Appendix D: Storage Systems (Cont)

Instructor: Josep Torrellas CS433

Copyright Josep Torrellas 1999, 2001, 2002, 2013

1

Reliability, Availability, Dependability

- Dependability: deliver service such that reliance can be placed on this service
- Actual vs specified behavior
- System failure: actual and specified behavior deviate
- A fault creates a latent error, which becomes effective when it is activated
- When the error actually affects the delivered service, a failure occurs
- Time between the occurrence of an error and the resulting failure is the error latency.
- THEREFORE: given a fault:
 - its manifestation in the system: an error
 - manifestation of the error on the service: failure

Examples

- Example of a fault: a programming mistake
- Its consequence: a latent error (or an effective error)
- When the effective error causes erroneous data that affects service: a failure
- Example of a fault: alpha particle hitting a DRAM
- If it changes the memory: creates an error
- If the memory word is read and the error affects the delivered service: failure (if EEC corrected the error, a failure would not occur).
- Example of a fault: a mistake by a human operator

Notes

- An effective error often propagates from one component to another, creating new errors
- Service can be in two states:
 - Service accomplishment
 - Service interruption
- Transitions between these two states:
 - failures
 - restoration
- Module reliability: measure of the continuous service accomplishment. Often given as **Mean Time To Failure** (MTTF).
- Reciprocal of MTT: failure rate
- Service interruption: measured as Mean Time To Repair (MTTR).

Module Availability

• Availability: measure of the service accomplished with respect to the alternation between the two states

- Module Availability: MTTF / (MTTF + MTTR)
- Mean time Between Failure (MTBF) = MTTF + MTTR

Example: find system MTTF

- 10 disks, each 1,000,000 hour MTTF
- 1 SCSI controller: 500,000 hour MTTF
- 1 power supply: 200,000 hour MTTF
- 1 fan: 200,000 MTTF
- 1 SCSI cable: 1,000,000 MTTF
- Assume: component lifetimes are exponentially distributed (age of the component is not important in the prob. Failure)
- Assume: failures are indep

• MTTF = 1/Failure_rate = 1M hours / 23 = 43,500 hours

Classifications

- Faults:
 - Hardware faults: devices that fail
 - Design faults: faults in the software or hardware design
 - Operation faults: mistakes by maintenance personnel
 - Environmental faults: fire, flood...
- Faults:
 - Transient: exist for a limited time and are not recurring
 - Intermittent: system oscillate between faulty and fault-free
 - Permanent: remain

Classifications

- Error Recovery:
 - Backward: returns to a previous correct state, such as with checkpoint and restart
 - Forward: constructs a new correct state: TRM (triple module redundancy)
- Reliability Improvements:
 - Fault avoidance: prevents the occurrence of the fault
 - Fault tolerance: provides service with redundancy

Benchmarks of Disk Performance

- 1. Transaction processing benchmarks (TP or OLTP)
- Concerned w/ I/O rate : disk accesses/second
- Involves change to a large body of info (database) from many terminals
- Need to guarantee proper behavior on a failure
 e.g. bank transactions from ATM
 airline reservation systems
- Several benchmarks : TPC-A, TPC-B, TPC-C, TPC-D measure # transactions per second (TPS) or per minute

Example: TPC-C

- Simulates an order-entry environment for a wholesale supplier
- Includes transactions to enter and deliver orders, record payments, check the status of orders, etc
- Runs 5 concurrent transactions of varying complexity
- Measured in transactions per minute (tpmC) and price of the system
- TPC benchmarks (Figure 6.12):
 - the higher the throughput, the better, but price included in benchmark results
 - however , benchmark requires that for throughput \uparrow the size of the files \uparrow
 - this scaling is necessary to ensure that benchmark measures I/O ; else large memory w/small files
 - Throughput is the performance metric, but response times are limited
 - Benchmark results are audited.

Benchmarks of Disk Performance

- 2. Spec system level File Server, Mail and Web Benchmarks
- System Level File Server:
 - synthetic benchmark to evaluate NFS performance
 - contains a mix of rd/wr/file ops
 - scales the size of the file system according to the reported throughput: for every 100 NFS ops/second, capacity must increase by 1GB
- SpecMail: evaluate mail servers
- SpecWeb: evaluate web servers

Since equilibrium ⇒ input rate = output rate
Little's Law :







- $Time_{server}$ = avg time to service a task
- Service rate = $1/\text{Time}_{\text{server}} = \mu$
- Timequeue = avg time per task in the queue
- $Time_{system} = T_{queue} + T_{server}$ = response time
- Arrival rate = # Tasks arriving / second = λ
- Length_{server} = Avg # tasks in service
- Length_{queue} = Avg length queue

Little's law applied to each component

Length_{queue} = Arrival rate * Time_{queue} Length_{server} = Arrival rate * Time_{server}

Example : time to service a disk request 50 ms system requires 200 I/O req/second On average , how many I/O req at the server ? Length server = Arrival rate * Time_{server} 200 req/sec * 0.05 sec = 10 req 10 req on average at the server

Length_{system} = Length_{queue} + Length_{server} = #tasks in system Therefore, Little's law:

Length	= Arrival Rate *	Time
system		system

Server utilization :

$$\rho = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \text{Arrival rate} \\ \text{Service Rate} \end{array}} \\ \text{Needs to be between 0 and 1} \\ \text{also called traffic intensity} \\ \end{array}$$

Example : Disk gets 10 I/O req/second time to service 1 request = 50ms } sever util ?

server util = $\frac{\text{Arrival rate}}{\text{Service Rate}} = \frac{10 \text{ IOPS}}{1/0.05} = 0.5$