Algorithms & Models of Computation CS/ECE 374 B, Spring 2020

CYK Algorithm

Lecture 15 March 11

LATEXed: January 19, 2020 04:18

We saw regular languages and context free languages.

Most programming languages are specified via context-free grammars. Why?

- CFLs are sufficiently expressive to support what is needed.
- At the same time one can "efficiently" solve the parsing problem: given a string/program *w*, is it a valid program according to the CFG specification of the programming language?

CFG specification for C

```
<relational-expression> ::= <shift-expression>
                            <relational-expression> < <shift-expression>
                            <relational-expression> > <shift-expression>
                            <relational-expression> <= <shift-expression>
                            <relational-expression> >= <shift-expression>
<shift-expression> ::= <additive-expression>
                       <shift-expression> << <additive-expression>
                       <shift-expression> >> <additive-expression>
<additive-expression> ::= <multiplicative-expression>
                          <additive-expression> + <multiplicative-expression>
                          <additive-expression> - <multiplicative-expression>
<multiplicative-expression> ::= <cast-expression>
                                <multiplicative-expression> * <cast-expression>
                                <multiplicative-expression> / <cast-expression>
                                <multiplicative-expression> % <cast-expression>
<cast-expression> ::= <unary-expression>
                      ( <type-name> ) <cast-expression>
<unary-expression> ::= <postfix-expression>
                       ++ <unary-expression>
                       -- <unary-expression>
                       <unary-operator> <cast-expression>
                       sizeof <unary-expression>
                       sizeof <type-name>
  Miller, Hassanieh (UIUC)
                                  CS374
                                                                     Spring 2020
                                                                                 3 / 10
```

Algorithmic Problem

Given a CFG G = (V, T, P, S) and a string $w \in T^*$, is $w \in L(G)$?

- That is, does **S** derive **w**?
- Equivalently, is there a parse tree for *w*?

Algorithmic Problem

Given a CFG G = (V, T, P, S) and a string $w \in T^*$, is $w \in L(G)$?

- That is, does **S** derive **w**?
- Equivalently, is there a parse tree for w?

Simplifying assumption: *G* is in Chomsky Normal Form (CNF)

- Productions are all of the form $A \to BC$ or $A \to a$. If $\epsilon \in L$ then $S \to \epsilon$ is also allowed.
- \bullet Every CFG ${\it G}$ can be converted into CNF form via an efficient algorithm
- Advantage: parse tree of constant degree.

Example

Question:

- Is 000111 in L(G)?
- Is 00011 in L(G)?

Towards Recursive Algorithm

Assume **G** is a CNF grammar.

S derives **w** iff one of the following holds:

- |w| = 1 and $S \rightarrow w$ is a rule in P
- |w| > 1 and there is a rule $S \to AB$ and a split w = uv with $|u|, |v| \ge 1$ such that A derives u and B derives v

Towards Recursive Algorithm

Assume **G** is a CNF grammar.

S derives **w** iff one of the following holds:

•
$$|w| = 1$$
 and $S \to w$ is a rule in P

• |w| > 1 and there is a rule $S \to AB$ and a split w = uv with $|u|, |v| \ge 1$ such that A derives u and B derives v

Observation: Subproblems generated require us to know if some non-terminal A will derive a substring of w.

 $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n$ Assume *r* non-terminals in *V*

Deriv(A, i, j): 1 if non-terminal A derives substring $w_i w_{i+1} \dots w_j$, otherwise 0

Recursive formula: Deriv(A, i, j) is 1 iff

- j = i and $A \rightarrow w_i$ is a rule or
- j > i and there is rule $A \rightarrow BC$ and there is $i \le h < j$ such that Deriv(B, i, h) = 1 and Deriv(C, h + 1, j) = 1

Output: $w \in L(G)$ iff Deriv(S, 1, n) = 1.

Analysis

Assume $V = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r\}$ with $S = A_1$

- Number of subproblems: $O(rn^2)$
- Space: O(rn²)
- Time to evalue a subproblem from previous ones: O(|P|n) where P is set of rules
- Total time: $O(|P|rn^3)$ which is polynomial in both |w| and |G|. For fixed G the run time is cubic in input string length.
- Not practical for most programming languages. Most languages assume restricted forms of CFGs that enable more efficient parsing algorithms.

Example

Question:

- Is 000111 in L(G)?
- Is 00011 in L(G)?

Order of evaluation for iterative algorithm: increasing order of substring length.

Example