Real-time Synchronization (Semaphores, Resources and Blocking)

> Priority Inheritance Priority Ceiling Slack Resource Policy

Reminder

MP1 due soon.

Announcements I CSL RESEARCH ABOUT NEWS/MEDIA EVENTS DIRECTORY CONTACT NEWS/MEDIA We can a solid a second ward and a second ward and a second ward a second ward a second ward a second Chicago Tribune Home = News/Media R&D This award enables a tru ollaboration between esearchers at ARL and esearchers in academ ind industry to change tatus quo in smai Wanted!! Illinois leads \$25 million Undergraduates for R&D alliance to develop Internet of **Battlefield Things** positions f ¥ G. @ in D essor of Compute 217) 265-6793 Masters/MCS: Full RAships The News-Gazette I Subscribe Thursday, October 12, 2017 61°F Today's Paper Professional (when you BREAKING NEWS Maggio resentenced to 64 years in 2010 shoot graduate): Up to Home » News » Local \$75K/yr Chicago Tribune UI leading \$25 million effort to I military NATIONAL NEW ORIGINAL The Army wants smarter tech gear. U. of I. Fri, 10/06/2017 - 7:00am | Julie is leading the charge. NEWS DESK STUDIO ARMY TECHNOLOGY WCIA 3 NEWS AT NINE INTERNET OF BATTLEFIELD THINGS CHAMPAIGN POLICE, FBI ASK FOR HELP FROM PUBLIC TO DETERMINE MOTIVE BEHIND LAS VEGAS

The Problem

- Tasks have synchronization constraints
 - Semaphores protect critical sections
- Blocking can cause a higher-priority task to wait on a lower-priority one to unlock a resource
 - Problem: In all previous derivations we assumed that a task can only wait for higher-priority tasks not lowerpriority tasks
- Question
 - What is the maximum amount of time a higher-priority task can wait for a lower-priority task?
 - How to account for that time in schedulability analysis?

Mutual Exclusion Constraints

 Tasks that lock/unlock the same semaphore are said to have a mutual exclusion constraint



Priority Inversion

Locks and priorities may be at odds. Locking results in priority inversion





Priority Inversion

How to account for priority inversion?





Unbounded Priority Inversion How to prevent unbounded priority inversion?



Priority Inheritance Protocol Let a task inherit the priority of any higherpriority task it is blocking Attempt to lock S results in blocking High-priority task Unlock S Preempt. Lock S



Priority Inheritance Protocol

- Question: What is the longest time a task can wait for lower-priority tasks?
 - Let there be *N* tasks and *M* semaphores
 - Let the largest critical section of task *i* be of length B_i
- Answer: ?

Computing the Maximum Priority Inversion Time

- Consider the instant when a high-priority task that arrives.
 - What is the most it can wait for lower priority ones?



If I am a task, priority inversion occurs when (a) Lower priority task holds a resource I need (direct blocking) (b) Lower priority task inherits a higher priority than me because it holds a resource the higherpriority task needs (push-through blocking)

Maximum Blocking Time

- If all critical sections are equal (of length B):
 - Blocking time = $B \min(N, M)$
 - (Why?)
- If they are not equal?

Maximum Blocking Time

- If all critical sections are equal (of length B):
 - Blocking time = B min (N, M) (Why?)
- If they are not equal
 - Find the worst (maximum length) critical section for each resource
 - Add up the top min (N, M) sections in size
- The total priority inversion time for task i is called B_i

Schedulability Test

 $\forall i, 1 \le i \le n,$ $\frac{B_i}{P_i} + \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{C_k}{P_k} \le i(2^{1/i} - 1)$

Schedulability Test

$$\forall i, 1 \le i \le n,$$

 $\frac{B_i}{P_i} + \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{C_k}{P_k} \le i(2^{1/i} - 1)$

Why do we have to test each task separately? Why not just one utilization-based test like it used to?

Problem: Deadlock

Deadlock occurs if two tasks locked two semaphores in opposite order



Priority Ceiling Protocol

- Definition: The priority ceiling of a semaphore is the highest priority of any task that can lock it
- A task that requests a lock R_k is denied if its priority is not higher than the highest priority ceiling of all currently locked semaphores (say it belongs to semaphore R_h)
 - The task is said to be blocked by the task holding lock
 R_h
- A task inherits the priority of the top higherpriority task it is blocking





Priority Inheritance Protocol: Maximum Blocking Time





Schedulability

A task can be preempted by only one critical section of a lower priority task (that is guarded by a semaphore of equal or higher priority ceiling). Let max length of such section be B_i

$$\forall i, 1 \le i \le n,$$

 $\frac{B_i}{P_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{C_k}{P_k} \le i(2^{1/i} - 1))$

Slack Resource Policy

- Priority:
 - Any static or dynamic policy (e.g., EDF, RM, ...)
- Preemption Level
 - Any *fixed value* that satisfies: If A arrives after B and Priority (A) > Priority (B) then PreemptionLevel (A) > PreemptionLevel (B)
- Resource Ceiling
 - Highest preemption level of all tasks that might access the resource
- System Ceiling
 - Highest resource ceiling of all currently locked resources
- A task can preempt another if:
 - It has the highest priority
 - Its preemption level is higher than the system ceiling

Example: EDF

- Priority is proportional to the absolute deadline
- Preemption level is proportional to the relative deadline (shoter → higher priority).
- Observe that:
 - If A arrives after B and Priority (A) > Priority (B) then PreemptionLevel (A) > PreemptionLevel (B)





