In lecture, Alex described an algorithm of Karatsuba that multiplies two \( n \)-digit integers using \( O(n^{\lg 3}) \) single-digit additions, subtractions, and multiplications. In this lab we’ll look at some extensions and applications of this algorithm.

1. Describe an algorithm to compute the product of an \( n \)-digit number and an \( m \)-digit number, where \( m < n \), in \( O(m^{\lg 3-1} n) \) time.

**Solution:** Split the larger number into \( \lceil n/m \rceil \) chunks, each with \( m \) digits. Multiply the smaller number by each chunk in \( O(m^{\lg 3}) \) time using Karatsuba’s algorithm, and then add the resulting partial products with appropriate shifts.

```plaintext
skewMultiply(x[0..m-1], y[0..n-1]):
  prod ← 0
  offset ← 0
  for i ← 0 to \( \lceil n/m \rceil - 1 \)
    chunk ← y[i \cdot m..(i + 1) \cdot m - 1]
    prod ← prod + multiply(x, chunk) \cdot 10^{i \cdot m}
  return prod
```

Each call to `MULTIPLY` requires \( O(n^{\lg 3}) \) time, and all other work within a single iteration of the main loop requires \( O(m) \) time. Thus, the overall running time of the algorithm is \( O(1) + \lceil n/m \rceil O(m^{\lg 3}) = O(m^{\lg 3-1} n) \) as required.

This is the standard method for multiplying a large integer by a single “digit” integer written in base \( 10^m \), but with each single-“digit” multiplication implemented using Karatsuba’s algorithm.
2. Describe an algorithm to compute the decimal representation of $2^n$ in $O(n^{\lg 3})$ time.

[Hint: Repeated squaring. The standard algorithm that computes one decimal digit at a time requires $\Theta(n^2)$ time.]

\textbf{Solution:} We compute $2^n$ via repeated squaring, implementing the following recurrence:

$$2^n = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\
(2^{n/2})^2 & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ is even} \\
2 \cdot (2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}
\end{cases}$$

We use Karatsuba’s algorithm to implement decimal multiplication for each square.

\begin{verbatim}
TwoToThe(n):
    if n = 0
        return 1
    m ← \lfloor n/2 \rfloor
    z ← TwoToThe(m)  \langle recurse! \rangle
    z ← Multiply(z, z) \langle Karatsuba \rangle
    if n is odd
        z ← Add(z, z)
    return z
\end{verbatim}

The running time of this algorithm satisfies the recurrence $T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + O(n^{\lg 3})$. We can safely ignore the floor in the recursive argument. The recursion tree for this algorithm is just a path; the work done at recursion depth $i$ is $O((n/2^i)^{\lg 3}) = O(n^{\lg 3}/3^i)$. Thus, the levels sums form a descending geometric series, which is dominated by the work at level 0, so the total running time is at most $O(n^{\lg 3})$. ■
3. Describe a divide-and-conquer algorithm to compute the decimal representation of an arbitrary \( n \)-bit binary number in \( O(n \lg^3 3) \) time.

[Hint: Let \( x = a \cdot 2^{n/2} + b \). Watch out for an extra log factor in the running time.]

**Solution:** Following the hint, we break the input \( x \) into two smaller numbers \( x = a \cdot 2^{n/2} + b \); recursively convert \( a \) and \( b \) into decimal; convert \( 2^{n/2} \) into decimal using the solution to problem 2; multiply \( a \) and \( 2^{n/2} \) using Karatsuba’s algorithm; and finally add the product to \( b \) to get the final result.

```plaintext
DECIMAL(x[0..n-1]):
    if n < 100
        use brute force
    m ← [n/2]
    a ← x[m..n-1]
    b ← x[0..m-1]
    return ADD(MULTIPLY(DECIMAL(a), TWO TO THE (m)), DECIMAL(b))
```

The running time of this algorithm satisfies the recurrence \( T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n \lg^3 3) \); the \( O(n \lg^3 3) \) term includes the running times of both MULTIPLY and TWO TO THE (as well as the final linear-time addition).

The recursion tree for this algorithm is a binary tree, with \( 2^i \) nodes at recursion depth \( i \). Each recursive call at depth \( i \) converts an \( n/2^i \)-bit binary number to decimal; the non-recursive work at the corresponding node of the recursion tree is \( O((n/2^i) \lg^3 3) = O(n \lg^3 3/2^i) \). Thus, the total work at depth \( i \) is \( 2^i \cdot O(n \lg^3 3/2^i) = O(n \lg^3 3/(3/2)^i) \). The level sums define a descending geometric series, which is dominated by its largest term \( O(n \lg^3 3) \).

Notice that if we had converted \( 2^{n/2} \) to decimal recursively instead of calling TWO TO THE, the recurrence would have been \( T(n) = 3T(n/2) + O(n \lg^3 3) \). Every level of this recursion tree has the same sum, so the overall running time would be \( O(n \lg^3 3 \log n) \). ■
Think about later:

*4. Suppose we can multiply two $n$-digit numbers in $O(M(n))$ time. Describe an algorithm to compute the decimal representation of an arbitrary $n$-bit binary number in $O(M(n) \log n)$ time.

Solution: We modify the solutions of problems 2 and 3 to use the faster multiplication algorithm instead of Karatsuba’s algorithm. Let $T_2(n)$ and $T_3(n)$ denote the running times of TwoToThe and Decimal, respectively. We need to solve the recurrences

$$T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(M(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + T_2(n) + O(M(n)).$$

But how can we do that when we don’t know $M(n)$?

For the moment, consider the special case where $M(n) = O(n^c)$ for some constant $c > 0$. Since any algorithm to multiply two $n$-digit numbers must read all $n$ digits, we have $M(n) = \Omega(n)$, and therefore $c \geq 1$. On the other hand, the grade-school lattice algorithm implies $M(n) = O(n^2)$, so we can safely assume $c \leq 2$. With this assumption, the recursion tree method implies

$$T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(n^c) \quad \implies \quad T_2(n) = O(n^c)$$

$$T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + O(n^c) \quad \implies \quad T_3(n) = \begin{cases} O(n \log n) & \text{if } c = 1, \\ O(n^c) & \text{if } c > 1. \end{cases}$$

So in this case, we have $T_3(n) = O(M(n) \log n)$ as required.

In general, $M(n)$ is not necessarily a simple polynomial; however, we can effectively ignore any sub-polynomial noise using the following trick. Suppose we can write $M(n) = n^c \cdot \mu(n)$ for some constant $c \geq 1$ and some arbitrary non-decreasing function $\mu(n)$.

To solve the recurrence $T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(M(n))$, we define a new function $\tilde{T}_2(n) = T_2(n)/\mu(n)$. Then we have

$$\tilde{T}_2(n) = \frac{T_2(n/2)}{\mu(n)} + \frac{O(M(n))}{\mu(n)} \leq \frac{T_2(n/2)}{\mu(n)} + \frac{O(M(n))}{\mu(n)} = \tilde{T}_2(n/2) + O(n^c).$$

Here we used the inequality $\mu(n) \geq \mu(n/2)$; this the only fact about $\mu$ that we actually need. The recursion tree method implies $\tilde{T}_2(n) \leq O(n^c)$, and therefore $T_2(n) \leq O(n^c) \cdot \mu(n) = O(M(n))$.

Similarly, to solve the recurrence $T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + O(M(n))$, we define $\tilde{T}_3(n) = T_3(n)/\mu(n)$, which gives us the recurrence $\tilde{T}_3(n) \leq 2\tilde{T}_3(n/2) + O(n^c)$. The recursion tree method implies

$$\tilde{T}_3(n) \leq \begin{cases} O(n \log n) & \text{if } c = 1, \\ O(n^c) & \text{if } c > 1. \end{cases}$$

In both cases, we have $\tilde{T}_3(n) = O(n^c \log n)$, which implies $T_3(n) = O(M(n) \log n)$. ■

---

*a A recent multiplication algorithm based on fast Fourier transforms runs in $O(n \log n \cdot 2^{O(\log^* n)})$ time, so we can safely assume that $c = 1$. But our solution doesn’t use that fact.