

Tarek Abdelzaher

The 4th Credit Project (Suggested: 1-2 persons per project)

- Option 1: Develop a 30 min survey presentation on an advanced topic of your choice in real-time and embedded computing.
 - Topic name due 10/24.
 - Slides due 11/28.
 - Presentation the week of 12/5
- Example topics:
 - Self-driving cars: the state of the art and future challenges
 - Real-time operating systems
 - Multicore scheduling main challenges and results
 - Space applications
 - Scheduling Map/Reduce workflows (with emphasis on time support)
 - Participatory and social sensing (crowd-sensing)
 - Software model checking (proving software correctness)
 - Energy/smart grid

The 4th Credit Project (Suggested: 1-2 persons per project)

- Option 2: Implement a real-time or embedded systems service
 - Service name due 10/24.
 - Slides due 11/28.
 - Presentation + Demo the week of 11/29
- Example services:
 - A real-time scheduler for Roomba
 - Security and diagnostics
 - Real-time Hadoop
 - Social sensing services
 - Your idea here...



Consider a set of periodic tasks where each task, *i*, has a computation time, C_i , a period, P_i , and a relative deadline $D_i < P_i$.



Consider a set of periodic tasks where each task, *i*, has a computation time, C_i , a period, P_i , and a relative deadline $D_i < P_i$.



Consider a set of periodic tasks where each task, *i*, has a computation time, C_i , a period, P_i , and a relative deadline $D_i < P_i$.



P_i
 Schedulability can't be worse than if P_i was reduced to D_i. Thus:

$$\sum_{i} \frac{C_i}{D_i} \le n \left(2^{1/n} - 1 \right)$$

Consider a set of periodic tasks where each task, *i*, has a computation time, C_i , a period, P_i , and a relative deadline $D_i < P_i$.





 P_i

• Worst case interference from a higher priority task, j? C_j P_j D_i D_i









Worst case interference from a higher priority Problem? task, j? P_{j} C_i D_i $\overline{P_i}$ \boldsymbol{D} case interference, I, From higher priority tasks P_i • Schedulability condition: (C_i) + My deadline P_i My exec. time







Solve iteratively for the smallest R_i that satisfies both equations



 $I = \sum_{j} \left| \frac{R_{i}}{P_{j}} \right| C_{j}$ $R_i = I + C_i$





$$I = \sum_{j} \left[\frac{R_{i}}{P_{j}} \right] C_{j}$$
$$R_{i} = I + C_{i}$$

$$I^{(0)} = C_1 = 0.5$$

$$R_2^{(0)} = I^{(0)} + C_2 = 2.5$$

$$I^{(1)} = \left[\frac{R_2^{(0)}}{P_1}\right] C_1 = \left[\frac{2.5}{1.7}\right] 0.5 = 1$$

$$R_2^{(1)} = I^{(1)} + C_2 = 3$$



 $I = \sum_{j} \left[\frac{R_{i}}{P_{j}} \right] C_{j}$ $R_{i} = I + C_{i}$

 $I^{(0)} = C_1 = 0.5$ $R_2^{(0)} = I^{(0)} + C_2 = 2.5$ $I^{(1)} = \left[\frac{R_2^{(0)}}{P_1}\right] C_1 = \left[\frac{2.5}{1.7}\right] 0.5 = 1$ $R_2^{(1)} = I^{(1)} + C_2 = 3$ $I^{(2)} = \left[\frac{R_2^{(1)}}{P_1}\right] C_1 = \left[\frac{3}{1.7}\right] 0.5 = 1$ $R_2^{(2)} = I^{(2)} + C_2 = 3$



$$I = \sum_{j} \left[\frac{R_{i}}{P_{j}} \right] C_{j}$$
$$R_{i} = I + C_{i}$$

 $I^{(0)} = C_1 = 0.5$ $R_2^{(0)} = I^{(0)} + C_2 = 2.5$ $I^{(1)} = \left[\frac{R_2^{(0)}}{P_1}\right] C_1 = \left[\frac{2.5}{1.7}\right] 0.5 = 1$ $R_2^{(1)} = I^{(1)} + C_2 = 3$ $I^{(2)} = \left[\frac{R_2^{(1)}}{P_1}\right] C_1 = \left[\frac{3}{1.7}\right] 0.5 = 1$ $R_2^{(2)} = I^{(2)} + C_2 = 3$ $3 < 3.2 \rightarrow Ok!$

Question: how to execute aperiodic tasks without violating schedulability guarantees given to periodic tasks?

- Question: how to execute aperiodic tasks without violating schedulability guarantees given to periodic tasks?
- One Answer: Execute aperiodic tasks at lowest priority
 - Problem: Poor performance for aperiodic tasks

- Idea: aperiodic tasks can be served by periodically invoked servers
- The server can be accounted for in periodic task schedulability analysis
- The server has a period P_s and a budget B_s
- Server can serve aperiodic tasks until budget expires
- Servers have different flavors depending on the details of when they are invoked, what priority they have, and how budgets are replenished



- Idea: aperiodic tasks can be served by periodically invoked servers
- The server can be accounted for in periodic task schedulability analysis
- The server has a period P_s and a budget B_s
- Server can serve aperiodic tasks until budget expires
- Servers have different flavors depending on the details of when they are invoked, what priority they have, and how budgets are replenished



- Idea: aperiodic tasks can be served by periodically invoked servers
- The server can be accounted for in periodic task schedulability analysis
- The server has a period P_s and a budget B_s
- Server can serve aperiodic tasks until budget expires
- Servers have different flavors depending on the details of when they are invoked, what priority they have, and how budgets are replenished



Polling Server

- Runs as a periodic task (priority set according to RM)
- Aperiodic arrivals are queued until the server task is invoked
- When the server is invoked it serves the queue until it is empty or until the budget expires then suspends itself
 - If the queue is empty when the server is invoked it suspends itself immediately.
- Server is treated as a regular periodic task in schedulability analysis

- Polling server:
 - Period $P_s = 5$
 - Budget $B_s = 2$
- Periodic task
 - *P* = 4
 - *C* = 1.5

• All aperiodic arrivals have C=1



- Polling server:
 - Period $P_s = 5$
 - Budget $B_s = 2$
- Periodic task
 - *P* = 4
 - *C* = 1.5

• All aperiodic arrivals have C=1



- Polling server:
 - Period $P_s = 5$
 - Budget $B_s = 2$
- Periodic task
 - *P* = 4
 - *C* = 1.5

• All aperiodic arrivals have C=1



- Polling server:
 - Period $P_s = 5$
 - Budget $B_s = 2$
- Periodic task
 - *P* = 4
 - *C* = 1.5
- All aperiodic arrivals have C=1



Why not execute immediately?

Deferrable Server

- Keeps the balance of the budget until the end of the period
- Example (continued)





Exercise: Derive the utilization bound for a deferrable server plus one periodic task



Exercise: Derive the utilization bound for a deferrable server plus one periodic task

Priority Exchange Server

- Like the deferrable server, it keeps the budget until the end of server period
- Unlike the deferrable server the priority slips over time: When not used the priority is exchanged for that of the executing periodic task

Priority Exchange Server

Example





Sporadic Server

- Server is said to be *active* if it is in the *running* or *ready* queue, otherwise it is *idle*.
- When an aperiodic task comes and the budget is not zero, the server becomes active
- Every time the server becomes *active*, say at t_A , it sets replenishment time one period into the future, $t_A + P_s$ (but does not decide on replenishment amount).
- When the server becomes idle, say at t_I , set replenishment amount to capacity consumed in $[t_A, t_I]$

$$U_p \le \ln\!\left(\frac{2}{U_s+1}\right)$$

Slack Stealing Server

- Compute a slack function $A(t_s, t_f)$ that says how much total slack is available
- Admit aperiodic tasks while slack is not exceeded

Putting It All Together

- Covered so far:
 - System reliability
 - Data reliability
 - Timeliness

Design problem: Design a "safe" robot

Putting It All Together

- Covered so far:
 - System reliability
 - Data reliability
 - Timeliness

Design problem: Design a "safe" robot