# Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)



Elsa L Gunter 2112 SC, UIUC

http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs421

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve and Gul Agha

11/29/22



#### **Axiomatic Semantics**

- Also called Floyd-Hoare Logic
- Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- Axiomatic Semantics is a logical system built from axioms and inference rules
- Mainly suited to simple imperative programming languages

11/29/22 2



#### **Axiomatic Semantics**

Used to formally prove a property (postcondition) of the state (the values of the program variables) after the execution of program, assuming another property (pre-condition) of the state holds before execution

11/29/22



#### **Axiomatic Semantics**

- Goal: Derive statements of form {P} C {Q}
  - P, Q logical statements about state,
     P precondition, Q postcondition,
     C program
- Example: {x = 1} x := x + 1 {x = 2}

11/29/22 4



#### **Axiomatic Semantics**

 Approach: For each type of language statement, give an axiom or inference rule stating how to derive assertions of form {P} C {Q}

where C is a statement of that type

 Compose axioms and inference rules to build proofs for complex programs 1

5

#### **Axiomatic Semantics**

- An expression {P} C {Q} is a partial correctness statement
- For total correctness must also prove that C terminates (i.e. doesn't run forever)
  - Written: [P] C [Q]
- Will only consider partial correctness here

11/29/22 6

11/29/22



### Language

 We will give rules for simple imperative language

#### <command>

::= <variable> := <term>

<command>; ...;<command>

if <statement> then <command> else

<command> fi

| while <statement> do <command> od

Could add more features, like for-loops

11/29/22

7



■ Notation: P[e/v] (sometimes P[v <- e])

■ Meaning: Replace every v in P by e

Example:

$$(x + 2) [y-1/x] = ((y - 1) + 2)$$

11/29/22 8



### The Assignment Rule

$${P [e/x]} x := e {P}$$

#### Example:

$$\frac{}{\{ ? \} x := y \{x = 2\}}$$

11/29/22



### The Assignment Rule

$${P [e/x]} x := e {P}$$

Example:

$$\{ = 2 \} x := y \{ x = 2 \}$$

11/29/22 10



### The Assignment Rule

$${P [e/x]} x := e {P}$$

#### Example:

$$\overline{\{y = 2\} \times := y \{x = 2\}}$$

11/29/22



11

### The Assignment Rule

$${P [e/x]} x := e {P}$$

#### Examples:

$${y = 2} x := y {x = 2}$$

$${y = 2} x := 2 {y = x}$$

$${x + 1 = n + 1} x := x + 1 {x = n + 1}$$

$${2 = 2} x := 2 {x = 2}$$

11/29/22



### The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

What is the weakest precondition of

$$x := x + y \{x + y = w - x\}$$
?

{ ? } 
$$x := x + y$$
  $\{x + y = w - x\}$ 

11/29/22

### The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

What is the weakest precondition of

$$x := x + y \{x + y = w - x\}$$
?

$$\{(x + y) + y = w - (x + y)\}\$$
  
 $x := x + y$   
 $\{x + y = w - x\}$ 

11/29/22 14



### **Precondition Strengthening**

- Meaning: If we can show that P implies P' (P→ P') and we can show that {P'} C {Q}, then we know that {P} C {Q}
- P is stronger than P' means P → P'

11/29/22

15

17

13



### **Precondition Strengthening**

Examples:

$$x = 3 \Rightarrow x < 7 \{x < 7\} x := x + 3 \{x < 10\}$$
  
 $\{x = 3\} x := x + 3 \{x < 10\}$ 

True 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 2 = 2 {2 = 2} x:= 2 {x = 2}  
{True} x:= 2 {x = 2}

$$\frac{x=n \Rightarrow x+1=n+1 \quad \{x+1=n+1\} \ x:=x+1 \ \{x=n+1\}}{\{x=n\} \ x:=x+1 \ \{x=n+1\}}$$

11/29/22 16



#### Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \& x < 5\} \ x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} \ x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} \ x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \& x < 5\} \ x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \& x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

11/29/22



#### Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \& x < 5\} \ x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} \ x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} \times := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 & x < 5\} \times := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \& x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

11/29/22



#### Sequencing

$$\frac{\{P\} C_1 \{Q\} - \{Q\} C_2 \{R\}}{\{P\} C_1; C_2 \{R\}}$$

Example:

$${z = z & z = z} x := z {x = z & z = z}$$
  
 ${x = z & z = z} y := z {x = z & y = z}$   
 ${z = z & z = z} x := z; y := z {x = z & y = z}$ 

11/29/22

19



#### Sequencing

$$\frac{\{P\}\ C_1\{Q\} - \{Q\}\ C_2\ \{R\}}{\{P\}\ C_1;\ C_2\ \{R\}}$$

Example:

$${z = z & z = z} x := z {x = z & z = z}$$
  
 ${x = z & z = z} y := z {x = z & y = z}$   
 ${z = z & z = z} x := z; y := z {x = z & y = z}$ 

11/29/22 20



#### Postcondition Weakening

Example:

11/29/22



#### Rule of Consequence

$$\frac{P \rightarrow P' \quad \{P'\} C \{Q'\} \quad Q' \rightarrow Q}{\{P\} C \{Q\}}$$

- Logically equivalent to the combination of Precondition Strengthening and Postcondition Weakening
- Uses P → P' and Q' → Q

11/29/22

 ${y=a&x<0} y:=y-x {y=a+|x|}$ 

(y=a&x<0)**→** y-x=a+|x|

 ${y-x=a+|x|}$  y:=y-x  ${y=a+|x|}$ 

 ${y=a&x<0} y:=y-x {y=a+|x|}$ 



#### If Then Else

 $\{P \text{ and } B\} C_1 \{Q\} \{P \text{ and (not B)}\} C_2 \{Q\}$  $\{P\}$  if B then  $C_1$  else  $C_2$  fi  $\{Q\}$ 

Example: Want

$${y=a}$$
  
if x < 0 then y:= y-x else y:= y+x fi  
 ${y=a+|x|}$ 

Suffices to show:

- (1)  $\{y=a&x<0\}$   $y:=y-x \{y=a+|x|\}$  and
- (4)  $\{y=a&not(x<0)\}\ y:=y+x\ \{y=a+|x|\}$

11/29/22

(1) Reduces to (2) and (3) by Precondition Strengthening

(2) Follows from assignment axiom

(3) Because  $x<0 \rightarrow |x| = -x$ 

11/29/22

23

(3)

(2)

(1)



 ${y=a&not(x<0)} y:=y+x {y=a+|x|}$ 

- (6)  $(y=a&not(x<0)) \rightarrow (y+x=a+|x|)$
- (5)  $\{y+x=a+|x|\}\ y:=y+x\ \{y=a+|x\}\}$
- (4)  $\overline{\{y=a&not(x<0)\}\ y:=y+x\ \{y=a+|x|\}}$
- (4) Reduces to (5) and (6) by Precondition Strengthening
- (5) Follows from assignment axiom
- (6) Because  $not(x<0) \rightarrow |x| = x$

11/29/22

25



- (1)  ${y=a&x<0}y:=y-x{y=a+|x|}$
- (4) {y=a&not(x<0)}y:=y+x{y=a+|x|}

{y=a} if x < 0 then y:= y-x else y:= y+x {y=a+|x|}

By the if then else rule

11/29/22 26



#### While

- We need a rule to be able to make assertions about while loops.
  - Inference rule because we can only draw conclusions if we know something about the body
  - Let's start with:

11/29/22

27

29



#### While

The loop may never be executed, so if we want P to hold after, it had better hold before, so let's try:

11/29/22 28



#### While

- If all we know is P when we enter the while loop, then we all we know when we enter the body is (P and B)
- If we need to know P when we finish the while loop, we had better know it when we finish the loop body:

11/29/22

1

#### While

- We can strengthen the previous rule because we also know that when the loop is finished, not B also holds
- Final while rule:

11/29/22



#### While

```
{ P and B } C { P }
{P} while B do C od {P and not B}
```

P satisfying this rule is called a loop invariant because it must hold before and after the each iteration of the loop

11/29/22

#### While

- While rule generally needs to be used together with precondition strengthening and postcondition weakening
- There is NO algorithm for computing the correct P; it requires intuition and an understanding of why the program works

11/29/22 32



#### Example

Let us prove  $\{x > = 0 \text{ and } x = a\}$ fact := 1; while x > 0 do (fact := fact \* x; x := x - 1) od {fact = a!}

11/29/22



31

#### Example

We need to find a condition P that is true both before and after the loop is executed, and such that

(P and not x > 0)  $\rightarrow$  (fact = a!)

#### Example

First attempt:

$${a! = fact * (x!)}$$

- Motivation:
- What we want to compute: a!
- What we have computed: fact which is the sequential product of a down through (x + 1)
- What we still need to compute: x!

11/29/22

35



11/29/22

#### Example

By post-condition weakening suffices to show

while x > 0 do (fact := fact \* x; x := x - 1) od  ${a! = fact * (x!) and not (x > 0)}$ 

and

2.  $\{a! = fact * (x!) \text{ and not } (x > 0) \} \rightarrow \{fact = a!\}$ 

11/29/22



#### **Problem**

- 2.  $\{a! = fact * (x!) \text{ and not } (x > 0)\} \rightarrow \{fact = a!\}$
- Don't know this if x < 0</p>
- Need to know that x = 0 when loop terminates
- Need a new loop invariant
- Try adding x >= 0
- Then will have x = 0 when loop is done

11/29/22

37



### Example

Second try, combine the two:

$$P = \{a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0\}$$

Again, suffices to show

1. 
$$\{x \ge 0 \text{ and } x = a\}$$

while x > 0 do (fact := fact \* x; x := x - 1) od

 $\{P \text{ and not } x > 0\}$ 

and

2.  $\{P \text{ and not } x > 0\} \rightarrow \{fact = a!\}$ 

11/29/22 38



#### Example

For 2, we need

{a! = fact \* (x!) and x >= 0 and not (x > 0)} 
$$\rightarrow$$
 {fact = a!}

But 
$$\{x \ge 0 \text{ and not } (x \ge 0)\} \rightarrow \{x = 0\} \text{ so}$$

Therefore

{a! = fact \* (x!) and x >= 0 and not (x > 0)} 
$$\rightarrow$$
 {fact = a!}

fact \* (x!) = fact \* (0!) = fact

11/29/22

!



#### Example

For 1, by the sequencing rule it suffices to show

3. 
$$\{x \ge 0 \text{ and } x = a\}$$

$${a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0}$$

And

4. 
$$\{a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0\}$$

while 
$$x > 0$$
 do

(fact := fact \* x; 
$$x := x - 1$$
) od

$${a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0 and not (x > 0)}$$

11/29/22 40



#### Example

Suffices to show that

$${a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0}$$

holds before the while loop is entered and that if

$$\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}$$

holds before we execute the body of the loop, then

$$\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x \ge 0\}$$

holds after we execute the body

11/29/22



#### Example

By the assignment rule, we have

$${a! = 1 * (x!) and x >= 0}$$

$${a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0}$$

Therefore, to show (3), by precondition strengthening, it suffices

to show

$$(x>= 0 \text{ and } x = a) \Rightarrow$$
  
(a! = 1 \* (x!) and x >= 0)

11/29/22

41



#### Example

$$(x>= 0 \text{ and } x = a) \rightarrow$$
  
 $(a! = 1 * (x!) \text{ and } x >= 0)$   
holds because  $x = a \rightarrow x! = a!$ 

Have that  $\{a! = fact * (x!) and x \ge 0\}$ holds at the start of the while loop

11/29/22

#### Example

To show (4):  $\{a! = \text{fact * (x!) and x >= 0} \}$  while x > 0 do (fact := fact \* x; x := x - 1) od  $\{a! = \text{fact * (x!) and x >= 0 and not (x > 0)} \}$  we need to show that  $\{(a! = \text{fact * (x!)) and x >= 0} \}$  is a loop invariant

11/29/22 44



#### Example

We need to show:

$$\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x \ge 0 \text{ and } x \ge 0\}$$
  
( fact = fact \* x; x := x - 1 )  
 $\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x \ge 0\}$ 

We will use assignment rule, sequencing rule and precondition strengthening

11/29/22

43

### Example

By the assignment rule, we have  $\{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}$  x := x - 1  $\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0\}$  By the sequencing rule, it suffices to show  $\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}$  fact = fact \* x  $\{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}$ 

11/29/22 46



#### Example

By the assignment rule, we have that  $\{(a! = (fact * x) * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}$  fact = fact \* x  $\{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}$ By Precondition strengthening, it suffices to show that  $((a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0) \Rightarrow$  ((a! = (fact \* x) \* ((x-1)!)) and x - 1 >= 0)

11/29/22 47



#### Example

However

fact \* x \* 
$$(x - 1)! = \text{fact * } (x!)$$
  
and  $(x > 0) \rightarrow x - 1 >= 0$   
since x is an integer,so  
 $\{(a! = \text{fact * } (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0\} \rightarrow$   
 $\{(a! = (\text{fact * } x) * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}$ 

11/29/22 48



## Example

```
Therefore, by precondition strengthening \{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x \ge 0 \text{ and } x \ge 0\}
fact = fact * x
\{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 \ge 0\}
```

This finishes the proof

11/29/22 49