CS 425 / ECE 428
Distributed Systems
Fall 2018

Indranil Gupta (Indy)

Lecture 13: Snapshots

Here’s a Snapshot

Wikimedia commons

Distributed Snapshot

- More often, each country’s representative is sitting in their respective capital, and sending messages to each other (say emails).
- How do you calculate a “global snapshot” in that distributed system?
- What does a “global snapshot” even mean?

In the Cloud

- **In a cloud: each application or service is running on multiple servers**
- **Servers handling concurrent events and interacting with each other**
- **The ability to obtain a "global photograph" of the system is important**
- **Some uses of having a global picture of the system**
  - *Checkpointing*: can restart distributed application on failure
  - *Garbage collection* of objects: objects at servers that don't have any other objects (at any servers) with pointers to them
  - Deadlock detection: Useful in database transaction systems
  - Termination of computation: Useful in batch computing systems like Folding@Home, SETI@Home

What's a Global Snapshot?

- **Global Snapshot = Global State =**
  Individual state of each process in the distributed system
  +
  Individual state of each communication channel in the distributed system

- Capture the instantaneous *state* of each process

- And the instantaneous *state* of each communication channel, i.e., *messages* in transit on the channels

Obvious First Solution

- Synchronize clocks of all processes
- Ask all processes to record their states at known time *t*
- Problems?
  - Time synchronization always has error
    - Your bank might inform you, “We lost the state of our distributed cluster due to a 1 ms clock skew in our snapshot algorithm.”
  - Also, does not record the state of messages in the channels

- Again: synchronization not required – causality is enough!

Example





[Global Snapshot 0]



[Global Snapshot 1]



[Global Snapshot 2]



[Global Snapshot 3]



[Global Snapshot 4]



[Global Snapshot 5]



… and so on …

[Global Snapshot 6]

Moving from State to State

- **Whenever an event happens anywhere in the system, the global state changes**
  - Process receives message
  - Process sends message
  - Process takes a step
- **State to state movement <u>obeys causality</u>**
  - Next: Causal algorithm for Global Snapshot calculation

System Model

- **Problem: Record a global snapshot (state for each process, and state for each channel)**
- ***System Model*:**
  - *N* processes in the system
  - There are two uni-directional communication channels between each ordered process pair : P*j* → P*i* and P*i* → P*j*
  - Communication channels are FIFO-ordered
    - First in First out
  - No failure
  - All messages arrive intact, and are not duplicated
    - Other papers later relaxed some of these assumptions

Requirements

- **Snapshot should not interfere with normal application actions, and it should not require application to stop sending messages**
- **Each process is able to record its own state**
  - Process state: Application-defined state or, in the worst case:
  - its heap, registers, program counter, code, etc. (essentially the coredump)
- **Global state is collected in a distributed manner**
- **Any process may initiate the snapshot**
  - We'll assume just one snapshot run for now

Chandy-Lamport Global Snapshot Algorithm

- **First, Initiator P*i* records its own state**
- **Initiator process creates special messages called "Marker" messages**
  - Not an application message, does not interfere with application messages

- **for *j=1 to N* except *i***

    P*i* sends out a Marker message on outgoing channel C_{ij}
  - (*N-1*) channels
  - Starts recording the incoming messages on each of the incoming channels at P*i*: C_{ji} (for *j=1 to N* except *i*)

Chandy-Lamport Global Snapshot Algorithm (2)

Whenever a process P*i* receives a Marker message on an incoming channel C_{ki}

- **if** (this is the first Marker P*i* is seeing)
  - P*i* records its own state first
  - Marks the state of channel C_{ki} as "empty"
  - for *j=1 to N* except *i*
    - P*i* sends out a Marker message on outgoing channel C_{ij}
  - Starts recording the incoming messages on each of the incoming channels at P*i*: C_{ji} (for *j=1 to N* except *i* and *k*)
- **else // already seen a Marker message**
  - Mark the state of channel C_{ki} as all the messages that have arrived on it since recording was turned on for C_{ki}

Chandy-Lamport Global Snapshot Algorithm (3)

The algorithm terminates when

- All processes have received a Marker
  - To record their own state
- All processes have received a Marker on all the (*N*-*1*) incoming channels at each
  - To record the state of all channels

Then, (if needed), a central server collects all these partial state pieces to obtain the full global snapshot

Example



P1 is Initiator:

- Record local state S1,
- Send out markers
- Turn on recording on channels C_{21}, C_{31}




S1, Record C_{21}, C_{31}
A
B
C
D
E
P1
Time
E
F
G
P2
H
I
J
P3
First Marker!
Record own state as S3
Mark C_{13} state as empty
Turn on recording on other incoming C_{23}
Send out Markers


S1, Record C_{21}, C_{31}
A
B
C
D
E
P1
P2
E
F
G
Time
P3
H
I
J
S3
C_{13} = < >
Record C_{23}


Duplicate Marker!
State of channel C31 = < >
S1, Record C21, ~~C31~~
A
B
C
D
E
P1
Time
E
F
G
P2
H
I
J
P3
S3
C13 = < >
Record C23



- S3
- C_{13} = < >
- Record C_{23}

- First Marker!
- Record own state as S2
- Mark C_{32} state as empty
- Turn on recording on C_{12}
- Send out Markers


S1, Record C_{21}, ~~C_{31}~~
C_{31} = < >
A
B
C
D
E
P1
Time
E
F
G
P2
H
I
J
P3
S3
C_{13} = < >
Record C_{23}
S2
C_{32} = < >
Record C_{12}



S1, Record C_{21}, ~~C_{31}~~
$C_{31} = < >$
A
B
C
D
E
P1
Time
E
F
G
P2
H
I
J
P3
• S3
• $C_{13} = < >$
• Record C_{23}
• S2
• $C_{32} = < >$
~~• Record C_{12}~~
• Duplicate!
• $C_{12} = < >$



Duplicate!
C21 = <message G→D >
S1, Record C21, C31
C31 = < >
A
B
C
D
E
P1
Time
E
F
G
P2
H
I
J
P3
S3
C13 = < >
Record C23
S2
C32 = < >
Record C12
C12 = < >



C21 = <message G→D >
C31 = < >
S1, ~~Record C21, C31~~
A
B
C
D
E
P1
Time
E
F
G
P2
H
I
J
P3
• S3
• C13 = < >
• ~~Record C23~~
• S2
• C32 = < >
• ~~Record C12~~
C12 = < >
• Duplicate!
• C23 = < >

Algorithm has Terminated



Collect the Global Snapshot Pieces



Next

- **Global Snapshot calculated by Chandy-Lamport algorithm is <u>causally correct</u>**
  - What?

Cuts

- **Cut = time frontier at each process and at each channel**
- **Events at the process/channel that happen before the cut are "in the cut"**
  - And happening after the cut are "out of the cut"

Consistent Cuts

Consistent Cut: a cut that obeys causality

- A cut C is a consistent cut if and only if:

  for (each pair of events e, f in the system)

  - Such that event e is in the cut C, and if f $\rightarrow$ e (f happens-before e)
    - Then: Event f is also in the cut C

Example


A
B
C
D
E
P1
P2
P3
E
F
G
Time
H
I
J
Consistent Cut
Inconsistent Cut
G → D, but only D is in cut

Our Global Snapshot Example …



… is causally correct



Consistent Cut captured by our Global Snapshot Example

In fact…

- Any run of the Chandy-Lamport Global Snapshot algorithm creates a consistent cut

Chandy-Lamport Global Snapshot algorithm creates a consistent cut

Let’s quickly look at the proof

- Let e_i and e_j be events occurring at P*i* and P*j*, respectively such that
  - $e_i \rightarrow e_j$ (e_i happens before e_j)
- The snapshot algorithm ensures that

  if e_j is in the cut then e_i is also in the cut.
- That is: if $e_j \rightarrow$ <P*j* records its state>, then
  - it must be true that $e_i \rightarrow$ <P*i* records its state>.

Chandy-Lamport Global Snapshot algorithm creates a consistent cut

- **if e_j → <P*j* records its state>, then it must be true that e_i → <P*i* records its state>.**
  - By contradiction, suppose e_j → <P*j* records its state> and <P*i* records its state> → e_i
  - Consider the path of app messages (through other processes) that go from e_i → e_j
  - Due to FIFO ordering, markers on each link in above path will precede regular app messages
  - Thus, since <P*i* records its state> → e_i , it must be true that P*j* received a marker before e_j
  - Thus e_j is not in the cut => contradiction

Next

- What is the Chandy-Lamport algorithm used for?

“Correctness” in Distributed Systems

- Can be seen in two ways
- Liveness and Safety
- Often confused – it’s important to distinguish from each other

Liveness

- **Liveness = guarantee that something good will happen, eventually**
  - Eventually == does not imply a time bound, but if you let the system run long enough, then …

Liveness: Examples

- **Liveness = guarantee that something good will happen, eventually**
  - Eventually == does not imply a time bound, but if you let the system run long enough, then …
- **Examples in Real World**
  - Guarantee that "at least one of the atheletes in the 100m final will win gold" is liveness
  - A criminal will eventually be jailed
- **Examples in a Distributed System**
  - Distributed computation: Guarantee that it will terminate
  - "Completeness" in failure detectors: every failure is eventually detected by some non-faulty process
  - In Consensus: All processes eventually decide on a value

Safety

- Safety = guarantee that something bad will never happen

Safety: Examples

- **Safety = guarantee that something bad will never happen**
- **Examples in Real World**
  - A peace treaty between two nations provides safety
    - War will never happen
  - An innocent person will never be jailed
- **Examples in a Distributed System**
  - There is no deadlock in a distributed transaction system
  - No object is orphaned in a distributed object system
  - "Accuracy" in failure detectors
  - In Consensus: No two processes decide on different values

Can't we Guarantee both?

- **Can be difficult to satisfy both liveness and safety in an asynchronous distributed system!**
  - Failure Detector: Completeness (Liveness) and Accuracy (Safety) cannot both be guaranteed by a failure detector in an asynchronous distributed system
  - Consensus: Decisions (Liveness) and correct decisions (Safety) cannot both be guaranteed by any consensus protocol in an asynchronous distributed system
  - Very difficult for legal systems (anywhere in the world) to guarantee that all criminals are jailed (Liveness) and no innocents are jailed (Safety)

In the language of Global States

- **Recall that a distributed system moves from one global state to another global state, via causal steps**
- **Liveness w.r.t. a property Pr in a given state S means**
  – S satisfies Pr, or there is some causal path of global states from S to S' where S' satisfies Pr
- **Safety w.r.t. a property Pr in a given state S means**
  S satisfies Pr, and all global states S' reachable from S also satisfy Pr

Using Global Snapshot Algorithm

- **Chandy-Lamport algorithm can be used to detect global properties that are stable**
  - Stable = once true, stays true forever afterwards
- **Stable Liveness examples**
  - Computation has terminated
- **Stable Non-Safety examples**
  - There is a deadlock
  - An object is orphaned (no pointers point to it)
- **All stable global properties can be detected using the Chandy-Lamport algorithm**
  - **Due to its causal correctness**

Summary

- The ability to calculate global snapshots in a distributed system is very important
- But don’t want to interrupt running distributed application
- Chandy-Lamport algorithm calculates global snapshot
- Obeys causality (creates a consistent cut)
- Can be used to detect stable global properties
- Safety vs. Liveness

Announcements

- Midterm next Tuesday (10/16)
- Locations:
  - DCL 1320: if your last name starts with A-L
  - 1GH-100: if your last name starts with M-Z
    - 100 Gregory Hall (810 S. Wright St., Urbana)
- Material through lecture 12 (Time and Ordering)

Announcements (2)

- No lecture this Thursday 10/11
- BUT
  - View Four lecture videos on website (included in syllabus, though not midterm)
  - Solve rest of Practice Midterm