Note: Answers to the exercises listed below should be handed to the instructor in hardcopy and in typewritten form (latex formatting preferred) by the deadline mentioned above. You should also email to fanyang6@illinois.edu the Maude code for exercises requiring it.

1. Consider the following system module, whose purpose is to generate all permutations of a list L as the final states reachable by rewriting with the rules in the module the initial state perm(L). Note that all functions in the module, except for the l2mset function, are constructors. In particular, perm is also a constructor term. This is because the permutations of L are not computed by “evaluating” perm(L) with some equations, but by changing instead the initial state perm(L) to other states by rewrite rules.

You are asked to specify the rewrite rules (three rules are actually enough) that will make it the case that the final states reachable from perm(L) are exactly the permutations of L. Some sample search computations and the number of solutions you should get in each case are included for your convenience. Note that if a list has length n and all its elements are different, then there are n! permutations of it.

*** if perm(L) is the initial state, then each final state is a permutations of L

mod PERMUTATIONS is protecting QID .

  sorts List State MSet .
  subsort Qid < List < State .
  subsort Qid < MSet .
  op nil : -> List [ctor] .
  op mt : -> MSet [ctor] .
  op __ : MSet MSet -> MSet [ctor assoc comm id: mt] .
  op l2mset : List -> MSet . *** converts a list to a multiset
  op perm : List -> State [ctor] . *** perm(L) initial state, final states all L permutations
  op [_,_] : List MSet -> State [ctor] . *** list-multiset pairs

  var I : Qid . var L : List . var S : MSet .

  eq l2mset(nil) = mt .
  eq l2mset(I : L) = I l2mset(L) .

  *** define here the transitions from perm(L) by some rules, so that the final
  *** states reachable from perm(L) are exactly the permutations of L

  endm

search perm(nil) =>! L . *** 1 solution
search perm('a) =>! L . *** 1 solution
search perm('a : 'b) =>! L . *** 2 solutions
search perm('a : 'b : 'c) =>! L . *** 6 solutions
search perm('a : 'b : 'c : 'd) =>! L . *** 24 solutions
search perm('a : 'b : 'c : 'd : 'd) =>! L . *** 60 solutions
search perm('a : 'b : 'c : 'd : 'e) =>! L . *** 120 solutions
2. In this problem you are asked to define a sorting algorithm for lists of natural numbers, not with equations, but with (transition) rules that rewrite a list to another list with the same multiset of elements but “closer” to the sorted version of the list. If $L$ is the initial state, there should be a single final state, namely, the sorted version of $L$. You then can just compute such a sorted version of $L$ by typing in Maude:

\[ \text{rewrite } L. \]

However, since the passing from a list $L$ to its sorted version is a deterministic process having a single answer, as a sanity check to test your rules, you should check that they are correct by checking that you always get a single final state for each initial state $L$. To help you do that, some sample search commands have also been included.

Write your solution by specifying the (possibly conditional) rule or rules needed to sort a list in the system module below, so that for each list $L$ the single final state will the its sorted version.

Note. Remark that all operators in this module are constructors. This is because no equations are used at all, so that all terms in the module are already in normal form by the (non-existent) equations. All computations are performed by the rule or rules that you are asked to specify, not by equations (except, perhaps, for the use made of some equations in NAT for checking an equational condition in a rule).

Hint. A single conditional rule is enough to solve this problem.

\[
\text{mod SORTING is}
\]
\[
\text{protecting NAT.}
\]
\[
\text{sort List.}
\]
\[
\text{subsort Nat < List.}
\]
\[
\text{op nil : -> List [ctor].}
\]
\[
\text{op _;_ : List List -> List [ctor assoc id: nil].}
\]

\[
\text{vars N M : Nat. vars L Q : List.}
\]

\[
\text{*** include here your rule or rules}
\]

\[
\text{endm}
\]

*** testing by search that your rule or rules are DETERMINISTIC (yield a single final result)

\[
\text{search 5 ; 4 ; 3 ; 2 ; 1 ; 0 =>! L. *** SINGLE solution should be 0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5}
\]
\[
\text{search 3 ; 4 ; 3 ; 5 ; 1 ; 0 =>! L. *** SINGLE solution should be 0 ; 1 ; 3 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5}
\]
\[
\text{search 3 ; 4 ; 3 ; 5 ; 1 ; 4 =>! L. *** SINGLE solution should be 1 ; 3 ; 3 ; 4 ; 4 ; 5}
\]
\[
\text{search 3 ; 4 ; 3 ; 4 ; 1 ; 4 =>! L. *** SINGLE solution should be 1 ; 3 ; 3 ; 4 ; 4 ; 4}
\]

*** testing that your rules yield the correct result

\[
\text{rewrite 5 ; 4 ; 3 ; 2 ; 1 ; 0. *** should be 0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5}
\]
\[
\text{rewrite 3 ; 4 ; 3 ; 5 ; 1 ; 0. *** should be 0 ; 1 ; 3 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5}
\]
\[
\text{rewrite 3 ; 4 ; 3 ; 5 ; 1 ; 4. *** should be 1 ; 3 ; 3 ; 4 ; 4 ; 5}
\]
\[
\text{rewrite 3 ; 4 ; 3 ; 4 ; 1 ; 4. *** should be 1 ; 3 ; 3 ; 4 ; 4 ; 4}
\]