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## 1 Change Log

1.0 Initial Release.

## 2 Caution

This assignment can appear quite complicated at first. It is essential that you understand how all the code you will write will eventually work together. Please read through all of the instructions and the given code thoroughly before you start, so you have some idea of the big picture.

## 3 Objectives

Your objectives are:

- Constructing data structures from algebraic data types
- Deconstructing data structures built from algebraic data types
- Implementing continuation passing style transformations


## 4 Background

Throughout this ML we will be working with a (very) simple functional language. It is the seed of the language with which we will be working on MLs throughout the rest of this semester. In this ML, instead of writing our programs in text files and parsing them, we will represent the structure of our programs via terms made from a set of four algebraic data types.

## 5 Given Code

This semester the language for which we shall build an interpreter, which we call PicoML, is mainly a simplification of OCaml. In this assignment we shall build a translator from abstract syntax (internal data structures) for PicoML expressions to abstract syntax for a variant that enforces Continuation Passing Style. The file common.cmo contains compiled code to support your construction of this translator. Its contents are described here.

### 5.1 OCaml Types for PicoML AST

Expressions in PicoML are mostly a subset of expressions in OCaml. The Abstract Syntax Trees for PicoML expressions are given by the following OCaml type:

```
type exp = (* Exceptions will be added in later MPs *)
    | VarExp of string (* variables *)
    | ConstExp of const (* constants *)
    | MonOpAppExp of mon_op * exp (* % expl
                where % is a builtin monadic operator *)
    | BinOpAppExp of bin_op * exp * exp (* exp1 % exp2
                            where % is a builtin binary operator *)
    | IfExp of exp * exp * exp (* if exp1 then exp2 else exp3 *)
    | AppExp of exp * exp (* exp1 exp2 *)
    | FunExp of string * exp (* fun x -> exp1 *)
    | LetInExp of string * exp * exp (* let x = exp1 in exp2 *)
    | LetRecInExp of string * string * exp * exp
    (* let rec f x = exp1 in exp2 *)
```

This type makes use of the auxiliary types:

```
type const =
    BoolConst of bool (* for true and false *)
    | IntConst of int (* 0,1,2, ... *)
    | FloatConst of float (* 2.1, 3.0, 5.975, ... *)
    | StringConst of string (* "a", "hi there", ... *)
    | NilConst (* [ ] *)
    | UnitConst (* ( ) *)
```

for representing the constants. The mon_op type represents unary (a.k.a. monadic) operators in PicoML.

```
type mon_op =
        IntNegOp (* integer negation *)
    | HdOp (* hd *)
    | TlOp (* tl *)
    | FstOp (* fst *)
    | SndOp (* snd *)
```

The primitive binary operators are given by the Ocaml data type bin_op.

```
type bin_op =
        IntPlusOp
        (* _ + _ *)
    | IntMinusOp (* _ - _ *)
    | IntTimesOp (* _ * _ *)
    | IntDivOp (* _ / _ *)
    | FloatPlusOp (* _ +. _ *)
    | FloatMinusOp (* _ -. _ *)
    | FloatTimesOp (* _ *. _ *)
    | FloatDivOp (* _ /. _ *)
    | ConcatOp (* _ ^ _ *)
    | ConsOp (* _ :: _ *)
    | CommaOp (* _ , _ *)
    | EqOp (* _ = _ *)
    | GreaterOp (* _ > _ *)
```

Any of these types may be expanded in future MLs in order to enrich the language.

Most of the constructors of exp should be self-explanatory. Names of constants are represented by the type const. Names of variables are represented by strings. The constructors that take string arguments (VarExp, FunExp, LetInExp and LetRecInExp) use the strings to represent the names of the variables that they bind. BinOpAppExp takes the binary operator, represented by the type bin_op, together with two operands. Similarly, MonOpAppExp takes the unary operator of the mon_op type and an operand. IfExp is for if_then_else_expressons, FunExp is for function expressions, and AppExp is for the application of one expression to another. Let InExp and LetRecInExp are for introducing local bindings in expressions.

There are companion functions string_of_exp, and print_exp for viewing expressions in a more readable form. To see the data structure that results from evaluation of an expression in an empty environment, you may use eval : exp -> value.

In the file eval. cmo is compiled code for a function print_eval:exp -> unit that will execute your code, printing a string that is what the top-level loop would print as a value if you were to execute the corresponding code in OCaml. To use print_eval in OCaml, execute
\#load "common.cmo" \#load "eval.cmo"; and then import the needed modules:
open Common
open Eval.

### 5.2 OCaml Types for CPS transformation type

In addition to having abstract syntax trees for the expressions of PicoML, we need to have abstract syntax trees for the type of continuations and expressions in CPS.

```
type cont_var = Kvar (*_k *)
type cps_cont \(=\)
    External
    | ContVarcPS of cont_var (*_k *)
    | FnContCPS of string * exp_cps (* FN x \(->\) exp_cps *)
and exp_cps =
        VarCPS of cps_cont * string (* K x *)
    | ConstCPS of cps_cont * const (* K c *)
    | MonOpAppCPS of cps_cont * mon_op * string (* K (\% x) *)
    | BinOpAppCPS of cps_cont * bin_op * string * string (* K (x \% y) *)
    | IfCPS of string * exp_cps * exp_cps (* IF x THEN exp_cps1 ELSE exp_cps2 *)
    | AppCPS of cps_cont * string * string (* x y K *)
    | FunCPS of cps_cont * string * cont_var * exp_cps
                                    (* K (FUN x _k \(\rightarrow\) [ [exp] ]_k) *)
    | FixCPS of cps_cont * string * string * cont_var * exp_cps
                                    (* K (FIX f. FUN x _k \(\rightarrow\) [ [exp] ]_k) *)
```

Each contructor (except FixCPS) in the type exp_cps corresponds to one in the direct style represention type exp. You will note that all local decalarations are now gone. Also you will note that every construct except IfCPS takes an additional argument of cps_cont for the continuation to recieve the result of the expression immediately being constructed. With IfCPS, this continuation is missing because it is burried one step down in each of the branches. It is also worth noting that, with the exception of IfCPS and FunCPS, where we had exp arguments before, now we have only the names of variables. This reflects that fact that in CPS, before you express an operation to be preformed, you must first compute and store the values of all the components of that operation (with the exception of IfCPS, which must wait until it tests its boolean guard before touching either of its branches, and FunCPS, which must protect its function body from being executed until it is applied). The augmentation of the constructors with a
place for a continuation, and the replacment of general expression arguments by variable arguments are the changes necessary to guarantee that terms built in this type represent expressions in CPS.

When transforming a function into CPS, it is necessary to expand the arguments to the function to include one that is for passing the continuation to it. We represent this variable by a special type cont_var rather than a string. It really is a different type of variable because it is always internally generated and it is to supply a continuation and not the value of an expression. For our purposes, it suffices for there to be only one continuation variable Kvar. Various ways in which we could extend our functional programming language could require this type to change to allow a finite or countably infinite collection of continuation variable names.

## 6 Problems

The problems below have sample executions that suggest how to write answers. Students have to use the same function name, but the name and number of the parameters that follow the function name need not be the same as the ones we give. Students are free to choose different names for the arguments to the functions from the ones given in the example execution. Throughout this assignment you may use any library functions you wish.

1. (4 pts) Write a function import_list: (int * int) list $->$ exp, that takes a list of pairs and converts it into an expression in our language that is equivalent to it.
```
# let rec import_list lst = ...;;
val import_list : (int * int) list -> Common.exp = <fun>
# import_list [(7,1); (4,2); (6,3)];;
- : Common.exp =
BinOpAppExp (ConsOp,
    BinOpAppExp (CommaOp, ConstExp (IntConst 7), ConstExp (IntConst 1)),
    BinOpAppExp (ConsOp,
        BinOpAppExp (CommaOp, ConstExp (IntConst 4), ConstExp (IntConst 2)),
        BinOpAppExp (ConsOp,
        BinOpAppExp (CommaOp, ConstExp (IntConst 6), ConstExp (IntConst 3)),
        ConstExp NilConst)))
```

2. (4 pts) Write a term in our language (an abstract syntax tree) that represents the function declaration that implements the following PicoML function pair_sums:
```
let rec pair_sums lst =
    if lst = [] then []
    else let x = (hd lst) in (fst x + snd x) :: (pair_sums (tl lst))
    in pair_sums [(7,1); (4,2); (6,3)]
# let pair_sums = ...
val pair_sums : exp =
# string_of_exp pair_sums;;
- : string =
"let rec pair_sums lst = if lst = [] then [] else let x = hd lst
    in ((fst x) + (snd x)) :: (pair_sums (tl lst))
    in pair_sums (((7,1)) :: (((4,2)) :: (((6,3)) :: [])))"
```

You can test out your implementation by evaluating it as follows:

```
# #load "common.cmo";;
# #load "eval.cmo";;
# open Eval;;
# open Common;;
# #use "mp2.ml";;
# print_eval pair_sums;;
Result: [8; 6; 9]
- : unit = ()
```

To be able to see what the internal data structure for you result is, you can use eval:

```
# eval pair_sums;;
- : Eval.value = ListVal [IntVal 8; IntVal 6; IntVal 9]
```

3. (5 pts) Write a function count_const_in_exp : exp -> int that counts the number of occurrences of constants there are in an expression. The same constant occurring in twice in the expression is counted twice.
```
# let rec count_const_in_exp exp = ...
val count_const_in_exp : Common.exp -> int = <fun>
# count_const_in_exp (BinOpAppExp (CommaOp,
    BinOpAppExp (CommaOp, ConstExp (FloatConst 7.3), ConstExp UnitConst),
    BinOpAppExp (ConsOp,
        BinOpAppExp (CommaOp, ConstExp (IntConst 4), ConstExp (StringConst "a")),
        BinOpAppExp (ConsOp,
        BinOpAppExp (CommaOp, ConstExp (IntConst 6), ConstExp (StringConst "b")),
        ConstExp NilConst))));;
    - : int = 7
```

4. ( 20 pts total) A free variable in an expression is a variable that has an occurrence that isn't bound in that expression. In our setting, free variables are the variables that had to be given a value previously for the expression to be able to be evaluated. As an example, in (let $x=y$ in fun $s \rightarrow a x$ s) the variables a and $y$ are free but $x$ and $s$ are not. Notice that to understand the free variables in the previous example, we also need to know for the inner declaration both the free variables of the expression in it, but also the variables that are being bound by it.
Write a function freeVarsInExp : exp -> string list that calculates the names of the free variables of an expression (where we represent sets via lists). The grader will cope with answers that have duplicate entries or the result list in a different order than our reference solution.
To assist you in writing this function, we have broken the problem down into groups of similar cases. We also give the precise mathematical definition (in cases) for a function $\varphi$ calculating the free variables of an expression $e$.
In common.ml, we have supplied you with a related pair of functions freeVarsInContCPS : cps_cont -> string list and freeVarsInExpCPS : exp_cps -> string list for calculating the free variables in a continuation and CPS-transformed expression respectively. You should feel free to examine these definitions for inspiration in writing the code for this problem.
a. (1 pt.) We can define a function $\varphi(e)$ that calculates the free variables of an expression, where the expression is a variable $v$, by

$$
\varphi(v)=\{v\}
$$

The function freeVarsInExp should behave in a similar manner, returning the singleton name of the variable for a variable. Write the appropriate clause for freeVarsInExp to return the free variables of expressions that are variables.

```
# let rec freeVarsInExp = ... ; ;
val freeVarsInExp : Common.exp -> string list = <fun>
# freeVarsInExp (VarExp "x");;
- : string list = ["x"]
```

b. (1 pt.) We can define the value of the function $\varphi(e)$ that calculates the free variables of an expression, where the expression is a a constant $c$ by

$$
\varphi(c)=\{ \}
$$

The function freeVars InExp should behave in a similar manner, returning no names for a constant. Write the appropriate clause for freeVars InExp to return the free variables of expressions that are constants.

```
# let rec freeVarsInExp = ... ; ;
val freeVarsInExp : Common.exp -> string list = <fun>
# List.length (freeVarsInExp (ConstExp (StringConst "hi")));;
- : int = 0
```

c. ( 2 pts .) The set of free variables of the use of a unary operator is just thef the free variables the immediate subexpression.

$$
\varphi(\oplus e)=\varphi(e) \quad \text { For unary operator } \oplus
$$

Write the clause for freeVars InExp for expressions that are top-most the use of a unary operator.

```
# freeVarsInExp (MonOpAppExp(IntNegOp, VarExp "x"));;
- : string list = ["x"]
```

d. ( 2 pts.) The set of free variables of the use of a binary operator is just the union of the free variables of the two immediate subexpressions.

$$
\varphi\left(e_{1} \oplus e_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(e_{1}\right) \cup \varphi\left(e_{2}\right) \quad \text { For binary operator } \oplus
$$

Write the clause for freeVars InExp for the use of a binary operator.
\# freeVarsInExp (BinOpAppExp(IntTimesOp, VarExp "x", ConstExp(IntConst 3))); ;

- : string list = ["x"]
e. ( 2 pts .) The set of free variables of an expression that is at the top-most level an if-then-else expression is just the union of the free variables of the three immediate subexpressions.

$$
\varphi\left(\text { if } e_{1} \text { then } e_{2} \text { else } e_{3}\right)=\varphi\left(e_{1}\right) \cup \varphi\left(e_{2}\right) \cup \varphi\left(e_{3}\right)
$$

Write the clause for freeVarsInExp for expressions that are top-most an if-then-else expression. Please remember that it is alright for you code to return the variables in a different order.

```
# freeVarsInExp (IfExp(ConstExp (BoolConst true), VarExp "x", VarExp "y"));;
- : string list = ["x"; "y"]
```

f. (2 pts.) The set of free variables of an expression that is at the top-most level an application of one expression to another is just the union of the free variables of the two immediate subexpressions.

$$
\varphi\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(e_{1}\right) \cup \varphi\left(e_{2}\right)
$$

Write the clauses for freeVars InExp for expressions that are top-most an application of one expression to another.
\# freeVarsInExp (AppExp (VarExp "f", VarExp "y")); ;

- : string list = ["f"; "y"]
g. ( 3 pts .) The free variables of a function expression are all the free variables in the body of the expression except the variable that is the formal parameter. Any occurrence of that variable in the body of the function is bound by the formal parameter, and not free.

$$
\varphi(\text { fun } x \rightarrow e)=\varphi(e)-\{x\}
$$

Add clauses to freeVars InExp to compute the free variables of a function expression.
\# freeVarsInExp (FunExp("x", VarExp "x")); ;

- : string list = []

Note: You can implement set subtraction using the library function List.filter : ('a -> bool) -> 'a list -> 'a list.
h. (3 pts.) The free variables of a let-expression are restricted by the variable being locally declared, and hence bound. In let $x=e_{1}$ in $e_{2}$ the $x$ in the let part binds any occurrence of $x$ in $e_{2}$, but not in $e_{1}$.

$$
\varphi\left(\text { let } x=e_{1} \text { in } e_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(e_{1}\right) \cup\left(\varphi\left(e_{2}\right)-\{x\}\right)
$$

Add the clause to freeVars InExp to compute the free variables of let-expressions.

```
# freeVarsInExp (LetInExp("x", VarExp "y", VarExp "x"));;
- : string list = ["y"]
```

i. (4 pts) The most complicated case for computing the free variables of an expression is that of a let recexpression. In let rec-expressions, there are two bindings taking place, and they have two different scopes. In let rec $f x=e_{1}$ in $e_{2}$, the $f$ binds all the occurrences of $f$ in both $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$, but the $x$ only binds occurrences of $x$ in $e_{1}$; if $x$ is a free variable of $e_{2}$ it will be a free variable of let rec $f x=$ $e_{1}$ in $e_{2}$ ).

$$
\varphi\left(\text { let rec } f x=e_{1} \text { in } e_{2}\right)=\left(\varphi\left(e_{1}\right)-\{f, x\}\right) \cup\left(\varphi\left(e_{2}\right)-\{f\}\right)
$$

Write the clause for freeVars InExp for let rec-expressions.

```
# freeVarsInExp (LetRecInExp("f","x",AppExp(VarExp "f",VarExp "x"),
    AppExp(VarExp "f",VarExp "y")));;
- : string list = ["y"]
```

5. (28 pts total) In the previous assignment you converted some expressions to use Continuation-Passing Style (CPS). In this section you will build a function cps_exp : exp $\rightarrow$ cps_cont $->$ exp_cps to automatically transform expressions in our language into CPS.
Mathematically, we represent CPS transformation by the function $\left[[e]_{\kappa}\right.$, which calculates the CPS form of an expression $e$ when passed the continuation $\kappa$, where $\kappa$ does not represent a programming language variable, but rather a complex expression describing the current continuation for $e$.

The defining equations of this function are given below. In these rules $f, x, v$ and $v_{i}$ represent variables in our programming language, $k$ is a continuation variable, $c$ is a constant, $e$ and $e_{i}$ are expressions and $t$ is a transformed expression. The variables $f$ and $x$ will represent variables that were already present in the expression to be transformed. The variables $v$ and $v_{i}$ are used to represent newly introduced variables used to pass a value from the previous computation forward into the current continuation. The variable $k$ is used to represent a variable (such as a formal parameter to a function) to be instantiated by an as yet unknown continuation.
By $v$ being fresh for an expression $e$, we mean that $v$ needs to be some variable that is NOT free in $e$. In common.ml, we have supplied a function freshFor : string list $->$ string that, when given a list of names, will generate a name that is not in the list. When implementing cps_exp, the names you use for these "fresh" variables do not have be the same as the ones we use, but they do have to satisfy the required freshness constraint.
a. (2 pts) The CPS transformation of a variable just applies the continuation to the variable, since during execution, when this point in the code is reached, variables are already fully evaluated (except for being looked up).

$$
[[v]]_{\kappa}=\kappa v
$$

The code for the function cps_exp should behave in a similar manner, creating the application of the continuation to the variable or constant. Add the clause to cps_exp to implement the CPS-transformation of an expression that is a variable.
\# string_of_exp_cps (cps_exp (VarExp "x") (ContVarCPS Kvar)); ;

- : string $=$ "_k x"
b. (2 pts) The CPS transformation of a constant expression just applies the continuation to the constant, since constants are always already fully evaluated.

$$
[[c]]_{\kappa}=\kappa c
$$

The code for the function cps_exp should behave in a similar manner, creating the application of the continuation to the constant. Add code to cps_exp to implement the CPS-transformation of an expression that is a constant.
\# string_of_exp_cps (cps_exp (ConstExp (BoolConst true)) (ContVarCPS Kvar)); ;

- : string = "_k true"
c. (3 pts) Each CPS transformation should make explicit the order of evaluation of each subexpression. For if-then-else expressions, the first thing to be done is to evaluate the boolean guard. The resulting boolean value needs to be passed to an if-then-else that will choose a branch. When the boolean value is true, we need to evaluate the transformed then-branch, which will pass its value to the final continuation for the if-then-else expression. Similarly, when the boolean value is false we need to evaluate the transformed else-branch, which will pass its value to the final continuation for the if-then-else expression. To accomplish this, we recursively CPS-transform $e_{1}$ with the continuation with a formal parameter $v$ that is fresh for $e_{2}, e_{3}$ and $\kappa$, where, based on the value of $v$, the continuation chooses either the CPS-transform of $e_{2}$ with the original continuation $\kappa$, or the CPS-transform of $e_{3}$, again with the original continuation $\kappa$.

$$
\left[\left[\text { if } e_{1} \text { then } e_{2} \text { else } e_{3}\right]\right]_{\kappa}=\left[\left[e_{1}\right]\right]_{\text {FN }} v \rightarrow \text { IF } v \text { THEN }\left[\left[e_{2}\right]\right]_{\kappa} \text { ELSE }\left[\left[e_{3}\right]\right]_{\kappa}
$$

Where $v$ is fresh for $e_{2}, e_{3}$, and $\kappa$

With FN $v \rightarrow$ IF $v$ THEN $\left[\left[e_{2}\right]\right]_{\kappa}$ ELSE $\left[\left[e_{3}\right]\right]_{\kappa}$ we are creating a new continutation from our old. This is not a function at the level of expressions, but rather at the level of continuations, and, as a result, should use the constructor FnContCPS.
Add a clause to cps_exp for the case for if-then-else operators.

```
# string_of_exp_cps (cps_exp (IfExp (VarExp "b", ConstExp (IntConst 2),
    ConstExp (IntConst 5)))
    (ContVarCPS Kvar));;
- : string = "(FN a -> IF a THEN _k 2 ELSE _k 5) b"
```

d. (3 pts) The CPS transformation for application mirrors its evaluation order. In PicoML, we will uniformly use right-to-left evaluation. Therefore, to evaluate an application, first evaluate $e_{2}$ to a value, then evaluate the function, $e_{1}$, to a closure, and finally, evaluate the application of the closure to the value. To transform the application of $e_{1}$ to $e_{2}$, we create a new continuation that takes the result of $e_{2}$ and binds it to $v_{2}$, then evaluates $e_{1}$ and binds it to $v_{1}$, then finally, applies $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ and, since the CPS transformation makes all functions take a continuation, it is also applied to the current continuation $\kappa$. This is the continuation that is used in transforming $e_{2}$. Implement this rule:

$$
\left[\left[e_{1} e_{2}\right]\right]_{\kappa}=\left[\left[e_{2}\right]\right]_{\text {FN }} \quad v_{2} \rightarrow\left[\left[e_{1}\right]\right]_{\text {FN }} v_{1} \rightarrow>v_{1} v_{2} \kappa
$$

Where $v_{2}$ is fresh for $e_{1}$ and $\kappa$
$v_{1}$ is fresh for $v_{2}$ and $\kappa$

```
# string_of_exp_cps (cps_exp (AppExp (VarExp "f", VarExp "x"))
    (ContVarCPS Kvar));;
- : string = "(FN a -> (FN b -> (b a _k)) f) x"
```

e. (3 pts) The CPS transformation for a binary operator mirrors its evaluation order. It first evaluates its second argument, then its first before evaluating the binary operator applied to those two values. We create a new continuation that takes the result of the second argument, $e_{2}$, binds it to $v_{2}$ then evaluates the first argument, $e_{1}$, and binds that result to $v_{1}$. As a last step it applies the current continuation to the result of $v_{1} \oplus v_{2}$. Implement the following rule.

```
    [[e, \opluse en]]}\kappa=[[\mp@subsup{e}{2}{}]\mp@subsup{]}{\textrm{FN}}{
    v
# string_of_exp_cps (cps_exp (BinOpAppExp
    (IntPlusOp, ConstExp(IntConst 5),
                                    ConstExp(IntConst 1)))
    (ContVarCPS Kvar));;
- : string = "(FN a -> (FN b -> _k(b + a)) 5) 1"
```

f. (3 pts) The CPS transformation for a unary operator mirrors its evaluation order. It first evaluates the argument of the operator and then applies the continuation to the result of applying that operator to the value. Thus we create a continuation that takes the result of evaluating the argument, $e$, and binds it to $v$ then applies the continuation to the result of $\oplus v$. Implement the following rule.

$$
[[\oplus e]] \kappa=[[e]]_{\mathrm{FN}} \quad v \rightarrow \kappa(\oplus v) \quad \text { Where } v \text { is fresh for } \kappa
$$

\# string_of_exp_cps (cps_exp (MonOpAppExp (HdOp, ConstExp NilConst)) (ContVarCPS Kvar)); ;

- : string = "(FN a -> _k(hd a)) []"
g. (3 pts) A function expression by itself does not get evaluated (well, it gets turned into a closure), so it needs to be handed to the continuation directly, except that, when it eventually gets applied, it will need to additionally take a continuation as another argument, and its body will need to have been transformed with respect to this additional argument. Therefore, we need to use the continuation variable $k$ (that is, ContVarCPS Kvar)to be the formal parameter for passing a continuation into the function. Then, we need to transform the body with $k$ as its continuation, and put it inside a continuation function with the same original formal parameter
together with $k$. The original continuation $\kappa$ is then applied to the result.

$$
[[\text { fun } x \rightarrow e]]_{\kappa}=\kappa\left(\operatorname{FUN} x \quad k \rightarrow[[e]]_{k}\right) \quad \text { Where } k \text { is ContVarCPS Kvar }
$$

The syntax for a function in PicoML, as in OCaml is (fun _ -> _). Write the clause for the case for functions.

```
# string_of_exp_cps (cps_exp (FunExp ("x", VarExp "x"))
    (ContVarCPS Kvar));;
- : string = "_k (FUN x _k -> _k x)"
```

h. (3 pts) A (let $x=e_{1}$ in $e_{2}$ ) expression first evaluates the expression $e_{1}$ generating a local binding, and then evaluates $e_{2}$ in the context of that new binding. Note that, in order to transform $e_{2}$ into CPS, we already have the necessary continuation $\kappa$ because $e_{2}$ computes the value to be given as the final result. To transform the (let $x=e_{1}$ in $e_{2}$ ) expression, we create a continuation that takes the result of $e_{1}$, binds it to $x$, the locally bound variable, and calculates $e_{2}$ with the current continuation. This new continuation is then used to transform $e_{1}$. Implement the following rule.

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\left[\text { let } x=e_{1} \text { in } e_{2}\right]\right]_{\kappa}=\left[\left[e_{1}\right]\right]_{\text {FN }} x \rightarrow\left[\left[e_{2}\right]\right]_{\kappa}} \\
\# \text { string_of_exp_cps (cps_exp (LetInExp ("x", ConstExp(IntConst 2), } \\
\text { VarExp "x")) } \\
-: \operatorname{string~}="(\text { FN } x \rightarrow \text { (ContVarCPS Kvar)); ; }
\end{gathered}
$$

### 6.1 Extra Credit

i. (3 pts) In PicoML, the only expressions that can be declared with let rec are functions. A (let rec $f x=e_{1}$ in $e_{2}$ ) expression creates a recursive function binding for $f$ with formal parameter $x$ and body $e_{1}$. The binding for $f$ is then available for the evaluation of $e_{2}$. When $e_{1}$ is evaluated in the context of a function call in $e_{2}$, the environment for $e_{1}$ will need to be updated with this binding. Since we require let rec expressions to bind identifiers to functions, we do the CPS transform for this local declaration in a fairly similar way. We transform the body with respect to the continuation variable and paramaterize by that continuation variable. We need to convert the CPS transformed expression waiting for the binding into a continuation taking a value for $f$. The main difference at the end is that we wrap it all up with a constructor representing a fixed-point operator. Implement the following rule.

$$
\left[\left[\text { let rec } f x=e_{1} \text { in } e_{2}\right]\right]_{\kappa}=\left(\text { FN } f \rightarrow\left[\left[e_{2}\right]\right]_{\kappa}\right)\left(\mu f \text {. FUN } x \text { } k \rightarrow\left[\left[e_{1}\right]\right]_{k}\right)
$$

```
# string_of_exp_cps (cps_exp (LetRecInExp ("f", "x",VarExp "x",
                                    ConstExp (IntConst 4)))
    (ContVarCPS Kvar));;
- : string = "(FN f -> _k 4)(FIX f. FUN x _k -> _k x)"
```

