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## Ocamllex Input

- header and trailer contain arbitrary ocaml code put at top an bottom of <filename>.ml
- let ident = regexp ... Introduces ident for use in later regular expressions


## Ocamllex Regular Expression

- Single quoted characters for letters: 'a'
- _: (underscore) matches any letter
- Eof: special "end_of_file" marker
- Concatenation same as usual
- "string": concatenation of sequence of characters
- $e_{1} / e_{2}$ : choice - what was $e_{1} \vee e_{2}$
\{ header \}
let ident = regexp ...
rule entrypoint [arg1... argn] = parse regexp \{ action \}
| ...
| regexp \{ action \}
and entrypoint [arg1... argn] = parse ...and ...
\{ trailer \}
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## Ocamllex Input

- <filename>.ml contains one lexing function per entrypoint
- Name of function is name given for entrypoint
- Each entry point becomes an Ocaml function that takes $n+1$ arguments, the extra implicit last argument being of type Lexing.lexbuf
- arg1... argn are for use in action


## Ocamllex Regular Expression

- $\left[c_{1}-c_{2}\right.$ ]: choice of any character between first and second inclusive, as determined by character codes
- $\left[{ }^{\wedge} c_{1}-c_{2}\right]$ : choice of any character NOT in set
- $e^{*}$ : same as before
- e+: same as e $e^{*}$
- e?: option - was $e_{1} \vee \varepsilon$


## Ocamllex Regular Expression

- $e_{1} \# e_{2}$ : the characters in $e_{1}$ but not in $e_{2} ; e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ must describe just sets of characters
- ident: abbreviation for earlier reg exp in let ident $=$ regexp
- $e_{1}$ as $i d$ : binds the result of $e_{1}$ to $i d$ to be used in the associated action


## Example : test.mll

\{ type result = Int of int | Float of float | String of string \}
let digit $=$ ['0'-'9']
let digits = digit +
let lower_case = ['a'-'z']
let upper_case = ['A'-'Z']
let letter = upper_case | lower_case
let letters = letter +

## Example

\# \#use "test.ml";;
val main : Lexing.lexbuf -> result = <fun>
val __ocaml_lex_main_rec : Lexing.lexbuf -> int -> result = <fun>
Ready to lex.
hi there 2345.2

- : result = String "hi"

What happened to the rest?!?

## Ocamllex Manual

- More details can be found at
http://caml.inria.fr/pub/docs/manual-ocaml/ manual026.html


## Example : test.mll

rule main = parse
(digits)'.'digits as f \{ Float (float_of_string f) \}
| digits as $\mathrm{n} \quad\{$ Int (int_of_string n ) \}
| letters as s \{ String s\}
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}
\{ let newlexbuf = (Lexing.from_channel stdin) in print_string "Ready to lex.";
print_newline ();
main newlexbuf \}
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## Example

\# let $\mathrm{b}=$ Lexing.from_channel stdin;;
\# main b;;
hi 673 there

- : result = String "hi"
\# main b;;
- : result = Int 673
\# main b;;
- : result = String "there"
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## Problem

- How to get lexer to look at more than the first token at one time?
- Answer: action has to tell it to -- recursive calls
- Side Benefit: can add "state" into lexing
- Note: already used this with the _ case


## Example Results

Ready to lex.
hi there 2345.2

- : result list = [String "hi"; String "there"; Int 234; Float 5.2]
\#

Used Ctrl-d to send the end-of-file signal

## Dealing with comments

| open_comment \{ comment lexbuf\}
| eof $\{[]\}$
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}
and comment = parse
close_comment \{ main lexbuf \}
I_ \{ comment lexbuf \}

## Example

rule main = parse

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (digits) '.' digits as f \{ Float } \\
& \text { (float_of_string f) :: main lexbuf }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

| digits as $\mathrm{n} \quad\{$ Int (int_of_string n ): : main lexbuf \}
| letters as s
\{ String s :: main lexbuf\}
| eof $\{[]\}$
I_ \{ main lexbuf \}
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## Dealing with comments

## First Attempt

let open_comment = "(*"
let close_comment = "*)"
rule main $=$ parse
(digits) '.' digits as f \{ Float (float_of_string
f) :: main lexbuf $\}$
| digits as $\mathrm{n} \quad\{$ Int (int_of_string n ) :: main lexbuf \}
| letters as s \{ String s :: main lexbuf\}

## Dealing with nested comments

rule main = parse...
| open_comment \{ comment 1 lexbuf\}
| eof $\{[]\}$
| _ \{ main lexbuf \}
and comment depth $=$ parse
open_comment $\quad\{$ comment (depth+1)
lexbuf $\}$
| close_comment $\quad\{$ if depth $=1$
then main lexbuf
else comment (depth - 1) lexbuf \}
I _ \{ comment depth lexbuf \}
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```
rule main = parse
    (digits) '.' digits as f { Float (float_of_string f) ::
    main lexbuf}
| digits as n { Int (int_of_string n) :: main
    lexbuf }
    | letters as s { String s :: main lexbuf}
    | open_comment { (comment 1 lexbuf}
    | eof {[]}
    | _ { main lexbuf }
```


## Types of Formal Language Descriptions

- Regular expressions, regular grammars
- Context-free grammars, BNF grammars, syntax diagrams
- Finite state automata
- Whole family more of grammars and automata - covered in automata theory


## BNF Grammars

- Start with a set of characters, $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, .$. - We call these terminals
- Add a set of different characters, $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$, ".
- We call these nonterminals
- One special nonterminal S called start symbol

Dealing with nested comments
and comment depth = parse
open_comment \{ comment (depth+1) lexbuf \}
| close_comment $\quad\{$ if depth $=1$
then main lexbuf else comment (depth - 1) lexbuf \}
$I \quad$ _ comment depth lexbuf \}

## Sample Grammar

- Language: Parenthesized sums of 0's and 1's
- <Sum> ::= 0
- <Sum >::= 1
- <Sum> ::= <Sum> + <Sum>
- <Sum> ::= (<Sum>)


## BNF Grammars

- BNF rules (aka productions) have form
X ::= y
where $\mathbf{X}$ is any nonterminal and $y$ is a string of terminals and nonterminals
- BNF grammar is a set of BNF rules such that every nonterminal appears on the left of some rule


## Sample Grammar

- Terminals: $01+$ ( )
- Nonterminals: <Sum>
- Start symbol = <Sum>
- <Sum> ::=0
- <Sum >::=1
- <Sum> ::= <Sum> + <Sum>
- <Sum> ::= (<Sum>)
- Can be abbreviated as
<Sum> ::=0|1

```
    | <Sum> + <Sum> | (<Sum>)
```

10/25/12

## BNF Derivations

- Start with the start symbol:
<Sum> =>


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute:
- <Sum> ::= <Sum> + <Sum>
<Sum $>=><$ Sum $>+<$ Sum $>$


## BNF Deriviations

- Given rules

$$
\mathbf{X}::=y \mathbf{Z} w \text { and } \mathbf{Z}::=v
$$

we may replace $\mathbf{Z}$ by $v$ to say

$$
\mathbf{X}=>y \mathbf{Z} w=>y v w
$$

- Sequence of such replacements called derivation
- Derivation called right-most if always replace the right-most non-terminal
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## BNF Derivations

- Pick a non-terminal
<Sum> =>


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a non-terminal:
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute:
- <Sum> ::= ( <Sum>)
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >

$$
=>(\text { <Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }>
$$

## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute:
- <Sum> ::= <Sum> + <Sum>
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =>(\text { SUum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { <Sum }>+ \text { SSum }>)+\text { Sum }>
\end{aligned}
$$

## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute:
- <Sum >::= 1
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >
$=>(<$ Sum $>)+$ <Sum $>$
$=>($ SUum $>+$ <Sum> $)+$ <Sum $>$ $=>(\langle$ Sum $>+1)+$ <Sum $>$


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a non-terminal:

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\text { Sum }> & =>\text { <Sum }>+ \text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(<\text { Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+ \text { <Sum })+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SSum }>+1)+\text { <Sum }>
\end{aligned}
$$

## BNF Derivations

- Pick a rule and substitute:
- <Sum >::= 0
<Sum> => <Sum> + <Sum >
$=>($ SUum $>)+$ <Sum $>$
$=>($ SUum $>+$ <Sum > $)+$ <Sum $>$
$=>(\langle$ Sum $>+1)+$ <Sum $>$
$=>(\langle$ Sum $>+1)+0$


## BNF Derivations

- Pick a non-terminal:

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\text { Sum }> & =><\text { Sum }>+ \text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SSum }>+ \text { <Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+1)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+1)+0
\end{aligned}
$$

## BNF Derivations

- $(0+1)+0$ is generated by grammar

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\text { Sum }> & =><\text { Sum }>+<\text { Sum }> \\
& =>(<\text { Sum }>)+\text { Sum }> \\
& =>(<\text { Sum }>+ \text { Sum }>)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(\text { SUum }>+1)+\text { <Sum }> \\
& =>(<\text { Sum }>+1)+0 \\
& =>(0+1)+0
\end{aligned}
$$

## BNF Semantics

- The meaning of a BNF grammar is the set of all strings consisting only of terminals that can be derived from the Start symbol


## Extended BNF Grammars

- Alternatives: allow rules of from $\mathrm{X}::=y / z$
- Abbreviates $X::=y, X::=z$
- Options: $\mathrm{X}::=y[v] z$
- Abbreviates X::=yvz, X::=yz
- Repetition: $\mathrm{X}::=y\{v\}^{*} z$
- Can be eliminated by adding new nonterminal V and rules $\mathrm{X}::=y z, \mathrm{X}::=\mathrm{yVz}$, $\mathrm{V}::=v$, $\mathrm{V}::=W$


## Example

- Regular grammar:
<Balanced> ::= ع
<Balanced> ::= 0<OneAndMore>
<Balanced> ::= 1<ZeroAndMore>
<OneAndMore> ::= 1 <Balanced>
<ZeroAndMore> ::= 0<Balanced>
- Generates even length strings where every initial substring of even length has same number of 0's as 1's


## Example

- Consider grammar:

```
<exp> ::= <factor>
            | <factor> + <factor>
    <factor> ::= <bin>
        | <bin> * <exp>
    <bin> ::= 0 | 1
```

- Problem: Build parse tree for $1 * 1+0$ as an <exp>


## Regular Grammars

- Subclass of BNF
- Only rules of form <nonterminal>::=<terminal><nonterminal> or <nonterminal>::=<terminal> or <nonterminal>::= $\varepsilon$
- Defines same class of languages as regular expressions
- Important for writing lexers (programs that convert strings of characters into strings of tokens)
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## Parse Trees

- Graphical representation of derivation
- Each node labeled with either non-terminal or terminal
- If node is labeled with a terminal, then it is a leaf (no sub-trees)
- If node is labeled with a non-terminal, then it has one branch for each character in the right-hand side of rule used to substitute for it


## Example cont.

- $1^{*} 1+0: \quad$ <exp>
<exp> is the start symbol for this parse tree

Example cont.

- 1 * $1+0$ :


## <exp> <factor>

Use rule: <exp> ::= <factor>

Example cont.

- 1 * $1+0$ :


Use rules: <bin> ::= 1 and <exp> ::= <factor> + <factor>

## Example cont.

- 1 * 1 + 0 :


Use rules: <bin> ::= 1|0

Example cont.

- 1 * $1+0$ : <exp>


Use rule: <factor> ::= <bin> * <exp>
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Example cont.

- $1 * 1+0: \quad$ <exp>


Use rule: <factor> ::= <bin> 10/25/12
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Example cont.

- 1 * $1+0$ : <exp>


Fringe of tree is string generated by grammar 10/25/12

## Your Turn: 1 * $0+0$ * 1

## Example

- Recall grammar:
<exp> ::= <factor> | <factor> + <factor> <factor> ::= <bin> | <bin> * <exp>
<bin>::= 0 | 1
- type exp = Factor2Exp of factor | Plus of factor * factor
and factor $=$ Bin2Factor of bin | Mult of bin * exp and bin $=$ Zero | One


## Example cont.

- Can be represented as

Factor2Exp
(Mult(One,
Plus(Bin2Factor One, Bin2Factor Zero)))

## Parse Tree Data Structures

- Parse trees may be represented by OCaml datatypes
- One datatype for each nonterminal
- One constructor for each rule
- Defined as mutually recursive collection of datatype declarations

Example cont.

- 1 * $1+0$ : <exp>
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## Ambiguous Grammars and Languages

- A BNF grammar is ambiguous if its language contains strings for which there is more than one parse tree
- If all BNF's for a language are ambiguous then the language is inherently ambiguous


## Example: Ambiguous Grammar

- $0+1+0$




## Example

- What is the result for:

$$
3+4 * 5+6
$$

- Possible answers:
- $41=((3+4) * 5)+6$
- $47=3+(4 *(5+6))$
- $29=(3+(4 * 5))+6=3+((4 * 5)+6)$
- $77=(3+4) *(5+6)$


## Example

- What is the value of:

$$
7-5-2
$$

- Possible answers:
- In Pascal, C++, SML assoc. left
$7-5-2=(7-5)-2=0$
- In APL, associate to right

$$
7-5-2=7-(5-2)=4
$$

## Example

- What is the result for:

$$
3+4 * 5+6
$$

## Example

- What is the value of:

$$
7-5-2
$$

Two Major Sources of Ambiguity

- Lack of determination of operator precedence
- Lack of determination of operator assoicativity
- Not the only sources of ambiguity

