Describe recursive backtracking algorithms for the following problems. Don't worry about running times.

1 Given an array A[1..n] of integers, compute the length of a *longest increasing subsequence*.

Solution:

 $[\#1 \text{ of } \infty]$ Add a sentinel value $A[0] = -\infty$. Let LIS(i, j) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of $A[j \dots n]$ where every element is larger than A[i]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LIS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > n\\ LIS(i,j+1) & \text{if } j \le n \text{ and } A[i] \ge A[j]\\ \max\left\{LIS(i,j+1), 1 + LIS(j,j+1)\right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LIS(0, 1).

Solution:

 $[\#2 \text{ of } \infty]$ Add a sentinel value $A[n+1] = -\infty$. Let LIS(i, j) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of $A[1 \dots j]$ where every element is smaller than A[j]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LIS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < 1\\ LIS(i-1,j) & \text{if } i \ge 1 \text{ and } A[i] \ge A[j]\\ \max\left\{LIS(i-1,j), 1 + LIS(i-1,i)\right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LIS(n, n+1).

Solution:

 $[\#3 \text{ of } \infty]$ Let LIS(i) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of $A[i \dots n]$ that begins with A[i]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LIS(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A[j] \le A[i] \text{ for all } j > i \\ 1 + \max\{LIS(j)\} \ j > i \text{ and } A[j] > A[i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(The first case is actually redundant if we define $\max \emptyset = 0$.) We need to compute $\max_i LIS(i)$.

Solution:

 $[\#4 \text{ of } \infty]$ Add a sentinel value $A[0] = -\infty$. Let LIS(i) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of $A[i \dots n]$ that begins with A[i]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LIS(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A[j] \le A[i] \text{ for all } j > i \\ 1 + \max\{LIS(j)\} \ j > i \text{ and } A[j] > A[i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(The first case is actually redundant if we define $\max \emptyset = 0$.) We need to compute LIS(0) - 1; the -1 removes the sentinel $-\infty$ from the start of the subsequence.

Solution:

 $[\#5 \text{ of } \infty]$ Add sentinel values $A[0] = -\infty$ and $A[n+1] = \infty$. Let LIS(j) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of $A[1 \dots j]$ that ends with A[j]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LIS(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 0\\ 1 + \max \left\{ LIS(i) \right\} i < j \text{ and } A[i] < A[j] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LIS(n+1) - 2; the -2 removes the sentinels $-\infty$ and ∞ from the subsequence.

2 Given an array A[1..n] of integers, compute the length of a *longest decreasing subsequence*.

Solution:

[one of many] Add a sentinel value $A[0] = \infty$. Let LDS(i, j) denote the length of the longest decreasing subsequence of $A[j \dots n]$ where every element is smaller than A[i]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LDS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > n\\ LDS(i,j+1) & \text{if } j \le n \text{ and } A[i] \le A[j]\\ \max\left\{LDS(i,j+1), 1 + LIS(j,j+1)\right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LDS(0, 1).

Solution:

[clever] Multiply every element of A by -1, and then compute the length of the longest increasing subsequence using the algorithm from problem 1.

3 Given an array A[1..n] of integers, compute the length of a *longest alternating subsequence*.

Solution:

[one of many] We define two functions:

- Let $LAS^+(i, j)$ denote the length of the longest alternating subsequence of $A[j \dots n]$ whose first element (if any) is larger than A[i] and whose second element (if any) is smaller than its first.
- Let $LAS^{-}(i, j)$ denote the length of the longest alternating subsequence of $A[j \dots n]$ whose first element (if any) is smaller than A[i] and whose second element (if any) is larger than its first.

These two functions satisfy the following mutual recurrences:

$$LAS^{+}(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > n \\ LAS^{+}(i,j+1) & \text{if } j \le n \text{ and } A[j] \le A[i] \\ \max \left\{ LAS^{+}(i,j+1), 1 + LAS^{-}(j,j+1) \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$LAS^{-}(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > n \\ LAS^{-}(i,j+1) & \text{if } j \le n \text{ and } A[j] \ge A[i] \\ \max \left\{ LAS^{-}(i,j+1), 1 + LAS^{+}(j,j+1) \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

To simplify computation, we consider two different sentinel values A[0]. First we set $A[0] = -\infty$ and let $\ell^+ = LAS^+(0, 1)$. Then we set $A[0] = +\infty$ and let $\ell^- = LAS^-(0, 1)$. Finally, the length of the longest alternating subsequence of A is max $\{\ell^+, \ell^-\}$.

Solution:

[one of many] We define two functions:

- Let $LAS^+(i)$ denote the length of the longest alternating subsequence of $A[i \dots n]$ that starts with A[i] and whose second element (if any) is larger than A[i].
- Let $LAS^{-}(i)$ denote the length of the longest alternating subsequence of $A[i \dots n]$ that starts with A[i] and whose second element (if any) is smaller than A[i].

These two functions satisfy the following mutual recurrences:

$$LAS^{+}(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A[j] \le A[i] \text{ for all } j > i \\ 1 + \max\{LAS^{-}(j)\} j > i \text{ and } A[j] > A[i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$LAS^{-}(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A[j] \ge A[i] \text{ for all } j > i \\ 1 + \max\{LAS^{+}(j)\} j > i \text{ and } A[j] < A[i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute $\max_i \max \{ LAS^+(i), LAS^-(i) \}$.

To think about later:

1 Given an array A[1..n] of integers, compute the length of a longest **convex** subsequence of A.

Solution:

Let LCS(i, j) denote the length of the longest convex subsequence of $A[i \dots n]$ whose first two elements are A[i] and A[j]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LCS(i, j) = 1 + \max \{ LCS(j, k) \} j < k \le n \text{ and } A[i] + A[k] > 2A[j]$$

Here we define $\max \emptyset = 0$; this gives us a working base case. The length of the longest convex subsequence is $\max_{1 \le i < j \le n} LCS(i, j)$.

Solution:

[with sentinels] Assume without loss of generality that $A[i] \ge 0$ for all *i*. (Otherwise, we can add |m| to each A[i], where *m* is the smallest element of A[1 ... n].) Add two sentinel values A[0] = 2M + 1 and A[-1] = 4M + 3, where *M* is the largest element of A[1 ... n].

Let LCS(i, j) denote the length of the longest convex subsequence of $A[i \dots n]$ whose first two elements are A[i] and A[j]. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LCS(i, j) = 1 + \max \{ LCS(j, k) \} j < k \le n \text{ and } A[i] + A[k] > 2A[j]$$

Here we define $\max \emptyset = 0$; this gives us a working base case.

Finally, we claim that the length of the longest convex subsequence of $A[1 \dots n]$ is LCS(-1, 0) - 2.

Proof: First, consider any convex subsequence S of A[1 ... n], and suppose its first element is A[i]. Then we have A[-1] - 2A[0] + A[i] = 4M + 3 - 2(2M + 1) + A[i] = A[i] + 1 > 0, which implies that $A[-1] \cdot A[0] \cdot S$ is a convex subsequence of A[-1 ... n]. So the longest convex subsequence of A[1 ... n] has length at most LCS(-1, 0) - 2.

On the other hand, removing A[-1] and A[0] from any convex subsequence of $A[-1 \dots n]$ laves a convex subsequence of $A[1 \dots n]$. So the longest subsequence of $A[1 \dots n]$ has length at least LCS(-1, 0) - 2.

2 Given an array A[1..n], compute the length of a longest **palindrome** subsequence of A.

Solution:

[naive] Let LPS(i, j) denote the length of the longest palindrome subsequence of $A[i \dots j]$. This function obeys the following recurrence:

$$LPS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i > j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} LPS(i+1,j) \\ LPS(i,j-1) \end{array} \right\} & \text{if } i < j \text{ and } A[i] \neq A[j] \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 + LPS(i+1,j-1) \\ LPS(i+1,j) \\ LPS(i,j-1) \end{array} \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LPS(1, n).

Solution:

[with greedy optimization] Let LPS(i, j) denote the length of the longest palindrome subsequence of $A[i \dots j]$. Before stating a recurrence for this function, we make the following useful observation.¹

Claim 0.1. If i < j and A[i] = A[j], then LPS(i, j) = 2 + LPS(i + 1, j - 1).

Proof: Suppose i < j and A[i] = A[j]. Fix an arbitrary longest palindrome subsequence S of $A[i \dots j]$. There are four cases to consider.

- If S uses neither A[i] nor A[j], then $A[i] \bullet S \bullet A[j]$ is a palindrome subsequence of $A[i \dots j]$ that is longer than S, which is impossible.
- Suppose S uses A[i] but not A[j]. Let A[k] be the last element of S. If k = i, then $A[i] \bullet A[j]$ is a palindrome subsequence of $A[i \dots j]$ that is longer than S, which is impossible. Otherwise, replacing A[k] with A[j] gives us a palindrome subsequence of $A[i \dots j]$ with the same length as S that uses both A[i] and A[j].
- Suppose S uses A[j] but not A[i]. Let A[h] be the first element of S. If h = j, then $A[i] \bullet A[j]$ is a palindrome subsequence of $A[i \dots j]$ that is longer than S, which is impossible. Otherwise, replacing A[h] with A[i] gives us a palindrome subsequence of $A[i \dots j]$ with the same length as S that uses both A[i] and A[j].
- Finally, S might include both A[i] and A[j].

In all cases, we find either a contradiction or a longest palindrome subsequence of $A[i \dots j]$ that uses both A[i] and A[j].

Claim 1 implies that the function LPS satisfies the following recurrence:

$$LPS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i > j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ \max \left\{ LPS(i+1,j), \ LPS(i,j-1) \right\} & \text{if } i < j \text{ and } A[i] \neq A[j] \\ 2 + LPS(i+1,j-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We need to compute LPS(1, n).