

CS 421 Lecture 16

- ▶ Functional programming
- ▶ Higher-order functions

History of functional languages

- ▶ LISP, APL (1960)
- ▶ ML (1976) – Milner, “A theory of type polymorphism in programming”
- ▶ SASL (1976) – lazy evaluation
- ▶ SCHEME (1975) – Guy Steele – dialect of LISP with higher-order functions (“lexical scope”)
- ▶ Standard ML, CAML (1980's)
- ▶ Erlang (1987) – Ericsson
- ▶ Haskell (1990) – lazy evaluation
- ▶ Python, ...

Functional languages

- ▶ Expressions (rather than statements)
 - Absence of side effects
 - “Large values”
- ▶ Dynamic memory allocation
- ▶ Recursion
- ▶ Static type checking with polymorphic types (ML, Haskell)
- ▶ **Higher-order functions**, aka “functions as values”
(Scheme, ML, Haskell, Python, ...)
- ▶ Lazy evaluation (Haskell)

Higher-order functions

- ▶ Functions are a type of value (“first-class functions”)
 - Define anonymously
 - Pass as arguments
 - Bind to names
 - Assign to variables
 - Return from functions

Anonymous functions in Ocaml

- ▶ Notation: “`fun x -> e`” – Ocaml expression whose values is a function.
- ▶ “`let f = fun x -> e`” is equivalent to “`let f x = e`”

Passing functions as arguments

Higher-order functions in List module:

map : $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \beta \text{ list}$

applies a function to each element of a list –

map f [x₁; x₂; ... x_n] = [f x₁; f x₂; ... f x_n]

E.g. let lis = [1; 2; 3; 4]

let incr x = x + 1

map incr lis => [2; 3; 4; 5]

or equivalently

map (fun x -> x + 1) lis

Passing functions as arguments

```
fold_right f [x1; x2; ... xn] x  
= f x1 (f x2 (... (f xn z) ...))
```

```
fold_right : (α->β->β) -> (α list)->β->β
```

```
fold_right (fun x y -> x+y) lis 0 => 10
```

(Note: can use “(+)” for function argument.)

▶ Lecture 16

Passing functions as arguments

```
fold_right (fun x -> fun y -> x :: y) lis []  
=> lis
```

```
fold_right (fun x -> fun y -> x :: y) lis lis  
=> lis @ lis
```

```
fold_right  
(fun x -> fun y -> (x + (hd y)) :: y) lis [0]  
[1;2;3;4] => [10;9;7;4;0]
```

```
fold_right  
(fun x -> fun (y :: ys) -> (x + y) :: ys) lis [0]
```

Passing functions as arguments

- ▶ Define f, z such that $\text{fold_right } f \text{ lis } z =$ the pair of lists $(l1, l2)$ where $l1$ contains the elements of lis that are < 0 , and $l2$ contains the rest

```
f = fun x ->
    fun (l1,l2) ->
        if x < 0
        then (x::l1,l2)
        else (l1,x::l2)
```

Passing functions as arguments

`fold_left : ($\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha$) $\rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ list $\rightarrow \alpha$`

`fold_left f [x1; x2; ... xn] x`
 $= f\ f(\dots(f\ z\ x_1)\ x2)\dots)\ x_n$

`fold_left (+) lis 0 => sum of lis`

Defining higher-order functions

```
let rec map f lis =  
  if lis = [] then []  
  else f (hd lis) :: map f (tl lis)
```

```
let rec fold_right f lis z =  
  if lis = [] then z  
  else f (hd lis)  
    (fold_right f (tl lis) z)
```

Understanding higher-order functions

Two approaches: Substitution, or environment/closure model

Consider: let addl = map (fun x -> x+l)

Returns: fun lis -> if lis = [] then []
else f (hd lis)::map f (tl lis)

But this has “f” as a free variable.

Question: when addl is applied, where does the value of f come from?

Substitution model

Replace free variable with its value:

```
map (fun x -> x+1)  
= fun lis -> if lis = [] then []  
  else (fun x -> x+1) (hd lis)::map (fun x -> x+1) (tl lis)
```

(Note: no free variables any more.)

Environment/closure model

Put free variables in a data structure called an *environment*:

$$\{f \rightarrow \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+1\}$$

Keep expression and environment together in a pair:

$$(\text{fun } lis \rightarrow \text{if } lis = [] \text{ then } [] \\ \text{else } f (\text{hd } lis) :: \text{map } f (\text{tl } lis), \{f \rightarrow \text{fun } x \rightarrow x+1\})$$

This pair is called a *closure*.

After applying map to the function, the value is always kept in the form of the closure, never as just the expression.