -algebras (both initial) for  $\Sigma$  the signature with one sort `Natural` and zero and successor operations.