What is Cyber-physical Computing: Basic Concepts and Application Examples

A tale of Interactive Complexity in Systems that Interact with the Physical World... and with People!
History: The Beginnings

- NSF Workshop on Cyber-Physical Systems, October 16-17, 2006, Austin, TX.
- National Workshop on **High-Confidence Software** Platforms for Cyber-Physical Systems (HCSP-CPS), November 30 - December 1, 2006, Alexandria, VA.
- National Workshop on High-Confidence **Automotive** Cyber-Physical Systems, April 3-4, 2008, Troy, MI.
- CPSWeek, April 21-24, 2008, St. Louis, MO.
- CPS Summit, April 25, 2008, St. Louis, MO: NSF Announces new CPS Initiative
- The First International Workshop on Cyber-Physical Systems, International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS), June 20, 2008, Beijing, CHINA.
- Workshop on CPS Applications in Smart **Power** Systems, Raleigh, NC, 2011
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Original Focus: Mission-critical Systems

Building Timely, Predictable, Reliable Systems
Two Classical Challenges

- **Establish Functional Correctness:** How to build functionally correct systems from possibly flawed components?

- **Establish Temporal Correctness:** What are the analytic foundations for robust timing guarantees in highly dynamic, time-critical software systems?
Rate of Innovation and Development Time Issues

- Near the turn of the 20th century products had a 20-30 year life-span before new “versions” were developed.
- At present, a product is obsolete in 2-3 years at most.
  - No time to discover and “debug” all possible problems.
  - New problems introduced in new versions.
  - Component reuse generates additional problems.
Software: Increasingly the Primary Cause of System Failure

- Arbitrary component interactions unconstrained by physical laws of nature (algorithms can do anything)
  - Potential for high interactive complexity
- Fast error propagation (at computing device speed)
  - Potential for tight coupling
- Software that interacts with the physical world is buggy!
Typical Isolation Techniques

- **Abstraction**
- **Separation of concerns**

Abstraction

- Transport
- Network
- Link
- Physical

Separate virtual machines or protection domains

Virtualization

Kernel
Abstraction $\rightarrow$ Specialization

- **Complexity**
  - More levels of abstraction
  - Narrower specialization
    - More details are "abstracted away"
  - Myopic view. Less knowledge of possible adverse interactions
  - More potential for interaction or incompatibility errors
The Curse of Component Re-use

The Ariane 5 Explosion

- On June 4, 1996, the maiden flight of the European Ariane 5 launcher crashed about 40 seconds after takeoff (0.5 Billion Dollars)

- Cause of problem?
  - An inertial reference software component.
    - Not needed during flight. Should be stopped before takeoff but is allowed to operate for up to 50 additional seconds to avoid expensive restarts should countdown be interrupted
    - Component was designed for Ariane 4. Ariane 5 was a faster system. Velocity variable overflowed.
    - Overflow causes an exception that is not caught and crashes the software
Example 1: Interactive Complexity in Distributed Protocols

Interactive complexity means:

- Simple individually insignificant failures interact to compound into system failures, or even...
- Sets of correctly operating components interact to produce a system failure
  - Example:
    - Shortest hop routing
    - Adaptive rate control
Example 1:

- Shortest hop routing
  - Find shorter path (fewer hops that are longer)
- Long wireless hops $\rightarrow$ poor channel quality
- Adaptive rate control
  - Reduce transmission rate to improve quality
- Reduced transmission rate
  $\rightarrow$ longer transmission range
Example 2:
Correlated failure modes between “independent components”

- Localization (determining a node’s location) fails in a correlated manner with failure to synchronize clocks. Why?
  - Note: None of the two components uses the other
Example 2:
Correlated failure modes between “independent components”

- Localization (determining a node’s location) fails in a correlated manner with failure to synchronize clocks. Why?
  - Note: None of the two components uses the other
  - Answer: communication problems. Both subsystems rely on distributed protocols

Poor performance is compounded by two correlated failures

- Tracking
- Localization
- Clock synchronization
Example 3: More on hidden interactions

- Magnetic tracking system operates perfectly in calm weather but fails under strong wind conditions. Why?
  - Wind should not change magnetic sensor reading
Example 3: More on hidden interactions

- Magnetic tracking system operates perfectly in calm weather but fails under strong wind conditions. Why?
  - Wind should not change magnetic sensor reading

Explanation

- Wind caused node antenna to vibrate
- Moving (metal) antenna caused a lot of noise on the magnetic sensor
- Noise filter adapted noise threshold to remove background noise (and in this case the signal too)
Example 4: Three Mile Island Nuclear Reactor Failure

Core temperature and pressure continue to build up

Core overheating triggers emergency shutdown

Valve failure indicator light turns on but is occluded by repair tag on another device

Failure to open valves

Open emergency feed-water pumps from emergency tank to cool coolant

Heat exchange stops between primary and secondary cooling systems. Primary overheats.

Stop secondary coolant flow and turbine

False alarm of minor secondary system coolant leakage through seal

Coolant pressure relief valve opens to reduce pressure


Core is flooded with water

Water at very high temperature oxidizes metal fuel rod coating (rusting)

Hydrogen is released eventually leading to explosion
The Fukushima Reactor Failure?

- In April 2011, Japan was hit with an Earthquake followed by a Tsunami. This led to a series of events that ultimately caused a level-7 meltdown in the Fukushima Nuclear Reactor. Can you show the chain of events that led to the meltdown?
Ensuring Software Correctness

- The physical world has no “reset” button
  - When failures occur, they can be costly!
- Must reduce:
  - Interactive complexity
    - Unexpected interactions between seemingly correct components
  - Coupling
    - Fast propagation of effects of failure to other system components
Designing Complex Systems
(Example: Air-traffic control)

- Reduce interactive complexity
  - Air traffic is restricted to non-intersecting “corridors” that separate flight paths in the sky

- Reduce coupling
  - Separate aircraft by a substantial distance to reduce cascaded failure effects (think: multiple-car pile-ups in freeway accidents)
Interaction Examples

- Function calls
- Resource sharing
  - One module crashes $\rightarrow$ overwrites memory of another
  $\rightarrow$ second “unrelated” module crashes (analogy to physical proximity and correlated damage)
  - One module is overloaded $\rightarrow$ another starves
- Timing and synchronization constraints
  - Precedence constraints (one module must execute before another)
  - Exclusion constraints (cannot operate at the same time)
- Assumptions
  - I thought you submitted our project report?
  - No, I thought you did?
Question: How to Build Reliable Software?

- Common approaches:
  - Tracing, source level debugging
  - Simulation/emulation
  - Network error status reporting
  - Log and replay

- Hard to catch all bugs.
Candidate Approach: Formal Methods

- Express safety properties (e.g., task A will never miss its deadline)
- Prove that safety properties hold
  - If proof fails, counter example is presented (a sequence of events that leads to failure)
- Problem:
  - Proofs require axioms. Axioms may make incorrect assumptions (e.g., circular sensing range)
  - Interactions must be explicitly modeled. Failure to model interactions (e.g., between wind and magnetic sensor) may overlook some failure modes.
Living with Buggy Systems

- If errors cannot be avoided (even using formal methods), we must design systems to tolerate them
  - Architectures for “living with bugs”
  - Fast diagnosis and recovery
- Issues
  - Problem must be observable (or else cannot diagnose)
  - Observation must be in time so that recovery is possible (observing that you forgot your parachute after you jump will not help you)
  - Systems with highly auto-correlated state on long time-scales will likely take long to recover
Simplicity to Conquer Complexity
Lui Sha

- Elements of a good design
  - Simple safety core
  - Complex enhanced mission functionality
  - Formal proof of core correctness
  - Well formed dependency (core may use but will not depend on any other components)