# CS 473: Fundamental Algorithms, Fall 2011

## **Discussion 13**

## November 15, 2011

### 13.1 FROM SET COVER TO MONOTONE SAT.

Consider an instance I of a CNF formula specified by clauses  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k$  over a set of boolean variables  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ . We say that I is **monotone** if each term in each clause consists of a nonnegated variable i.e. each term is equal to  $x_i$ , for some i, rather than  $\overline{x_i}$  (i.e., no negations are allowed). They could be easily satisfied by setting each variable to 1. For example, suppose we have three clauses  $(x_1 \lor x_2), (x_1 \lor x_3), (x_3 \lor x_2)$ . These could be satisfied by setting all three variables to 1, or by setting  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  to 1 and  $x_3$  to 0.

Given a monotone instance of CNF formula, together with a number k, the problem Monotone Satisfiability asks whether there is a satisfying assignment for the instance in which at most k variables are set to 1.

The Set Cover problem asks, given a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m$  of a ground set  $U = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , what is the minimum number of sets of  $\mathcal{F}$  whose union is U?

- (A) Given a decision instance of Set Cover (i.e., given S,  $\mathcal{F}$ , and a k is there a cover of U by k subsets?), show a Karp reduction to Monotone Satisfiability.
- (B) Show how to solve the optimization version of Set Cover (i.e., you are given  $U, \mathcal{F}$ , and you have to compute the minimum number of sets of  $\mathcal{F}$  that cover the ground set) by an algorithm performing a polynomial number of calls to a solver of Monotone Satisfiability.

## 13.2 Building 3CNF formulas.

(A) Consider the following boolean function f and g defined by a truth table. Generate a 3CNF formulas that computes these two functions.

x	y	z	f(x,y,z)
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	1
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1
			(i)

x	y	z	g(x, y, z)		
0	0	0	1		
0	0	1	1		
0	1	0	0		
0	1	1	1		
1	0	0	1		
1	0	1	0		
1	1	0	1		
1	1	1	1		
(ii)					

- (B) Given an arbitrary boolean formula f(x, y, z), describe how to convert it into an equivalent 3CNF formula.
- (C) Argue that any boolean formula with n variables can be converted into a n-CNF formula (i.e., CNF formula where every clause has at most n variables).

#### 13.3 Reducing from 3-coloring to SAT.

SAT is a decision problem that asks whether a given boolean formula in conjunctive normal form (CNF) has an assignment that makes the formula true. The 3-Coloring problem is a decision problem that asks given an undirected graph G, can its vertices be colored with three colors, so that every edge touches vertices with two different colors? Give a polynomial time reduction from 3-coloring to 3SAT.

**Comment:** Observe that to prove the hardness of 3-Coloring we showed the reduction in the other direction.

### 13.4 TURNING 3SAT INTO AN OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM.

Consider the optimization problem MAX SAT.

Problem: MAX SAT

**Instance**: Set U of variables, a collection C of disjunctive clauses of literals where a literal is a variable or a negated variable in U. **Question**: Find an assignment that maximized the number of clauses of C that are being satisfied.

- (A) Prove that MAX SAT is NP-HARD.
- (B) Prove that if each clause has exactly three literals, and we randomly assign to the variables values 0 or 1, then the expected number of satisfied clauses is (7/8)M, where M = |C|.
- (C) Show that for any instance of MAX SAT, where each clause has exactly three different literals, there exists an assignment that satisfies at least 7/8 of the clauses.
- (D) Let (U, C) be an instance of MAX SAT such that each clause has  $\geq 10 \cdot \log_2 n$  distinct variables, where n is the number of clauses. Prove that there exists a satisfying assignment; namely, there exists an assignment that satisfy all the clauses of C.

**Comment:** As such, SAT is easy if all the clauses are large. It is also easy (see the 2SAT problem) if every clause has two variables. Specifically, as the clauses of a SAT become bigger, the problem becomes easier. As such, intuitively 3SAT, where every clause has exactly three variables, is the hardest version of this problem.

## 13.5 Solve 2SAT in linear time.

In the 2SAT problem, you are given a set of clauses, where each clause is the disjunction (OR) of two literals (a literal is a boolean variable or the negation of a Boolean variable). You are looking for a way to assign a value true or false to each of the variables so that *all* clauses are satisfied—that is, there is at least one true literal in each clause.

(A) As an example, here's an instance of 2SAT:

$$(x_1 \vee \overline{x}_2) \land (\overline{x}_1 \vee \overline{x}_3) \land (x_1 \vee x_2) \land (\overline{x}_3 \vee x_4) \land (\overline{x}_1 \vee x_4)$$

This instance has a satisfying assignment: set  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , and  $x_4$  to true, false, false, and true, respectively.

- (a) Are there other satisfying truth assignments of this 2SAT formula? If so, find them all.
- (b) Give an instance of 2SAT with four variables, and with no satisfying assignment.
- (B) We can solve 2SAT efficiently by reducing it to the problem of finding the strongly connected components of a directed graph. Given an instance I of 2SAT with n variables and m clauses, construct a directed graph  $G_I = (V, E)$  as follows.
  - $G_I$  has 2n nodes, one for each variable and its negation.
  - $G_I$  has 2m edges: for each clause  $(\alpha \lor \beta)$  of I (where  $\alpha, \beta$  are literals),  $G_I$  has an edge from the negation of  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ , and one from the negation of  $\beta$  to  $\alpha$ .

Note that the clause  $(\alpha \lor \beta)$  is equivalent to either of the implications  $\overline{\alpha} \Rightarrow \beta$  or  $\overline{\beta} \Rightarrow \alpha$ . In this sense,  $G_I$  records all implications in I.

- (C) Carry out this construction for the instance of 2SAT given above, and for the instance you constructed in (b).
- (D) Show that if  $G_I$  has a strongly connected component containing both x and  $\overline{x}$  for some variable x, then I has no satisfying assignment.
- (E) Now show the converse of (d): namely, that if none of  $G_I$ 's strongly connected components contain both a literal and its negation, then the instance I must be satisfiable. (*Hint*: Assign values to the variables as follows: repeatedly pick a sink strongly connected component of  $G_I$ . Assign value **true** to all literals in the sink, assign **false** to their negations, and delete all of these. Show that this ends up discovering a satisfying assignment.)
- (F) Conclude that there is a linear-time algorithm for solving 2SAT.