In lecture, Alex described an algorithm of Karatsuba that multiplies two $n$-digit integers using $O(n^{\lg 3})$ single-digit additions, subtractions, and multiplications. In this lab we'll look at some extensions and applications of this algorithm.

1. Describe an algorithm to compute the product of an $n$-digit number and an $m$-digit number, where $m < n$, in $O(m^{\lg 3 - 1} n)$ time.

**Solution:** Split the larger number into $\lceil n/m \rceil$ chunks, each with $m$ digits. Multiply the smaller number by each chunk in $O(m^{\lg 3})$ time using Karatsuba’s algorithm, and then add the resulting partial products with appropriate shifts.

```plaintext
SKewMultiply(x[0..m-1], y[0..n-1]):
  prod ← 0
  offset ← 0
  for i ← 0 to \lceil n/m \rceil - 1
    chunk ← y[i \cdot m .. (i + 1) \cdot m - 1]
    prod ← prod + Multiply(x, chunk) \cdot 10^{i \cdot m}
  return prod
```

Each call to *Multiply* requires $O(m^{\lg 3})$ time, and all other work within a single iteration of the main loop requires $O(m)$ time. Thus, the overall running time of the algorithm is $O(1) + \lceil n/m \rceil O(m^{\lg 3}) = O(m^{\lg 3 - 1} n)$ as required.

This is the standard method for multiplying a large integer by a single “digit” integer written in base $10^{m}$, but with each single-“digit” multiplication implemented using Karatsuba’s algorithm.
2. Describe an algorithm to compute the decimal representation of $2^n$ in $O(n^{\lg 3})$ time. (The standard algorithm that computes one digit at a time requires $\Theta(n^2)$ time.)

**Solution:** We compute $2^n$ via repeated squaring, implementing the following recurrence:

$$2^n = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\
(2^{n/2})^2 & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ is even} \\
2 \cdot (2^{n/2})^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}
\end{cases}$$

We use Karatsuba’s algorithm to implement decimal multiplication for each square.

```plaintext
TwoToThe(n):
  if n = 0
    return 1
  m ← ⌊n/2⌋
  z ← TwoToThe(m)  ⟨recurse!⟩
  z ← MULTIPLY(z, z)  ⟨Karatsuba⟩
  if n is odd
    z ← ADD(z, z)
  return z
```

The running time of this algorithm satisfies the recurrence $T(n) = T(⌊n/2⌋) + O(n^{\lg 3})$. We can safely ignore the floor in the recursive argument. The recursion tree for this algorithm is just a path; the work done at recursion depth $i$ is $O((n/2^i)^{\lg 3}) = O(n^{\lg 3}/3^i)$. Thus, the levels sums form a descending geometric series, which is dominated by the work at level 0, so the total running time is at most $O(n^{\lg 3})$. ■
3. Describe a divide-and-conquer algorithm to compute the decimal representation of an arbitrary \( n \)-bit binary number in \( O(n \log^3 n) \) time. [Hint: Let \( x = a \cdot 2^{n/2} + b \). Watch out for an extra log factor in the running time.]

Solution: Following the hint, we break the input \( x \) into two smaller numbers \( x = a \cdot 2^{n/2} + b \); recursively convert \( a \) and \( b \) into decimal; convert \( 2^{n/2} \) into decimal using the solution to problem 2; multiply \( a \) and \( 2^{n/2} \) using Karatsuba’s algorithm; and finally add the product to \( b \) to get the final result.

```plaintext
DECIMAL(x[0..n-1]):
  if n < 100
    use brute force
  m ← ⌈n/2⌉
  a ← x[m..n-1]
  b ← x[0..m-1]
  return ADD(MULTIPLY(DECIMAL(a), TwoToThe(m)), DECIMAL(b))
```

The running time of this algorithm satisfies the recurrence \( T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n \log^3 n) \); the \( O(n \log^3 n) \) term includes the running times of both MULTIPLY and TwoToThe (as well as the final linear-time addition).

The recursion tree for this algorithm is a binary tree, with \( 2^i \) nodes at recursion depth \( i \). Each recursive call at depth \( i \) converts an \( n/2^i \)-bit binary number to decimal; the non-recursive work at the corresponding node of the recursion tree is \( O((n/2^i) \log^3 n) = O(n \log^3 n/3^i) \). Thus, the total work at depth \( i \) is \( 2^i \cdot O(n \log^3 n/3^i) = O(n \log^3 n/(3/2)^i) \). The level sums define a descending geometric series, which is dominated by its largest term \( O(n \log^3 n) \).

Notice that if we had converted \( 2^{n/2} \) to decimal recursively instead of calling TwoToThe, the recurrence would have been \( T(n) = 3T(n/2) + O(n \log^3 n) \). Every level of this recursion tree has the same sum, so the overall running time would be \( O(n \log^3 n \log n) \).
Think about later:

*4. Suppose we can multiply two $n$-digit numbers in $O(M(n))$ time. Describe an algorithm to compute the decimal representation of an arbitrary $n$-bit binary number in $O(M(n) \log n)$ time.

**Solution:** We modify the solutions of problems 2 and 3 to use the faster multiplication algorithm instead of Karatsuba’s algorithm. Let $T_2(n)$ and $T_3(n)$ denote the running times of **TwoToThe** and **Decimal**, respectively. We need to solve the recurrences

$$T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(M(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + T_2(n) + O(M(n)).$$

But how can we do that when we don’t know $M(n)$?

For the moment, suppose $M(n) = O(n^c)$ for some constant $c > 0$. Since any algorithm to multiply two $n$-digit numbers must read all $n$ digits, we have $M(n) = \Omega(n)$, and therefore $c \geq 1$. On the other hand, the grade-school lattice algorithm implies $M(n) = O(n^2)$, so we can safely assume $c \leq 2$. With this assumption, the recursion tree method implies

$$T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(n^c) \quad \implies \quad T_2(n) = O(n^c)$$

and

$$T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + O(n^c) \quad \implies \quad T_3(n) = \begin{cases} O(n \log n) & \text{if } c = 1, \\ O(n^c) & \text{if } c > 1. \end{cases}$$

So in this case, we have $T_3(n) = O(M(n) \log n)$ as required.

In reality, $M(n)$ may not be a simple polynomial, but we can effectively ignore any sub-polynomial noise using the following trick. Suppose we can write $M(n) = n^c \cdot \mu(n)$ for some constant $c$ and some arbitrary non-decreasing function $\mu(n)$.\(^1\)

To solve the recurrence $T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(M(n))$, we define a new function $\tilde{T}_2(n) = T_2(n)/\mu(n)$. Then we have

$$\tilde{T}_2(n) = \frac{T_2(n/2)}{\mu(n)} + \frac{O(M(n))}{\mu(n)} \leq \frac{T_2(n/2)}{\mu(n/2)} + \frac{O(M(n))}{\mu(n)} = \tilde{T}_2(n/2) + O(n^c).$$

Here we used the inequality $\mu(n) \geq \mu(n/2)$; this the only fact about $\mu$ that we actually need. The recursion tree method implies $\tilde{T}_2(n) \leq O(n^c)$, and therefore $T_2(n) \leq O(n^c) \cdot \mu(n) = O(M(n))$.

Similarly, to solve the recurrence $T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + O(M(n))$, we define $\tilde{T}_3(n) = T_3(n)/\mu(n)$, which gives us the recurrence $\tilde{T}_3(n) \leq 2\tilde{T}_3(n/2) + O(n^c)$. The recursion tree method implies

$$\tilde{T}_3(n) \leq \begin{cases} O(n \log n) & \text{if } c = 1, \\ O(n^c) & \text{if } c > 1. \end{cases}$$

In both cases, we have $\tilde{T}_3(n) = O(n^c \log n)$, which implies that $T_3(n) = O(M(n) \log n)$. \(\blacksquare\)

\(^1\)A recent multiplication algorithm based on fast Fourier transforms runs in $O(n \log n \, 2^{O(\log^* n)})$ time, so we can safely assume that $c = 1$. But our solution doesn’t use that fact.