Computability and Complexity Theory

Ivring Machines has finitely many control states and - One read only input take - One write my output take - Finitely many read - write work topes One a given input a Toring Machine may (a) (Halt and) accept (b) Halt but not accept 3 not accept (c) Not halt. For a Turing Machine M, L(M) = { w | M accepto w { $f_{M}(x) = \int String w written en output$ top if M halto en xundefine if M does not halt

A language/problem Å is recursively enmerable /semi decidable if there is a TM M s.t. A = L(M)A language/problem A is recursive/decidable if there is a TM M that halts on all and A = L(M).

Properties of r.e. and recursive languages



(a) If A is recursive then A is r.c. (b) If A is recursive then A is recursive (c) A is recursive if A and A are r.e. (=) Dovetarling Encoding Any (structured) objects can be encoded as a kinary string. (0,,02... On) - binary string encoding $0_1, 0_2 \dots 0_n$ <M> - binary encoding of TM M. $M_n - TM M s.t \langle M \rangle = \pi.$ Universal IM There is TM U that Solves The following problem (membership) L(U) = MP = Z<M, w> M accepto WS MP is re. Theorem $K = \{ \mathcal{X} \mid \mathcal{X} \notin L(M_n) \}$ is not r.e. Proof Consider any TM M. <MYEL(M) iff <M>EK $\langle M \rangle \in (L(M) \setminus \bar{k}) \cup (\bar{k} \setminus L(M)) \neq \emptyset$ $L(m) \neq K$ Reduction A many one mopping reduction from A to B is a computable (total)

function
$$\pm \cdot 2 \rightarrow 2$$
 s.t.
 $\forall w. w \in A$ iff $f(w) \in B$.
If there is reduction from A to B, olenste
 $A \leq m B$
Theorem If $A \leq m B$ and B is r.e. (recursive)
then A is R.e. (recursive).
If $A \leq m B$ and A is not r.e. (underidable)
then B is not r.e. (underidable)-
Proof An algorithm for A
 $inform w$ $f = f(w)$, Algorithm f yes
 $B = No$
Proof An algorithm for A
 $K \leq m B$ then $\overline{A} \leq m \overline{B}$
Proof Asseme $A \leq m \overline{B}$ and $B \leq m C$ then $A \leq m C$.
Let f and g be the reductions
Observe fog is a reduction from $A \leq m \overline{B}$
Then f is also a holenclim from \overline{A} to \overline{B}
Proposition $\overline{K} \leq m \overline{MP}$
 $\overline{MP} = \frac{2}{3} \langle m, w \rangle | w \notin L(M) \frac{2}{3}$
 $\overline{K} = \frac{2}{3} \times | x \notin L(M_m)^2$

f(x) = < Ma, x> is reduction from K & MP $x \in K$ iff $n \notin L(M_n)$ iff $(M_n, n) \in M_P$ iff $f(n) \in M_P$ Corollary Since K is not r.e. Mp is not r.e. Since MP is r.e., K is r.e. MP is not decidable / recursive. -lordeness / Completeness Aio re-hord if JBER.E. BEMA. A is r.e. - complete iff A is r.e. - hand and Au r.e. Theorem MP is r.e. complete. Proof Consider A - Chat is R.R. let M be TM L(M)=A. Need to show $A \leq MP$. $f(x) = \langle M, x \rangle$ is computable. REA iff REL(M) iff <M, n> EMP iff f(x) EMP. Proposition If A is r.e. hard then A is undecidable Proof Since A is r.e. hard and MPER.E $MP \leq_{m} A$. Sonce MPis undecidable, A is undecidable [language m coRE.

$$F.E - complete
R.E - complete
coRE = $\{A \mid \overline{A} \text{ is } 3.e.\}$

(determinatic / norr-determinatic)
Definition $A \downarrow TM$ M runo in time $T(n)$
if for every input W of length n , all
completations of M on W take at onsate
 $T(n)$ of ateps.
Determinatic / norr-determinatic
 $f(n) = T(n)$
 $K = T(n)$
 $K$$$