## OLD CS 473: Fundamental Algorithms, Spring 2015

## Discussion 3

February 5, 2015
3.1. 2SAT.

You are given a boolean formula that is a 2CNF. That is, every clause is the OR of two boolean variables, and the formula is the conjunction of the clauses. For an example, consider the following formula:

$$
F=\left(x_{1} \vee \overline{x_{2}}\right) \wedge\left(\overline{x_{3}} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge\left(\overline{x_{1}} \vee \overline{x_{4}}\right) \wedge\left(\overline{x_{2}} \vee \overline{x_{3}}\right)
$$

(A) What is a satisfying assignment for the above formula?
(B) Describe a linear time algorithm that computes a satisfying assignment if it exists (hint: think about numbers $i /-i$ ).

### 3.2. Reductions.

Show that the following problems can be reduced to the standard shortest path problems. No proof required.
(A) Given directed graph $G=(V, E)$ and two disjoint sets of nodes $S, T$. Find the shortest path from some node in $S$ to some node in $T$.
(B) $G$ is a directed graph and nodes and edges have non-negative lengths. Find $s$ - $t$ shortest path where the length of a path is equal to the sum of the lengths of the nodes and edges on the path.
(C) Given a directed graph $G$ with node lengths (no edge lengths), is there a negative length cycle? Here the length of a cycle is the sum of the lengths of nodes on the cycle.
(D) $G$ is a DAG and each node has a non-negative length. Given two nodes $s, t$ in $G$, find the $s$ - $t$ longest simple path in linear time.
3.3. Quick Fix.

Your "friend" suggests that the easiest algorithm for finding shortest paths in a directed graph with negative-weighted edges is to make all the weights positive by adding a sufficiently large constant to each weight and then running Dijkstra's algorithm. Give an example that you can show your friend to prove that his or her method is incorrect.

### 3.4. Almost Positive.

We are given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$ with potentially negative edge lengths. Your friend ran Dijkstra's algorithm and came up with a shortest path tree $T$ for distances from a node $s$. You realize that Dijkstra's algorithm may not output distances correctly when a graph has negative edge lengths. However, before you run the more expensive Bellman-Ford algorithm, you wish to check whether $T$ is a correct shortest path tree or not. Describe an
$O(m+n)$ time algorithm to do this check. Don't forget to prove that your algorithm is correct!

### 3.5. Limited Shortest Paths.

We are given a directed graph in which the shortest path between any two vertices $u$ and $v$ is guaranteed to have at most $k$ edges. Give an algorithm that finds the shortest path between two vertices $u$ and $v$ in $O(k(n+m))$ time. Remember, edges can have negative weights.

### 3.6. Average cycle.

You are given a directed weighted graph $G$ (the weights are positive), and a number $x$. Design an algorithm that decides if $G$ has a cycle with average cost strictly smaller than $x$. The average cost of a cycle is the total weight of its edges divided by the number of edges. How fast is your algorithm?
3.7. Best edge to add, fast.

Suppose you are given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$ with non-negative edge lengths; $\ell(e)$ is the length of $e \in E$. You are interested in the shortest path distance between two given locations/nodes $s$ and $t$. It has been noticed that the existing shortest path distance between $s$ and $t$ in $G$ is not satisfactory and there is a proposal to add exactly one edge to the graph to improve the situation. The candidate edges from which one has to be chosen is given by $E^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\}$ and you can assume that $E \cap E^{\prime}=\emptyset$. The length of the $e_{i}$ is $\alpha_{i} \geq 0$. Your goal is figure out which of these $k$ edges will result in the most reduction in the shortest path distance from $s$ to $t$. Describe an algorithm for this problem that runs in time $O(n \log n+m+k)$ where $m=|E|$ and $n=|V|$. Note that one can easily solve this problem in $O(k(m+n) \log n)$ by running Dijkstra's algorithm $k$ times, one for each $G_{i}$ where $G_{i}$ is the graph obtained by adding $e_{i}$ to $G$.

