

## Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)

Elsa L Gunter  
2112 SC, UIUC

<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs421>

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve and Gul Agha

12/2/14

1

## Substitution

- Defined on  $\alpha$ -equivalence classes of terms
- $P [N / x]$  means replace every free occurrence of  $x$  in  $P$  by  $N$ 
  - $P$  called *redex*;  $N$  called *residue*
- Provided that no variable free in  $N$  becomes bound in  $P [N / x]$ 
  - Rename bound variables in  $P$  to avoid capturing free variables of  $N$

12/2/14

2

## Substitution

- $x [N / x] = N$
- $y [N / x] = y$  if  $y \neq x$
- $(e_1 e_2) [N / x] = ((e_1 [N / x]) (e_2 [N / x]))$
- $(\lambda x. e) [N / x] = (\lambda x. e)$
- $(\lambda y. e) [N / x] = \lambda y. (e [N / x])$  provided  $y \neq x$  and  $y$  not free in  $N$ 
  - Rename  $y$  in redex if necessary

12/2/14

3

## Example

- $(\lambda y. y z) [(\lambda x. x y) / z] = ?$
- Problems?
    - $z$  in redex in scope of  $y$  binding
    - $y$  free in the residue
  - $(\lambda y. y z) [(\lambda x. x y) / z] \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\lambda w. w z) [(\lambda x. x y) / z] = \lambda w. w (\lambda x. x y)$

12/2/14

4

## Example

- Only replace free occurrences
- $(\lambda y. y z (\lambda z. z)) [(\lambda x. x) / z] = \lambda y. y (\lambda x. x) (\lambda z. z)$

Not

$$\lambda y. y (\lambda x. x) (\lambda z. (\lambda x. x))$$

12/2/14

5

## $\beta$ reduction

- $\beta$  Rule:  $(\lambda x. P) N \xrightarrow{\beta} P [N / x]$
- Essence of computation in the lambda calculus
- Usually defined on  $\alpha$ -equivalence classes of terms

12/2/14

6

## Example

- $(\lambda z. (\lambda x. x y) z) (\lambda y. y z)$   
-- $\beta$ -->  $(\lambda x. x y) (\lambda y. y z)$   
-- $\beta$ -->  $(\lambda y. y z) y$  -- $\beta$ -->  $y z$
- $(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$   
-- $\beta$ -->  $(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$   
-- $\beta$ -->  $(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$  -- $\beta$ --> ....

12/2/14

7

## $\alpha$ $\beta$ Equivalence

- $\alpha$   $\beta$  equivalence is the smallest congruence containing  $\alpha$  equivalence and  $\beta$  reduction
- A term is in *normal form* if no subterm is  $\alpha$  equivalent to a term that can be  $\beta$  reduced
- Hard fact (Church-Rosser): if  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are  $\alpha\beta$ -equivalent and both are normal forms, then they are  $\alpha$  equivalent

12/2/14

8

## Order of Evaluation

- Not all terms reduce to normal forms
- Not all reduction strategies will produce a normal form if one exists

12/2/14

9

## Transition Semantics for $\lambda$ -Calculus

$$\frac{E \rightarrow E''}{E E' \rightarrow E'' E'}$$

- Application (version 1 - Lazy Evaluation)  
 $(\lambda x. E) E' \rightarrow E[E'/x]$
- Application (version 2 - Eager Evaluation)

$$\frac{E' \rightarrow E''}{(\lambda x. E) E' \rightarrow (\lambda x. E) E''}$$

$$\overline{(\lambda x. E) V \rightarrow E[V/x]}$$

V - variable or abstraction (value)

12/2/14

10

## Lazy evaluation:

- Always reduce the left-most application in a top-most series of applications (i.e. Do not perform reduction inside an abstraction)
- Stop when term is not an application, or left-most application is not an application of an abstraction to a term

12/2/14

11

## Example 1

- $(\lambda z. (\lambda x. x)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda y. y y))$
- Lazy evaluation:
- Reduce the left-most application:
- $(\lambda z. (\lambda x. x)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda y. y y))$   
-- $\beta$ -->  $(\lambda x. x)$

12/2/14

12

## Eager evaluation

- (Eagerly) reduce left of top application to an abstraction
- Then (eagerly) reduce argument
- Then  $\beta$ -reduce the application

12/2/14

13

## Example 1

- $(\lambda z. (\lambda x. x))((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda y. y y))$
- Eager evaluation:
- Reduce the rator of the top-most application to an abstraction: Done.
- Reduce the argument:
- $(\lambda z. (\lambda x. x))((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda y. y y))$
- $--\beta--> (\lambda z. (\lambda x. x))((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda y. y y))$
- $--\beta--> (\lambda z. (\lambda x. x))((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda y. y y))...$

12/2/14

14

## Example 2

- $(\lambda x. x x)((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$
- Lazy evaluation:
- $(\lambda x. x x)((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) --\beta-->$

12/2/14

15

## Example 2

- $(\lambda x. x x)((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$
- Lazy evaluation:
- $(\lambda x. \boxed{x} \boxed{x})((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) --\beta-->$

12/2/14

16

## Example 2

- $(\lambda x. x x)((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$
- Lazy evaluation:
- $(\lambda x. \boxed{x} \boxed{x})((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) --\beta-->$
- $\boxed{((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))} \boxed{((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))}$

12/2/14

17

## Example 2

- $(\lambda x. x x)((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$
- Lazy evaluation:
- $(\lambda x. x x)((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) --\beta-->$
- $\boxed{((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))} \boxed{((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))}$

12/2/14

18

## Example 2

■  $(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)$

■ Lazy evaluation:

$(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z) \rightarrow$   
 $((\lambda y. \boxed{y} \boxed{y}) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$

12/2/14

19

## Example 2

■  $(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)$

■ Lazy evaluation:

$(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z) \rightarrow$   
 $((\lambda y. \boxed{y} \boxed{y}) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$   
 $\rightarrow ((\lambda z. z) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$

12/2/14

20

## Example 2

■  $(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)$

■ Lazy evaluation:

$(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z) \rightarrow$   
 $((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$   
 $\rightarrow ((\lambda z. z) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$

12/2/14

21

## Example 2

■  $(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)$

■ Lazy evaluation:

$(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z) \rightarrow$   
 $((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$   
 $\rightarrow ((\lambda z. \boxed{z}) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$

12/2/14

22

## Example 2

■  $(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)$

■ Lazy evaluation:

$(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z) \rightarrow$   
 $((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$   
 $\rightarrow ((\lambda z. \boxed{z}) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$   
 $\rightarrow (\lambda z. z) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$

12/2/14

23

## Example 2

■  $(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)$

■ Lazy evaluation:

$(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z) \rightarrow$   
 $((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$   
 $\rightarrow ((\lambda z. z) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z))$   
 $\rightarrow (\lambda z. \boxed{z}) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) \rightarrow$   
 $(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)$

12/2/14

24

## Example 2

- $(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)$
- Lazy evaluation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z) \text{ --}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow \\
 & ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) \\
 & \text{--}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow ((\lambda z. z) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) \\
 & \text{--}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow (\lambda z. z) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) \text{ --}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow \\
 & (\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)
 \end{aligned}$$

12/2/14

25

## Example 2

- $(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)$
- Lazy evaluation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z) \text{ --}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow \\
 & ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) \\
 & \text{--}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow ((\lambda z. z) (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) \\
 & \text{--}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow (\lambda z. z) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) \text{ --}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow \\
 & (\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z) \sim\beta\sim \lambda z. z
 \end{aligned}$$

12/2/14

26

## Example 2

- $(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)$
- Eager evaluation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\lambda x. x x) ((\lambda y. y y) (\lambda z. z)) \text{ --}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow \\
 & (\lambda x. x x) ((\lambda z. z) (\lambda z. z)) \text{ --}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow \\
 & (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda z. z) \text{ --}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow \\
 & (\lambda z. z) (\lambda z. z) \text{ --}\beta\text{--}\rightarrow \lambda z. z
 \end{aligned}$$

12/2/14

27

## Untyped $\lambda$ -Calculus

- Only three kinds of expressions:
  - Variables:  $x, y, z, w, \dots$
  - Abstraction:  $\lambda x. e$   
(Function creation)
  - Application:  $e_1 e_2$

12/2/14

28

## How to Represent (Free) Data Structures (First Pass - Enumeration Types)

- Suppose  $\tau$  is a type with  $n$  constructors:  
 $C_1, \dots, C_n$  (no arguments)
- Represent each term as an abstraction:
- Let  $C_i \rightarrow \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_i$
- Think: you give me what to return in each case (think match statement) and I'll return the case for the  $i$ th constructor

12/2/14

29

## How to Represent Booleans

- $\text{bool} = \text{True} \mid \text{False}$
- $\text{True} \rightarrow \lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1 \equiv_\alpha \lambda x. \lambda y. x$
- $\text{False} \rightarrow \lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_2 \equiv_\alpha \lambda x. \lambda y. y$
- Notation
  - Will write  
 $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. e$  for  $\lambda x_1. \dots \lambda x_n. e$   
 $e_1 e_2 \dots e_n$  for  $(\dots(e_1 e_2) \dots e_n)$

12/2/14

30

## Functions over Enumeration Types

- Write a “match” function

match e with  $C_1 \rightarrow x_1$   
                   | ...  
                   |  $C_n \rightarrow x_n$   
 $\rightarrow \lambda x_1 \dots x_n e. e x_1 \dots x_n$

- Think: give me what to do in each case and give me a case, and I'll apply that case

12/2/14

31

## Functions over Enumeration Types

- type  $\tau = C_1 | \dots | C_n$
- match e with  $C_1 \rightarrow x_1$   
                  | ...  
                  |  $C_n \rightarrow x_n$
- $match_{\tau} = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n e. e x_1 \dots x_n$
- e = expression (single constructor)  
   $x_i$  is returned if  $e = C_i$

12/2/14

32

## match for Booleans

- bool = True | False
- True  $\rightarrow \lambda x_1 x_2. x_1 \equiv_{\alpha} \lambda x y. x$
- False  $\rightarrow \lambda x_1 x_2. x_2 \equiv_{\alpha} \lambda x y. y$
- $match_{bool} = ?$

12/2/14

33

## match for Booleans

- bool = True | False
- True  $\rightarrow \lambda x_1 x_2. x_1 \equiv_{\alpha} \lambda x y. x$
- False  $\rightarrow \lambda x_1 x_2. x_2 \equiv_{\alpha} \lambda x y. y$
- $match_{bool} = \lambda x_1 x_2 e. e x_1 x_2$   
 $\equiv_{\alpha} \lambda x y b. b x y$

12/2/14

34

## How to Write Functions over Booleans

- if b then  $x_1$  else  $x_2 \rightarrow$
- if\_then\_else b  $x_1 x_2 = b x_1 x_2$
- if\_then\_else  $\equiv \lambda b x_1 x_2. b x_1 x_2$

12/2/14

35

## How to Write Functions over Booleans

- Alternately:
- if b then  $x_1$  else  $x_2 =$   
 $match b with True \rightarrow x_1 | False \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow$   
 $match_{bool} x_1 x_2 b =$   
 $(\lambda x_1 x_2 b. b x_1 x_2) x_1 x_2 b = b x_1 x_2$
- if\_then\_else  
 $\equiv \lambda b x_1 x_2. (match_{bool} x_1 x_2 b)$   
 $= \lambda b x_1 x_2. (\lambda x_1 x_2 b. b x_1 x_2) x_1 x_2 b$   
 $= \lambda b x_1 x_2. b x_1 x_2$

12/2/14

36

## Example:

not b

= match b with True -> False | False -> True  
→ (match<sub>bool</sub>) False True b  
= (λ x<sub>1</sub> x<sub>2</sub> b . b x<sub>1</sub> x<sub>2</sub>) (λ x y. y) (λ x y. x) b  
= b (λ x y. y)(λ x y. x)

- not ≡ λ b. b (λ x y. y)(λ x y. x)
- Try and, or

12/2/14

37

## and or



12/2/14

38

## How to Represent (Free) Data Structures (Second Pass - Union Types)

- Suppose τ is a type with n constructors:  
type τ = C<sub>1</sub> t<sub>11</sub> ... t<sub>1k</sub> | ... | C<sub>n</sub> t<sub>n1</sub> ... t<sub>nm</sub>,
- Represent each term as an abstraction:
- C<sub>i</sub> t<sub>i1</sub> ... t<sub>ij</sub> → λ x<sub>1</sub> ... x<sub>n</sub>. x<sub>i</sub> t<sub>i1</sub> ... t<sub>ij</sub>,
- C<sub>i</sub> → λ t<sub>i1</sub> ... t<sub>ij</sub>. x<sub>1</sub> ... x<sub>n</sub> . x<sub>i</sub> t<sub>i1</sub> ... t<sub>ij</sub>,
- Think: you need to give each constructor its arguments first

12/2/14

39

## How to Represent Pairs

- Pair has one constructor (comma) that takes two arguments
- type (α, β)pair = (,) α β
- (a , b) --> λ x . x a b
- ( \_ , \_ ) --> λ a b x . x a b

12/2/14

40

## Functions over Union Types

- Write a “match” function
- match e with C<sub>1</sub> y<sub>1</sub> ... y<sub>m1</sub> -> f<sub>1</sub> y<sub>1</sub> ... y<sub>m1</sub>  
| ...  
| C<sub>n</sub> y<sub>1</sub> ... y<sub>mn</sub> -> f<sub>n</sub> y<sub>1</sub> ... y<sub>mn</sub>
- *match*τ → λ f<sub>1</sub> ... f<sub>n</sub>. e . e f<sub>1</sub>...f<sub>n</sub>
- Think: give me a function for each case and give me a case, and I'll apply that case to the appropriate function with the data in that case

12/2/14

41

## Functions over Pairs

- match<sub>pair</sub> = λ f p. p f
- fst p = match p with (x,y) -> x
- fst → λ p. match<sub>pair</sub> (λ x y. x)  
= (λ f p. p f) (λ x y. x) = λ p. p (λ x y. x)
- snd → λ p. p (λ x y. y)

12/2/14

42

## How to Represent (Free) Data Structures (Third Pass - Recursive Types)

- Suppose  $\tau$  is a type with  $n$  constructors:  
type  $\tau = C_1 t_{11} \dots t_{1k} \mid \dots \mid C_n t_{n1} \dots t_{nm}$ ,
- Suppose  $t_{ij} : \tau$  (ie. is recursive)
- In place of a value  $t_{ij}$ , have a function to compute the recursive value  $r_{ij} x_1 \dots x_n$
- $C_i t_{i1} \dots r_{ij} \dots t_{ij} \rightarrow \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_i t_{i1} \dots (r_{ij} x_1 \dots x_n) \dots t_{ij}$
- $C_i \rightarrow \lambda t_{i1} \dots r_{ij} \dots t_{ij} x_1 \dots x_n. x_i t_{i1} \dots (r_{ij} x_1 \dots x_n) \dots t_{ij}$

12/2/14

43

## How to Represent Natural Numbers

- $\text{nat} = \text{Suc nat} \mid 0$
- $\overline{\text{Suc}} = \lambda n f x. f (n f x)$
- $\text{Suc } n = \lambda f x. f (n f x)$
- $\overline{0} = \lambda f x. x$
- Such representation called *Church Numerals*

12/2/14

44

## Some Church Numerals

- $\overline{\text{Suc } 0} = (\lambda n f x. f (n f x)) (\lambda f x. x) \rightarrow$   
 $\lambda f x. f ((\lambda f x. x) f x) \rightarrow$   
 $\lambda f x. f ((\lambda x. x) x) \rightarrow \lambda f x. f x$

Apply a function to its argument once

12/2/14

45

## Some Church Numerals

- $\overline{\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } 0)} = (\lambda n f x. f (n f x)) (\overline{\text{Suc } 0}) \rightarrow$   
 $(\lambda n f x. f (n f x)) (\lambda f x. f x) \rightarrow$   
 $\lambda f x. f ((\lambda f x. f x) f x) \rightarrow$   
 $\lambda f x. f ((\lambda x. f x) x) \rightarrow \lambda f x. f (f x)$

Apply a function twice

In general  $\overline{n} = \lambda f x. f (\dots (f x)\dots)$  with  $n$  applications of  $f$

12/2/14

46

## Primitive Recursive Functions

- Write a "fold" function
- fold  $f_1 \dots f_n = \text{match } e$   
with  $C_1 y_1 \dots y_{m1} \rightarrow f_1 y_1 \dots y_{m1}$   
| ...  
|  $C_i y_1 \dots r_{ij} \dots y_{in} \rightarrow f_n y_1 \dots (f_n r_{ij}) \dots y_{mn}$   
| ...  
|  $C_n y_1 \dots y_{mn} \rightarrow f_n y_1 \dots y_{mn}$
- $\text{fold } \tau \rightarrow \lambda f_1 \dots f_n e. e f_1 \dots f_n$
- Match in non recursive case a degenerate version of fold

12/2/14

47

## Primitive Recursion over Nat

- fold  $f z n =$
- match  $n$  with  $0 \rightarrow z$
- |  $\text{Suc } m \rightarrow f (\text{fold } f z m)$
- $\overline{\text{fold}} \equiv \lambda f z n. n f z$
- $\overline{\text{is\_zero}} \overline{n} = \overline{\text{fold}} (\lambda r. \overline{\text{False}}) \overline{\text{True}} \overline{n}$
- $= (\lambda f x. f^n x) (\lambda r. \overline{\text{False}}) \overline{\text{True}}$
- $= ((\lambda r. \overline{\text{False}})^n) \overline{\text{True}}$
- $\equiv \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then True else False}$

12/2/14

48



## Adding Church Numerals

- $\bar{n} \equiv \lambda f x. f^n x$  and  $m \equiv \lambda f x. f^m x$
- $\overline{n + m} = \lambda f x. f^{(n+m)} x$   
 $= \lambda f x. f^n (f^m x) = \lambda f x. \bar{n} f (\bar{m} f x)$
- $\bar{+} \equiv \lambda n m f x. n f (m f x)$
- Subtraction is harder

12/2/14

49

## Multiplying Church Numerals

- $\bar{n} \equiv \lambda f x. f^n x$  and  $m \equiv \lambda f x. f^m x$
- $\overline{n * m} = \lambda f x. (f^{n * m}) x = \lambda f x. (f^m)^n x$   
 $= \lambda f x. \bar{n} (\bar{m} f) x$
- $\bar{*} \equiv \lambda n m f x. n (m f) x$

12/2/14

50

## Predecessor

- let `pred_aux n =`  
  `match n with 0 -> (0,0)`  
  | `Suc m`  
  -> `(Suc(fst(pred_aux m)), fst(pred_aux m))`  
  = `fold (\lambda r. (Suc(fst r), fst r)) (0,0) n`
- `pred \equiv \lambda n. snd (pred_aux n) n =`  
  `\lambda n. snd (fold (\lambda r.(Suc(fst r), fst r)) (0,0) n)`

12/2/14

51

## Recursion

- Want a  $\lambda$ -term  $Y$  such that for all term  $R$  we have
- $Y R = R (Y R)$
- $Y$  needs to have replication to "remember" a copy of  $R$
- $Y = \lambda y. (\lambda x. y(x x)) (\lambda x. y(x x))$
- $Y R = (\lambda x. R(x x)) (\lambda x. R(x x))$   
 $= R ((\lambda x. R(x x)) (\lambda x. R(x x)))$
- Notice: Requires lazy evaluation

12/2/14

52

## Factorial

- Let  $F = \lambda f n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n * f (n - 1)$   
 $Y F 3 = F (Y F) 3$   
 $= \text{if } 3 = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 3 * ((Y F)(3 - 1))$   
 $= 3 * (Y F) 2 = 3 * (F(Y F) 2)$   
 $= 3 * (\text{if } 2 = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 2 * (Y F)(2 - 1))$   
 $= 3 * (2 * (Y F)(1)) = 3 * (2 * (F(Y F) 1)) = \dots$   
 $= 3 * 2 * 1 * (\text{if } 0 = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0 * (Y F)(0 - 1))$   
 $= 3 * 2 * 1 * 1 = 6$

12/2/14

53

## Y in OCaml

```
# let rec y f = f (y f);;
val y : ('a -> 'a) -> 'a = <fun>
# let mk_fact =
  fun f n -> if n = 0 then 1 else n * f(n-1);;
val mk_fact : (int -> int) -> int -> int = <fun>
# y mk_fact;;
Stack overflow during evaluation (looping
recursion?).
```

12/2/14

54



## Eager Eval Y in Ocaml

```
# let rec y f x = f (y f) x;;  
val y : (('a -> 'b) -> 'a -> 'b) -> 'a -> 'b =  
  <fun>  
# y mk_fact;;  
- : int -> int = <fun>  
# y mk_fact 5;;  
- : int = 120  
■ Use recursion to get recursion
```

12/2/14

55



## Some Other Combinators

- For your general exposure
  - $I = \lambda x . x$
  - $K = \lambda x . \lambda y . x$
  - $K_* = \lambda x . \lambda y . y$
  - $S = \lambda x . \lambda y . \lambda z . x z (y z)$

12/2/14

56