$CS/ECE 374 A \Leftrightarrow Fall 2023$

Momework 11 N

Due Tuesday, November 28, 2023 at 9pm

This is the last graded homework before the final exam.

A *balloon* of size l is an undirected graph consisting of a (simple) cycle of length l and a (simple) path of length l, where one endpoint of the path lies on the cycle, and otherwise the cycle and the path are disjoint. Every balloon of size l has exactly 2l vertices and 2l edges. For example, the 4 × 4 grid graph shown below contains a balloon subgraph of size 8.



Prove that it is NP-hard to find the size of the the largest balloon subgraph of a given undirected graph.

2. Recall that a *3-coloring* of a graph assigns each vertex one of three colors, say red, yellow, and blue. A *3-coloring* is *proper* if every edge has endpoints with different colors. The 3COLOR problem asks, given an arbitrary undirected graph *G*, whether *G* has a proper 3-coloring.

Call a 3-coloring of a graph G slightly improper if each vertex has at most one neighbor with the same color. The SLIGHTLYIMPROPER3COLOR problem asks, given an arbitrary undirected graph G, whether G has a slightly improper 3-coloring.



(a) Consider the following attempt to prove that SLIGHTLYIMPROPER3COLOR is NP-hard, using a reduction from 3COLOR.

Non-solution: We reduce from 3COLOR. Given an arbitrary input graph *G*, we construct a new graph *H* by attaching a clique of 4 vertices to every vertex of *G*. Specifically, for each vertex v in *G*, the graph *H* contains three new vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 , along with edges $vv_1, vv_2, vv_3, v_1v_2, v_1v_3, v_2v_3$. I claim that

G has a proper 3-coloring if and only if *H* has a slightly improper 3-coloring.

- ⇒ Suppose *G* has a proper 3-coloring, using the colors red, yellow, and blue. Extend this color assignment to the vertices of *H* by coloring each vertex v_1 red, each vertex v_2 yellow, and each vertex v_3 blue. With this assignment, each vertex of *H* has at most one neighbor with the same color. Specifically, each vertex of *G* has the same color as one of the vertices in its gadget, and the other two vertices in *v*'s gadget have no neighbors with the same color.
- \Leftrightarrow Now suppose *H* has a slightly improper 3-coloring. Then *G* must have a proper 3-coloring because...um...

Describe a graph G that does not have a proper 3-coloring, such that the graph H constructed by this reduction does have a slightly improper 3-coloring.

- (b) Describe a small graph *X* with the following property: In every slightly improper 3-coloring of *X*, every vertex of *X* has *exactly* one neighbor with the same color.
- (c) Describe a correct polynomial-time reduction from 3COLOR to SLIGHTLYIMPROPER-3COLOR. [*Hint: Use your graph from part (b) as a gadget.*] This reduction will prove that SLIGHTLYIMPROPER3COLOR is indeed NP-hard.

Solved Problem

3. A *double-Hamiltonian tour* in an undirected graph *G* is a closed walk that visits every vertex in *G* exactly twice. Prove that it is NP-hard to decide whether a given graph *G* has a double-Hamiltonian tour.



This graph contains the double-Hamiltonian tour $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow d \rightarrow g \rightarrow e \rightarrow b \rightarrow d \rightarrow c \rightarrow f \rightarrow a \rightarrow c \rightarrow f \rightarrow g \rightarrow e \rightarrow a$.

Solution: We prove the problem is NP-hard with a reduction from the standard Hamiltonian cycle problem. Let *G* be an arbitrary undirected graph. We construct a new graph *H* by attaching a small gadget to every vertex of *G*. Specifically, for each vertex *v*, we add two vertices v^{\sharp} and v^{\flat} , along with three edges vv^{\flat} , vv^{\sharp} , and $v^{\flat}v^{\sharp}$.



A vertex in *G* and the corresponding vertex gadget in *H*.

Now I claim that



⇒ Suppose *G* contains a Hamiltonian cycle $C = v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_n \rightarrow v_1$. We can construct a double-Hamiltonian tour of *H* by replacing each vertex v_i in *C* with the following walk:

 $\cdots \rightarrow \nu_i \rightarrow \nu_i^{\flat} \rightarrow \nu_i^{\sharp} \rightarrow \nu_i^{\flat} \rightarrow \nu_i^{\sharp} \rightarrow \nu_i \rightarrow \cdots$

 \Leftarrow Conversely, suppose *H* has a double-Hamiltonian tour *D*. Consider any vertex *v* in the original graph *G*; the tour *D* must visit *v* exactly twice. Those two visits split *D* into two closed walks, each of which visits *v* exactly once. Any walk from v^{\flat} or v^{\ddagger} to any other vertex in *H* must pass through *v*. Thus, one of the two closed walks visits only the vertices *v*, v^{\flat} , and v^{\ddagger} . Thus, if we remove the vertices and edges in $H \setminus G$ from *D*, we obtain a closed walk in *G* that visits every vertex in *G* exactly once.

Given any graph G, we can clearly construct the corresponding graph H in polynomial time by brute force.

With more effort, we can construct a graph *H* that contains a double-Hamiltonian tour *that traverses each edge of H at most once* if and only if *G* contains a Hamiltonian

cycle. For each vertex v in G we attach a more complex gadget containing five vertices and eleven edges, as shown on the next page.



A vertex in *G*, and the corresponding modified vertex gadget in *H*.

Rubric: 10 points, standard polynomial-time reduction rubric. This is not the only correct solution.

Non-solution (self-loops): We attempt to prove the problem is NP-hard with a reduction from the Hamiltonian cycle problem. Let G be an arbitrary undirected graph. We construct a new graph H by attaching a self-loop every vertex of G. Given any graph G, we can clearly construct the corresponding graph H in polynomial time.

An incorrect vertex gadget.

Now I claim that



⇒ Suppose *G* has a Hamiltonian cycle $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_n \rightarrow v_1$. We can construct a double-Hamiltonian tour of *H* by alternating between edges of the Hamiltonian cycle and self-loops: $v_1 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_n \rightarrow v_n \rightarrow v_1$.

↔ Um...

Unfortunately, if H has a double-Hamiltonian tour, we *cannot* conclude that G has a Hamiltonian cycle, because we cannot guarantee that a double-Hamiltonian tour in H uses *any* self-loops. The graph G shown below is a counterexample; it has a double-Hamiltonian tour (even before adding self-loops!) but no Hamiltonian cycle.



This graph has a double-Hamiltonian tour.

Some useful NP-hard problems. You are welcome to use any of these in your own NP-hardness proofs, except of course for the specific problem you are trying to prove NP-hard.

CIRCUITSAT: Given a boolean circuit, are there any input values that make the circuit output TRUE?

- **3SAT:** Given a boolean formula in conjunctive normal form, with exactly three distinct literals per clause, does the formula have a satisfying assignment?
- **MAXINDEPENDENTSET:** Given an undirected graph *G*, what is the size of the largest subset of vertices in *G* that have no edges among them?
- MAXCLIQUE: Given an undirected graph G, what is the size of the largest complete subgraph of G?
- **MINVERTEXCOVER:** Given an undirected graph *G*, what is the size of the smallest subset of vertices that touch every edge in *G*?
- **MINSETCOVER:** Given a collection of subsets S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m of a set S, what is the size of the smallest subcollection whose union is S?
- **MINHITTINGSET:** Given a collection of subsets $S_1, S_2, ..., S_m$ of a set S, what is the size of the smallest subset of S that intersects every subset S_i ?
- **3COLOR:** Given an undirected graph *G*, can its vertices be colored with three colors, so that every edge touches vertices with two different colors?
- **CHROMATICNUMBER:** Given an undirected graph *G*, what is the minimum number of colors required to color its vertices, so that every edge touches vertices with two different colors?
- **HAMILTONIANPATH:** Given graph *G* (either directed or undirected), is there a path in *G* that visits every vertex exactly once?
- **HAMILTONIANCYCLE:** Given a graph *G* (either directed or undirected), is there a cycle in *G* that visits every vertex exactly once?
- **TRAVELINGSALESMAN:** Given a graph *G* (either directed or undirected) with weighted edges, what is the minimum total weight of any Hamiltonian path/cycle in *G*?
- **LONGESTPATH:** Given a graph *G* (either directed or undirected, possibly with weighted edges), what is the length of the longest simple path in *G*?
- **STEINERTREE:** Given an undirected graph *G* with some of the vertices marked, what is the minimum number of edges in a subtree of *G* that contains every marked vertex?
- **SUBSETSUM:** Given a set *X* of positive integers and an integer *k*, does *X* have a subset whose elements sum to *k*?
- **PARTITION:** Given a set *X* of positive integers, can *X* be partitioned into two subsets with the same sum?
- **3PARTITION:** Given a set *X* of 3*n* positive integers, can *X* be partitioned into *n* three-element subsets, all with the same sum?
- **INTEGERLINEARPROGRAMMING:** Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times d}$ and two vectors $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $c \in Z^d$, compute $\max\{c \cdot x \mid Ax \leq b, x \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$.
- **FEASIBLEILP:** Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times d}$ and a vector $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, determine whether the set of feasible integer points $\max\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$ is empty.
- **DRAUGHTS:** Given an $n \times n$ international draughts configuration, what is the largest number of pieces that can (and therefore must) be captured in a single move?
- **SUPERMARIOBROTHERS:** Given an *n* × *n* Super Mario Brothers level, can Mario reach the castle?