## Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)

Elsa L Gunter

2112 SC, UIUC

http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs421

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve and Gul Agha



- Expresses the meaning of syntax
- Static semantics
  - Meaning based only on the form of the expression without executing it
  - Usually restricted to type checking / type inference



#### Dynamic semantics

- Method of describing meaning of executing a program
- Several different types:
  - Operational Semantics
  - Axiomatic Semantics
  - Denotational Semantics



### **Dynamic Semantics**

- Different languages better suited to different types of semantics
- Different types of semantics serve different purposes



### **Operational Semantics**

- Start with a simple notion of machine
- Describe how to execute (implement)
   programs of language on virtual machine, by
   describing how to execute each program
   statement (ie, following the structure of the
   program)
- Meaning of program is how its execution changes the state of the machine
- Useful as basis for implementations



#### **Axiomatic Semantics**

- Also called Floyd-Hoare Logic
- Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- Axiomatic Semantics is a logical system built from axioms and inference rules
- Mainly suited to simple imperative programming languages



#### **Axiomatic Semantics**

- Used to formally prove a property (post-condition) of the state (the values of the program variables) after the execution of program, assuming another property (pre-condition) of the state before execution
- Written:
  {Precondition} Program {Postcondition}
- Source of idea of loop invariant



#### **Denotational Semantics**

- Construct a function M assigning a mathematical meaning to each program construct
- Lambda calculus often used as the range of the meaning function
- Meaning function is compositional: meaning of construct built from meaning of parts
- Useful for proving properties of programs

#### **Natural Semantics**

- Aka Structural Operational Semantics, aka "Big Step Semantics"
- Provide value for a program by rules and derivations, similar to type derivations
- Rule conclusions look like

```
(C, m) ↓ m'
or
(E, m) ↓ v
```



#### Simple Imperative Programming Language

- $I \in Identifiers$
- $\blacksquare$   $N \in Numerals$
- B::= true | false | B & B | B or B | not B
   | E < E | E = E</li>
- E::= N / I / E + E / E \* E / E E / E
- C::= skip | C; C | I ::= E
   | if B then C else C fi | while B do C od



#### **Natural Semantics of Atomic Expressions**

- Identifiers:  $(I,m) \Downarrow m(I)$
- Numerals are values: (N,m) ↓ N
- Booleans: (true, m) ↓ true(false , m) ↓ false

# **Booleans:**

$$(B, m)$$
 ↓ false  $(B \& B', m)$  ↓ false

$$(B, m)$$
 

 | false |  $(B, m)$  

 | true  $(B', m)$  

 |  $(B \& B', m)$  
 | false |  $(B \& B', m)$  
 |  $(B \& B', m)$  
 |  $(B \& B', m)$  
 |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$  |  $(B \& B', m)$ 

rue 
$$(B, m) \Downarrow \text{false } (B', m) \Downarrow b$$

$$(B, m)$$
  $\Downarrow$  true $(B, m)$   $\Downarrow$  false(not  $B, m)$   $\Downarrow$  false(not  $B, m)$   $\Downarrow$  true

## Relations

$$(E, m) \Downarrow U \quad (E', m) \Downarrow V \quad U \sim V = b$$
$$(E \sim E', m) \Downarrow b$$

- By U ~ V = b, we mean does (the meaning of) the relation ~ hold on the meaning of U and V
- May be specified by a mathematical expression/equation or rules matching *U* and *V*



### **Arithmetic Expressions**

$$(E, m) \Downarrow U \quad (E', m) \Downarrow V \quad U \text{ op } V = N$$

$$(E \text{ op } E', m) \Downarrow N$$
where  $N$  is the specified value for  $U \text{ op } V$ 

## Commands

Skip:

(skip, m)  $\downarrow m$ 

Assignment:

$$\frac{(E,m) \Downarrow V}{(I::=E,m) \Downarrow m[I <--- V]}$$

Sequencing: 
$$(C,m) \Downarrow m' (C',m') \Downarrow m''$$
  
 $(C,C',m) \Downarrow m''$ 



#### If Then Else Command

(B,m) ↓ true (C,m) ↓ m'(if B then C else C' fi, m) ↓ m'

(B,m) 

↓ false (C',m) 

↓ m'(if B then C else C' fi, m) 

↓ m'

# While Command

$$(B,m)$$
  $↓$  false  
(while  $B$  do  $C$  od,  $m$ )  $↓$   $m$ 

(B,m)↓true (C,m)↓m' (while B do C od, m')↓m'' (while B do C od, m)↓m''

# 4

### Example: If Then Else Rule

(if x > 5 then y:= 2 + 3 else y:= 3 + 4 fi,  
$$\{x -> 7\}$$
)  $\downarrow$  ?

# 4

### Example: If Then Else Rule

$$(x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \parallel ?$$
  
(if  $x > 5$  then  $y := 2 + 3$  else  $y := 3 + 4$  fi,  $\{x -> 7\}) \parallel ?$ 

#### **Example: Arith Relation**

```
? > ? = ?

\frac{(x,(x->7)) \|? (5,(x->7)) \|?}{(x > 5, (x -> 7)) \|?}
(if x > 5 then y:= 2 + 3 else y:= 3 + 4 fi, (x -> 7)) \|?
```

### Example: Identifier(s)

7 > 5 = true  

$$(x,(x->7))$$
 | 7 | (5,(x->7)) | 5  
 $(x > 5, (x -> 7))$  | 7  
(if x > 5 then y:= 2 + 3 else y:=3 + 4 fi,  $(x -> 7)$ ) | 7

### Example: Arith Relation

$$7 > 5 = \text{true}$$
  
 $(x,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 7 \quad (5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5$   
 $(x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow \text{true}$   
 $(\text{if } x > 5 \text{ then } y := 2 + 3 \text{ else } y := 3 + 4 \text{ fi},$   
 $(x -> 7) \downarrow ?$ 

#### Example: If Then Else Rule

$$7 > 5 = \text{true}$$

$$(x,(x->7)) \parallel 7 \quad (5,(x->7)) \parallel 5 \qquad (y:= 2 + 3, \{x-> 7\})$$

$$(x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \parallel \text{true} \qquad \parallel ? \qquad .$$

$$(\text{if } x > 5 \text{ then } y:= 2 + 3 \text{ else } y:= 3 + 4 \text{ fi},$$

$$(x -> 7) \parallel ?$$

### Example: Assignment

```
7 > 5 = \text{true} (2+3, \{x->7\}) \downarrow ? (x,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 7 (5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5 (y:= 2+3, \{x->7\}) (x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow \text{true} \downarrow ? (\text{if } x > 5 \text{ then } y:= 2+3 \text{ else } y:=3+4 \text{ fi}, \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow ?
```

#### Example: Arith Op

#### Example: Numerals

```
2 + 3 = 5

(2,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 2 \quad (3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 3

7 > 5 = \text{true} \qquad (2+3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow ?

(x,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 7 \quad (5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5 \qquad (y:= 2+3,\{x->7\})

(x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow \text{true} \qquad \downarrow ?

(if x > 5 \text{ then } y:= 2+3 \text{ else } y:= 3+4 \text{ fi,}

\{x -> 7\}) \downarrow ?
```

#### Example: Arith Op

```
2 + 3 = 5
(2,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 2 \quad (3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 3
7 > 5 = \text{true} \qquad (2+3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5
(x,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 7 \quad (5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5 \qquad (y:=2+3,\{x->7\})
(x > 5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow \text{true} \qquad \downarrow ?
(\text{if } x > 5 \text{ then } y:=2+3 \text{ else } y:=3+4 \text{ fi,}
\{x->7\}\} \downarrow ?
```

### Example: Assignment

```
2 + 3 = 5
(2,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 2 \quad (3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 3
7 > 5 = \text{true} \qquad (2+3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5
(x,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 7 \quad (5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5 \qquad (y:=2+3,\{x->7\})
(x > 5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow \text{true} \qquad \downarrow \{x->7,y->5\}
(if x > 5 \text{ then } y:=2+3 \text{ else } y:=3+4 \text{ fi},
\{x->7\}) \downarrow ?
```



#### Example: If Then Else Rule

```
2 + 3 = 5
(2,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 2 \quad (3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 3
7 > 5 = \text{true} \qquad (2+3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5
(x,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 7 \quad (5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5 \qquad (y:=2+3,\{x->7\})
(x > 5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow \text{true} \qquad \downarrow \{x->7,y->5\}
(if x > 5 \text{ then } y:=2+3 \text{ else } y:=3+4 \text{ fi},
\{x ->7\}) \downarrow \{x->7,y->5\}
```



#### Let in Command

$$\frac{(E,m) \Downarrow v \ (C,m[I <-v]) \Downarrow m'}{(\text{let } I = E \text{ in } C, m) \Downarrow m''}$$

Where m''(y) = m'(y) for  $y \ne I$  and m''(I) = m(I) if m(I) is defined, and m''(I) is undefined otherwise

## Example

## Example

11/8/12

32



- Simple Imperative Programming Language introduces variables implicitly through assignment
- The let-in command introduces scoped variables explictly
- Clash of constructs apparent in awkward semantics



### **Interpretation Versus Compilation**

- A compiler from language L1 to language L2 is a program that takes an L1 program and for each piece of code in L1 generates a piece of code in L2 of same meaning
- An interpreter of L1 in L2 is an L2 program that executes the meaning of a given L1 program
- Compiler would examine the body of a loop once; an interpreter would examine it every time the loop was executed

## Interpreter

- An Interpreter represents the operational semantics of a language L1 (source language) in the language of implementation L2 (target language)
- Built incrementally
  - Start with literals
  - Variables
  - Primitive operations
  - Evaluation of expressions
  - Evaluation of commands/declarations

## Interpreter

- Takes abstract syntax trees as input
  - In simple cases could be just strings
- One procedure for each syntactic category (nonterminal)
  - eg one for expressions, another for commands
- If Natural semantics used, tells how to compute final value from code
- If Transition semantics used, tells how to compute next "state"
  - To get final value, put in a loop

### Natural Semantics Example

- compute\_exp (Var(v), m) = look\_up v m
- compute\_exp (Int(n), \_) = Num (n)

**...** 

compute\_com(IfExp(b,c1,c2),m) =
 if compute\_exp (b,m) = Bool(true)
 then compute\_com (c1,m)
 else compute\_com (c2,m)



#### Natural Semantics Example

```
compute_com(While(b,c), m) =
  if compute_exp (b,m) = Bool(false)
  then m
  else compute_com
    (While(b,c), compute_com(c,m))
```

- May fail to terminate exceed stack limits
- Returns no useful information then