CS440/ECE448 Lecture 15: Bayesian Inference and Bayesian Learning

Slides by Svetlana Lazebnik, 10/2016 Modified by Mark Hasegawa-Johnson, 3/2019



Bayesian Inference and Bayesian Learning

- Bayes Rule
- Bayesian Inference
 - Misdiagnosis
 - The Bayesian "Decision"
 - The "Naïve Bayesian" Assumption
 - Bag of Words (BoW)
- Bayesian Learning
 - Maximum Likelihood estimation of parameters
 - Maximum A Posteriori estimation of parameters
 - Laplace Smoothing



Rev. Thomas Bayes (1702-1761)

• The product rule gives us two ways to factor a joint probability:

P(A,B) = P(B|A)P(A) = P(A|B)P(B)

• Therefore,

Bayes' Rule

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

- Why is this useful?
 - "A" is something we care about, but P(A|B) is really really hard to measure (example: the sun exploded)
 - "B" is something less interesting, but P(B|A) is easy to measure (example: the amount of light falling on a solar cell)
 - Bayes' rule tells us how to compute the probability we want (P(A|B)) from probabilities that are much, much easier to measure (P(B|A)).

Bayes Rule example

Eliot & Karson are getting married tomorrow, at an outdoor ceremony in the desert.

- In recent years, it has rained only 5 days each year (5/365 = 0.014). P(R) = 0.014
- Unfortunately, the weatherman has predicted rain for tomorrow. When it actually rains, the weatherman correctly forecasts rain 90% of the time.

$$P(F|R) = 0.9$$

• When it doesn't rain, he incorrectly forecasts rain 10% of the time.

$$P(F|\neg R) = 0.1$$

• What is the probability that it will rain on Eliot's wedding?

$$P(R|F) = \frac{P(F|R)P(R)}{P(F)} = \frac{P(F,R)P(R)}{P(F,R) + P(F,\neg R)} = \frac{P(F|R)P(R)}{P(F|R)P(R) + P(F|\neg R)P(\neg R)}$$
$$= \frac{(0.9)(0.014)}{(0.9)(0.014) + (0.1)(0.956)} = 0.116$$

The More Useful Version of Bayes' Rule



Rev. Thomas Bayes (1702-1761)

This version is what you memorize. $P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$

- Remember, P(B|A) is easy to measure (the probability that light hits our solar cell, if the sun still exists and it's daytime). Let's assume we also know P(A) (the probability the sun still exists).
- But suppose we don't really know P(B) (what is the probability light hits our solar cell, if we don't really know whether the sun still exists or not?)

This version is what you actually use. $P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\neg A)P(\neg A)}$

Bayesian Inference and Bayesian Learning

Bayes Rule

- Bayesian Inference
 - Misdiagnosis
 - The Bayesian "Decision"
 - The "Naïve Bayesian" Assumption
 - Bag of Words (BoW)
- Bayesian Learning
 - Maximum Likelihood estimation of parameters
 - Maximum A Posteriori estimation of parameters
 - Laplace Smoothing

The Misdiagnosis Problem

1% of women at age forty who participate in routine screening have breast cancer. 80% of women with breast cancer will get positive mammographies. 9.6% of women without breast cancer will also get positive mammographies. A woman in this age group had a positive mammography in a routine screening. What is the probability that she actually has breast cancer?

 $P(\text{cancer }|\text{ positive}) = \frac{P(\text{positive }|\text{ cancer})P(\text{cancer})}{P(\text{positive})}$ $= \frac{P(\text{positive }|\text{ cancer})P(\text{cancer})}{P(\text{positive }|\text{ cancer})P(\text{cancer}) + P(\text{positive }|\neg\text{cancer})P(\neg\text{Cancer})}$ $= \frac{0.8 \times 0.01}{0.8 \times 0.01 + 0.096 \times 0.99} = \frac{0.008}{0.008 + 0.095} = 0.0776$

Considering Treatment for Illness, Injury? Get a Second Opinion

https://www.webmd.com/health-insurance/second-opinions#1

WebMD	CHECK YOUR SYMPTOMSFIND A DOCTORFIND LOWEST DRUG PFHEALTHDRUGS &LIVINGFAMILY &NEWS &A-ZSUPPLEMENTSHEALTHYPREGNANCYEXPERT	S SEARCH Q
ADVERTISEMENT		
HEALTH	Health Insurance and Medicare > Reference >	TODAY ON WEBMD
INSURANCE AND MEDICARE HOME	Second Opinions	Clinical Trials What qualifies you for one?
News Reference Quizzes	If your doctor tells you that you have a health problem or suggests a treatment for an illness or injury, you might want a	Working During Cancer Treatment Know your benefits.
Videos Message Boards Find a Doctor	second opinion. This is especially true when you're considering surgery or major procedures.	Going to the Dentist? How to save money.
RELATED TO HEALTH	Asking another doctor to review your case can be useful for many reasons:	Enrolling in Medicare How to get started.

The Bayesian Decision

The agent is given some evidence, E.

The agent has to make a decision about the value of an unobserved variable *Y*. *Y* is called the "query variable" or the "class variable" or the "category."

- Partially observable, stochastic, episodic environment
- Example: $Y \in \{\text{spam, not spam}\}, E = \text{email message}.$
- Example: $Y \in \{\text{zebra, giraffe, hippo}\}, E = \text{image features}$

Dear Sir. First, I must solicit your confidence in this transaction, this is by virture of its nature as being utterly confidencial and top secret. ... TO BE REMOVED FROM FUTURE MAILINGS, SIMPLY REPLY TO THIS MESSAGE AND PUT "REMOVE" IN THE Х SUBJECT. 99 MILLION EMAIL ADDRESSES FOR ONLY \$99

Ck, Iknow this is blatantly OT but I'm beginning to go insane. Had an old Dell Dimension XPS sitting in the corner and decided to put it to use, I know it was working pre being stuck in the corner, but when I plugged it in, hit the power nothing happened.



The Bayesian Decision: Loss Function

- The query variable, Y, is a random variable. Assume its pmf, P(Y=y) is known.
- Furthermore, the true value of Y has already been determined ---we just don't know what it is!
- The agent must ACT by saying "I believe that Y=a".
- The agent has a **post-hoc loss function** L(y, a)
 - L(y, a) is the loss if the true value is Y=y, but the agent says "a"
- The <u>a priori loss function</u> L(Y, a) is a binary random variable

•
$$P(L(Y, a) = 0) = P(Y = a)$$

•
$$P(L(Y, a) = 1) = P(Y \neq a)$$

Loss Function Example

- Suppose Y=outcome of a coin toss.
- The agent will choose the action "a" (which is either a=heads, or a=tails)
- The loss function L(y,a) is

L(y,a)	y=heads	y=tails
a=heads	0	1
a=tails	1	0

 Suppose we know that the coin is biased, so that P(Y=heads)=0.6. Therefore the agent chooses a=heads. The loss function L(Y,a) is now a random variable:

	c=0	c=1
P(L(Y,a)=c)	0.6	0.4

The Bayesian Decision

- The observation, E, is another random variable. Suppose the joint probability P(Y = y, E = e) is known.
- The agent is allowed to observe the true value of E=e before it guesses the value of Y.
- Suppose that the observed value of E is E=e. Suppose the agent guesses that Y=a. Then its loss, L(Y,a), is a conditional random variable:

$$P(L(Y,a) = 0|E = e) = P(Y = a|E = e)$$

$$P(L(Y,a) = 1 | E = e) = P(Y \neq a | E = e) = \sum_{y \neq a} P(Y = y | E = e)$$

The Bayesian Decision

• Suppose the agent chooses any particular action "a", then its expected loss is:

$$E[L(Y,a)|E = e] = \sum_{y} L(y,a)P(Y = y|E = e) = \sum_{y \neq a} P(Y = y|E = e)$$

- Which action, "a", should the agent choose in order to minimize its expected loss?
- The one that has the greatest posterior probability. The best value of "a" to choose is the one given by:

$$a = \arg\max_{a} P(Y = a | E = e)$$

• This is called the Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) decision

MAP decision

The action, "a", should be the value of C that has the highest posterior probability given the observation X=x:

$$a = \operatorname{argmax} P(Y = a | E = e) = \operatorname{argmax} \frac{P(E = e | Y = a) P(Y = a)}{P(E = e)}$$
$$= \operatorname{argmax} P(E = e | Y = a) P(Y = a)$$

$$P(Y = a | E = e) \propto P(E = e | Y = a) P(Y = a)$$
posterior likelihood prior

• Maximum Likelihood (ML) decision:

$$a = \operatorname{argmax} P(E = e | Y = a)$$

The Bayesian Terms

- P(Y = y) is called the "**prior**" (*a priori*, in Latin) because it represents your belief about the query variable before you see any observation.
- P(Y = y | E = e) is called the "**posterior**" (*a posteriori*, in Latin), because it represents your belief about the query variable after you see the observation.
- P(E = e|Y = y) is called the "likelihood" because it tells you how much the observation, E=e, is like the observations you expect if Y=y.
- P(E = e) is called the "evidence distribution" because E is the evidence variable, and P(E = e) is its marginal distribution.

$$P(y|e) = \frac{P(e|y)P(y)}{P(e)}$$

Bayesian Inference and Bayesian Learning

- Bayes Rule
- Bayesian Inference
 - Misdiagnosis
 - The Bayesian "Decision"
 - The "Naïve Bayesian" Assumption
 - Bag of Words (BoW)
- Bayesian Learning
 - Maximum Likelihood estimation of parameters
 - Maximum A Posteriori estimation of parameters
 - Laplace Smoothing

Naïve Bayes model

- Suppose we have many different types of observations (symptoms, features) X₁, ..., X_n that we want to use to obtain evidence about an underlying hypothesis C
- MAP decision:

$$P(Y = y | E_1 = e_1, ..., E_n = e_n) \propto$$

 $P(Y = y)P(E_1 = e_1, ..., E_n = e_n | Y = y)$

• If each feature E_i can take on k values, how many entries are in the pmf table $P(E_1 = e_1, ..., E_n = e_n | Y = y)$?

Naïve Bayes model

- How many entries are in the pmf table $P(e_1, ..., e_n | y)$?
 - Without naïve Bayes: $k(k^n 1)$
 - (k values of Y = y, $k(k^n 1)$ possible combinations of $e_1, ..., e_n$)
- We can make the simplifying assumption that the different features are conditionally independent *given the hypothesis*:

 $P(e_1, \dots, e_n | y) \approx P(e_1 | y) P(e_2 | y) \dots P(e_n | y)$

- If each observation and the hypothesis can take on k values, what is the complexity of storing the resulting distributions?
 - Each $P(e_i|y)$ requires $(k-1) \times k$ (k values of Y = y, k-1 of $E_i = e_i$)
 - There are n of them, for a total space requirement: $n \times (k-1) \times k$

Naïve Bayes model

Suppose we have many different types of observations (symptoms, features) E_1 , ..., E_n that we want to use to obtain evidence about an underlying hypothesis Y

MAP decision:

$$a = \operatorname{argmax} p(Y = a | E_1 = e_1, \dots, E_n = e_n)$$

 $= \operatorname{argmax} p(Y = a) p(E_1 = e_1, \dots, E_n = e_n | Y = a)$
 $\approx \operatorname{argmax} p(Y = a) p(y_1 | a) p(y_2 | a) \dots p(y_n | a)$

Case study: Text document classification

- MAP decision: assign a document to the class with the highest posterior P(class | document)
- Example: spam classification
 - Classify a message as spam if P(spam | message) > P(¬spam | message)



First, I must solicit your confidence in this transaction, this is by virture of its nature as being utterly confidencial and top secret. ...



TO BE REMOVED FROM FUTURE MAILINGS, SIMPLY REPLY TO THIS MESSAGE AND PUT "REMOVE" IN THE SUBJECT.

99 MILLION EMAIL ADDRESSES FOR ONLY \$99 Ok, Iknow this is blatantly OT but I'm beginning to go insane. Had an old Dell Dimension XPS sitting in the corner and decided to put it to use, I know it was working pre being stuck in the corner, but when I plugged it in, hit the power nothing happened.

Case study: Text document classification

- MAP decision: assign a document to the class with the highest posterior P(class | document)
- We have P(class | document) \propto P(document | class)P(class)
- To enable classification, we need to be able to estimate the likelihoods P(document | class) for all classes and priors P(class)

Naïve Bayes Representation

- Goal: estimate likelihoods P(document | class) and priors P(class)
- Likelihood: *bag of words* representation
 - The document is a sequence of words (w₁, ..., w_n)
 - The order of the words in the document is not important
 - Each word is conditionally independent of the others given document class

Dear Sir.



First, I must solicit your confidence in this transaction, this is by virture of its nature as being utterly confidencial and top secret. ...



TO BE REMOVED FROM FUTURE MAILINGS, SIMPLY REPLY TO THIS MESSAGE AND PUT "REMOVE" IN THE SUBJECT.

99 MILLION EMAIL ADDRESSES FOR ONLY \$99



Naïve Bayes Representation

- Goal: estimate likelihoods P(document | class) and priors P(class)
- Likelihood: bag of words representation
 - The document is a sequence of words ($E_1 = w_1, ..., E_n = w_n$)
 - The order of the words in the document is not important
 - Each word is conditionally independent of the others given document class

$$P(document \mid class) = P(w_1, \dots, w_n \mid class) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(w_i \mid class)$$

 Thus, the problem is reduced to estimating marginal likelihoods of individual words p(w_i | class)

Parameter estimation

- Model parameters: feature likelihoods p(word | class) and priors p(class)
 - How do we obtain the values of these parameters?

. . .

prior	P(word spam)	P(word ¬spam)
spam: 0.33	the : 0.0156	the : 0.0210
-spam: 0.67	to : 0.0153	to : 0.0133
_	and : 0.0115	of : 0.0119
	of : 0.0095	2002: 0.0110
	you : 0.0093	with: 0.0108
	a : 0.0086	from: 0.0107
	with: 0.0080	and : 0.0105
	from: 0.0075	a : 0.0100
	1	

. . .

Bag of words illustration

2007-01-23: State of the Union Address George W. Bush (2001-) abandon accountable affordable afghanistan africa aided ally anbar armed army baghdad bless challenges chamber chaos choices civilians coalition commanders commitment confident confront congressman constitution corps debates deduction deficit deliver democratic deploy dikembe diplomacy disruptions earmarks ECONOMY einstein elections eliminates expand extremists failing faithful families freedom fuel funding god haven ideology immigration impose insurgents iran iran iran gaeda radical regimes resolve retreat rieman sacrifices science sectarian senate palestinian payroll province pursuing qaeda radical regimes resolve retreat rieman sacrifices threats uphold victory violence violent Wal washington weapons wesley

> US Presidential Speeches Tag Cloud http://chir.ag/projects/preztags/

Bag of words illustration



US Presidential Speeches Tag Cloud http://chir.ag/projects/preztags/

Bag of words illustration



US Presidential Speeches Tag Cloud http://chir.ag/projects/preztags/

Bayesian Inference and Bayesian Learning

- Bayes Rule
- Bayesian Inference
 - Misdiagnosis
 - The Bayesian "Decision"
 - The "Naïve Bayesian" Assumption
 - Bag of Words (BoW)
- Bayesian Learning
 - Maximum Likelihood estimation of parameters
 - Laplace Smoothing

- Model parameters: feature likelihoods P(word | class) and priors P(class)
 - How do we obtain the values of these parameters?
 - Need *training set* of labeled samples from both classes

of occurrences of this word in docs from this class

P(word | class) =

total # of words in docs from this class

• This is the *maximum likelihood* (ML) estimate, or estimate that maximizes the likelihood of the training data:

$$\prod_{d=1}^{D} \prod_{i=1}^{n_d} P(w_{d,i} \mid class_{d,i})$$

d: index of training document, i: index of a word

• The "bag of words model" has the following parameters:

•
$$\lambda_{cw} \equiv P(W = w | C = c)$$

- $\pi_c \equiv P(C = c)$
- The training data are a set of documents, $E = [D_1, ..., D_m]$, each with its associated class label, $Y = [C_1, ..., C_m]$.
- The likelihood of the training data is the probability of its observations given its labels. If we assume that each document is independent of the others ("episodic"), then we get:

$$P(E,Y) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(D_i | C_i) P(C_i)$$

• The "bag of words model" has the following parameters:

•
$$\lambda_{cw} \equiv P(W = w | C = c)$$

- $\pi_c \equiv P(C = c)$
- Each document is a sequence of words, $D_i = [W_{1i}, ..., W_{ni}]$.
- If we assume that each word is conditionally independent given the class (the naïve Bayes a.k.a. bag-of-words assumption), then we get:

$$P(E,Y) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(C_i = c_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n} P(W_{ji} = w_{ji} | C_i = c_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \pi_{c_i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{c_i w_{ji}}$$

The data likelihood P(X, Y) is maximized if we choose:

 $\lambda_{cw} = \frac{\text{\# occurrences of word } w \text{ in documents of type } c}{\text{total number of words in all documents of type } c}$

 $\pi_c = \frac{\text{\# documents of type } c}{\text{total number of documents}}$

What is the probability that the sun will fail to rise tomorrow?

- # times we have observed the sun to rise = 100,000,000
- # times we have observed the sun not to rise = 0
- Estimated probability the sun will not rise = $\frac{0}{0+100,000,000} = 0$



Oops....

Laplace Smoothing

- The basic idea: add 1 "unobserved observation" to every possible event
- # times the sun has risen or might have ever risen = 100,000,000+1 = 100,000,001
- # times the sun has failed to rise or might have ever failed to rise = 0+1 = 1
- Estimated probability the sun will not rise = $\frac{1}{1+100,000,001}$ = 0.000000099999998

Parameter estimation

• ML (Maximum Likelihood) parameter estimate:

of occurrences of this word in docs from this class

P(word | class) =

total # of words in docs from this class

- Laplacian Smoothing estimate
 - How can you estimate the probability of a word you never saw in the training set? (Hint: what happens if you give it probability 0, then it actually occurs in a test document?)
 - Laplacian smoothing: pretend you have seen every vocabulary word one more time than you actually did

of occurrences of this word in docs from this class + 1

P(word | class) =

total # of words in docs from this class + V

(V: total number of unique words)

Summary: Naïve Bayes for Document Classification

• Naïve Bayes model: assign the document to the class with the highest posterior

$$P(class \mid document) \propto P(class) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i \mid class)$$

• Model parameters:



Bayesian Learning and Bayesian Inference irl:



Review: Bayesian decision making

- Suppose the agent has to make decisions about the value of an unobserved *query variable* Y based on the values of an observed *evidence variable* E
- Inference problem: given some observation E = e, what is P(Y | E=e)?
- Learning problem: estimate the parameters of the probabilistic model P(y | e) given a *training sample* {(e₁,y₁), ..., (e_n,y_n)}