



- Suppose error protection identifies valid and invalid packets
 - O How?
- Can we make the channel appear reliable?
 - Insure packet delivery
 - Maintain packet order
 - Provide reliability at full link capacity



Reliable Transmission Outline

- Fundamentals of Automatic Repeat reQuest (ARQ) algorithms
 - A family of algorithms that provide reliability through retransmission
- ARQ algorithms (simple to complex)
 - stop-and-wait
 - concurrent logical channels
 - sliding window
 - go-back-n
 - selective repeat
- Alternative: forward error correction (FEC)



Terminology

- Acknowledgement (ACK)
 - Receiver tells the sender when a frame is received
 - Selective acknowledgement (SACK)
 - Specifies set of frames received
 - Cumulative acknowledgement (ACK)
 - Have received specified frame and all previous
 - Negative acknowledgement (NAK)
 - Receiver refuses to accept frame now, e.g., when out of buffer space



Terminology

- Timeout (TO)
 - Sender decides the frame (or ACK) was lost
 - Sender can try again




Stop-and-Wait

- Basic idea
 - 1. Send a frame
 - 2. Wait for an ACK or TO
 - 3. If TO, go to 1
 - 4. If ACK, get new frame, go to 1




Stop-and-Wait: Success






Stop-and-Wait: Lost Frame






Stop-and-Wait: Lost ACK





Stop-and-Wait: DelayedFrame






Stop-and-Wait

- Goal
 - Guaranteed, at-most-once delivery
- Protocol Challenges
 - Dropped frame/ACK
 - Duplicate frame/ACK
- Requirements
 - 1-bit sequence numbers (if physical network maintains order)
 - sender tracks frame ID to send
 - receiver tracks next frame ID expected



Stop-and-Wait State Diagram





Stop-and-Wait

- We have achieved
 - Frames delivered reliably and in order
 - Is that enough?
- Problem
 - Only allows one outstanding frame
 - Does not keep the pipe full
 - Example
 - 100ms RTT
 - One frame per RTT = 1KB
 - \blacksquare 1024x8x10 = 81920 kbps
 - Regardless of link bandwidth!



Concurrent Logical Channels

- Used in ARPANET IMP-IMP protocol
- Idea
 - Multiplex logical channels over a physical link
 - Include channel ID in header
 - Use stop-and-wait for each channel
- Result
 - Each channel is limited to stop-and-wait bandwidth
 - Aggregate bandwidth uses full physical channel
 - Supports multiple communicating processes
 - Can use more than one channel per process



Concurrent Logical Channels

Problem

- Bandwidth
 - Use of a single channel per process may waste BW
- Ordering
 - Use of multiple channel per process does not maintain packet ordering across channels!
 - If application has n channels, and one needs a retransmission, it will always be one packet behind the other channels



ARQ: Where are We?

- Goals for reliable transmission
 - Make channel appear reliable
 - Maintain packet order (usually)
 - Impose low overhead/allow full use of link
- Stop-and-Wait
 - Provides reliable in-order delivery
 - Sacrifices performance
- Concurrent Logical Channels
 - Provides reliable delivery at full link bandwidth
 - Sacrifices packet ordering
- Sliding Window Protocol
 - Achieves all three!




Sliding Window Protocol

- Most important and general ARQ algorithm
- Used by TCP
- Outline
 - Concepts
 - Terminology (from P&D)
 - Details
 - Code example
 - Proof of eventual in-order delivery
 - Classification scheme
 - (go-back-n, selective repeat)




Keeping the Pipe Full





Concepts


- Consider an ordered stream of data frames
- Stop-and-Wait
 - Window of one frame
 - Slides along stream over time





Concepts


- Sliding Window Protocol
 - Multiple-frame send window
 - Multiple frame receive window





Sliding Window


- Send Window
 - Fixed length
 - Starts at earliest unacknowledged frame
 - Only frames in window are active





Sliding Window


- Receive Window
 - Fixed length (unrelated to send window)
 - Starts at earliest frame not received
 - Only frames in window accepted





Sliding Window Terminology


- Sender Parameters
 - Send Window Size (SWS)
 - Last Acknowledgement Received (LAR)
 - Last Frame Sent (LFS)






Sliding Window Terminology

- Receiver Parameters
 - Receive Window Size (RWS)
 - Next Frame Expected (NFE)
 - Last Frame Acceptable (LFA)






- Sender Tasks
 - Assign sequence numbers
 - On ACK Arrival
 - Advance LAR
 - Slide window






- Receiver Tasks
 - On Frame Arrival (N)
 - Silently discard if outside of window
 - N < NFE (NACK possible, too)
 - N >= NFE + RWS
 - Send cumulative ACK if within window



- Receiver Tasks
 - On Frame Arrival (N)
 - Silently discard if outside of window
 - N < NFE (NACK possible, too)
 - N >= NFE + RWS
 - Send cumulative ACK if within window




- Sequence number space
 - Finite number, so wrap around
 - Need space larger than SWS (outstanding frames)
 - In fact, need twice as large
- Example
 - 3-bit sequence numbers (0-7)
 - RWS = SWS = 7



- Is log₂(SWS+1) bits enough?
 - No. Example:
 - 3-bit sequence numbers (0-7)
 - RWS = SWS = 7
 - Why isn't 3 bits enough (can you think of an example where it doesn't work?)



- Example of incorrect behavior
 - 3-bit sequence numbers 0-7
 - RWS = SWS = 7
 - Sender transmits 0-6
 - All arrive, but ACK's lost
 - Sender retransmits
 - Receiver accepts as second incarnation of 0-6






-Sliding Window Sequence Numbers

- How many sequence numbers are necessary?
 - Key questions
 - Where can the send window be?
 - What frame can be received next?



Sliding Window Sequence Numbers


- Assume SWS = RWS (simplest, and typical)
- Sender transmits full SWS
- Two extreme cases:
 - None received (waiting for 0...SWS 1)
 - All received (waiting for SWS...2 SWS 1)
- All possible packets must have unique sequence numbers





Sliding Window Sequence Numbers

- Extreme Locations for SWS
- Requirements
 - If a received packet is not in the receive window with no wrap, then it must not be in the receive window with wrap!
- Correctness condition:
 - Number of Sequence Numbers ≥ SWS + RWS
 - Alternates between two halves of the sequence number space





Sliding Window Sequence Numbers

Example

- If SWS = RWS = 8
- At least 16 sequence numbers are needed
- A 4-bit sequence number space is enough

Warning

- P&D sometimes uses the variable Max_Seq_Num for the number of sequence numbers and sometimes for the maximum sequence number (these differ by one!)
- Use Num_Seq_Num for the number of sequence numbers: 0, 1, ..., Num_Seq_Num 1



Window Sizes


- How big should we make SWS?
 - Compute from delay x bandwidth

- How big should we make RWS?
 - Depends on buffer capacity of receiver



Delay x Bandwidth Product - Revisited


- Amount of data in "pipe"
 - channel = pipe
 - delay = length
 - bandwidth = area of a cross section
 - bandwidth x delay product = volume





Delay x Bandwidth Product

- Bandwidth x delay product
 - How many bits the sender must transmit before the first bit arrives at the receiver if the sender keeps the pipe full
 - Takes another one-way latency to receive a response from the receiver





Parameters

- last acknowledgement received (LAR)
- last frame sent (LFS)
- next frame expected (NFE)
- last frame acceptable (LFA)



Constants

- Rend/receive window size (SWS/RWS)
- Maximum sequence number (MAX_SEQ_NO)
- Frame size (FRAME_SIZE, constant for simplicity)



- Data structures
 - Next frame expected (an integer)
 - One frame buffer for each entry in receive window
 - One presence bit for each entry
- Receive window cycles through
 - Sequence numbers
 - Data structures (thus RWS must divide MAX_SEQ_NO)



```
#define RWS
                             /* receive window size
                                                        */
                      16
                              /* max. sequence number+1 */
#define MAX SEQ NO
                              /* (must be multiple of
                                                        */
                              /* RWS for this code)
                                                        */
#define FRAME SIZE
                      1000
                              /* constant for simplicity*/
                             /* RWS frame buffers
char buf[RWS][FRAME SIZE];
                              /* are frame buffers full?*/
int present[RWS];
                                   (initialized to 0's) */
int NFE = 0;
                              /* next frame expected
extern void send ack (int seq no);
extern void pass to app (char* data);
void recv frame (char* data, int seq no);
```



```
void recv frame (char* data, int seq no)
   /* loop index
                                            */
   int i;
   /* Map sequence numbers NFE...predecessor (NFE)
      into 0...MAX SEQ NO - 1, then see if seq no
      falls within the receive window. */
   if (seq no - NFE) < RWS)</pre>
               /* Frames outside the window */
               /* are ignored. (but an ACK
               /* is sent; why?)
                                         */
```





```
/* Got a new frame; pass frames up to host? */
for (i = 0; i < RWS; i++) {
    idx = (i + NFE) % RWS; /* Re-use idx.*/
    /* first missing frame becomes NFE */
    /* after this loop terminates */
    if (!present[idx]) break;
    /* Frame is present—send it up! */
    pass to app (buf[idx]);
    present[idx] = 0; /* Mark buffer empty. */
/* Advance NFE to first missing frame. */
NFE = NFE + i;
```





Correctness

Claim

 A sliding window protocol leads to in-order delivery of all frames

Assumptions

- All sequence numbers are different
- Frames can be lost
- Frames can be delayed an arbitrarily finite amount of time
- Frames are not reordered
- Frames can arrive with detectable errors
- Are these assumption adequate?




Sliding Window Protocol Correctness

- Need one more assumption
 - Any given frame is received without errors after a finite number of retransmissions
- Proof in two steps
 - Establish correctness assuming infinite sequence number space
 - Show that finite sequence number space does not affect result as long as it has
 >= 2 max (SWS, RWS) possible numbers



Sliding Window Protocol Correctness

- Step 1: establish correctness assuming infinite sequence number space
 - Use induction on k with invariant "the kth frame is eventually received"
- Step 2: show that finite sequence number space does not affect result as long as it has >= 2 max (SWS, RWS) possible numbers





ARQ Algorithm Classification

Three Types:

Stop-and-Wait: SWS = 1 RWS = 1

Go-Back-N: SWS = NRWS = 1

SWS = NRWS = MSelective Repeat:

Usually M = N

Stop-And-Wait Go-Back-N **Selective Repeat**




Sliding Window Variations: Go-Back-N

- SWS = N, RWS = 1
- Receiver only buffers one frame
- If a frame is lost, the sender may need to retransmit up to N frames
 - i.e., sender "goes back" N frames
- Variations
 - How long is the frame timeout?
 - Does receiver send NACK for out-of-sequence frame?



Go-Back-N: Cumulative ACKs






Sliding Window Variations:Selective Repeat

- SWS = N, RWS = M
- Receiver buffer M frames
- If a frame is lost, sender must only resend
 - Frames lost within the receive window
- Variations
 - o How long is the frame timeout?
 - Use cumulative or per-frame ACK?
 - Does protocol adapt timeouts?
 - Does protocol adapt SWS and/or RWS?



Selective Repeat





Roles of a Sliding Window Protocol

- Reliable delivery on an unreliable link
 - Core function
- Preserve delivery order
 - Controlled by the receiver
- Flow control
 - Allow receiver to throttle sender
- Separation of Concerns
 - Must be able to distinguish between different functions that are sometimes rolled into one mechanism



Forward Error Correction (FEC)

- Alternative to ARQ algorithms
- Idea
 - Error correction instead of error detection
 - Send extra information to avoid retransmission (i.e., fix errors first/forward rather than afterward/backward)
- Why
 - Very high latency connections
 - Difficult for retransmission

