Prove that the following languages are undecidable.

1. $\textsc{AcceptIllini} := \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts the string } \texttt{ILLINI}\}$

Solution: For the sake of argument, suppose there is an algorithm DecideAcceptIllini that correctly decides the language AcceptIllini. Then we can solve the halting problem as follows:

```
DecideHalt(⟨M, w⟩):
    Encode the following Turing machine M′:
        M′(x):
            run M on input w
            return True
    if DecideAcceptIllini(⟨M′⟩)
        return True
    else
        return False
```

We prove this reduction correct as follows:

$\Longrightarrow$ Suppose M halts on input w.
Then M' accepts *every* input string x.
In particular, M' accepts the string **ILLINI**.
So DecideAcceptIllini accepts the encoding $\langle M' \rangle$.
So DecideHalt correctly accepts the encoding $\langle M, w \rangle$.

$\Longleftarrow$ Suppose M does not halt on input w.
Then M' diverges on *every* input string x.
In particular, M' does not accept the string **ILLINI**.
So DecideAcceptIllini rejects the encoding $\langle M' \rangle$.
So DecideHalt correctly rejects the encoding $\langle M, w \rangle$.

In both cases, DecideHalt is correct. But that's impossible, because Halt is undecidable. We conclude that the algorithm DecideAcceptIllini does not exist.

As usual for undecidablility proofs, this proof invokes *four* distinct Turing machines:

- The hypothetical algorithm DecideAcceptIllini.
- The new algorithm DecideHalt that we construct in the solution.
- The arbitrary machine M whose encoding is part of the input to DecideHalt.
- The special machine M' whose encoding DecideHalt constructs (from the encoding of M and w) and then passes to DecideAcceptIllini.

■

2. $\text{AcceptThree} := \big\{\langle M\rangle \bigm| M \text{ accepts exactly three strings}\big\}$

Solution: For the sake of argument, suppose there is an algorithm DecideAcceptThree that correctly decides the language AcceptThree. Then we can solve the halting problem as follows:

```
DecideHalt(⟨M, w⟩):
  Encode the following Turing machine M′:
      M′(x):
        run M on input w
        if x = ε or x = 0 or x = 1
            return True
        else
            return False
  if DecideAcceptThree(⟨M′⟩)
      return True
  else
      return False
```

We prove this reduction correct as follows:

$\Longrightarrow$ Suppose M halts on input w.
Then M' accepts exactly three strings: ε, $\mathtt{0}$, and $\mathtt{1}$.
So DecideAcceptThree accepts the encoding $\langle M'\rangle$.
So DecideHalt correctly accepts the encoding $\langle M, w\rangle$.

$\Longleftarrow$ Suppose M does not halt on input w.
Then M' diverges on *every* input string x.
In particular, M' does not accept exactly three strings (because $0 \neq 3$).
So DecideAcceptThree rejects the encoding $\langle M'\rangle$.
So DecideHalt correctly rejects the encoding $\langle M, w\rangle$.

In both cases, DecideHalt is correct. But that's impossible, because Halt is undecidable. We conclude that the algorithm DecideAcceptThree does not exist. ■

3. $\textsc{AcceptPalindrome} := \left\{\langle M\rangle \;\middle|\; M \text{ accepts at least one palindrome}\right\}$

Solution: For the sake of argument, suppose there is an algorithm DECIDEACCEPTPALINDROME that correctly decides the language ACCEPTPALINDROME. Then we can solve the halting problem as follows:

```
DecideHalt(⟨M, w⟩):
  Encode the following Turing machine M′:
      M′(x):
        run M on input w
        return True
      if DecideAcceptPalindrome(⟨M′⟩)
          return True
      else
          return False
```

We prove this reduction correct as follows:

$\Longrightarrow$ Suppose M halts on input w.
Then M' accepts *every* input string x.
In particular, M' accepts the palindrome **RACECAR**.
So DECIDEACCEPTPALINDROME accepts the encoding $\langle M'\rangle$.
So DECIDEHALT correctly accepts the encoding $\langle M, w\rangle$.

$\Longleftarrow$ Suppose M does not halt on input w.
Then M' diverges on *every* input string x.
In particular, M' does not accept any palindromes.
So DECIDEACCEPTPALINDROME rejects the encoding $\langle M'\rangle$.
So DECIDEHALT correctly rejects the encoding $\langle M, w\rangle$.

In both cases, DECIDEHALT is correct. But that's impossible, because HALT is undecidable. We conclude that the algorithm DECIDEACCEPTPALINDROME does not exist.

Yes, this is ***exactly*** the same proof as for problem 1. ■