Algorithms & Models of Computation

CS/ECE 374, Fall 2020

22.2.5 Intractability

P versus NP

Proposition 22.6.

 $P \subseteq NP$.

For a problem in P no need for a certificate!

Proof.

Consider problem $X \in P$ with algorithm A. Need to demonstrate that X has an efficient certifier:

- ① Certifier C on input s, t, runs A(s) and returns the answer.
- C runs in polynomial time.
- If $s \in X$, then for every t, C(s, t) = "yes".
- If $s \not\in X$, then for every t, C(s, t) = "no".

P versus NP

Proposition 22.6.

 $P \subseteq NP$.

For a problem in P no need for a certificate!

Proof.

Consider problem $X \in \mathbf{P}$ with algorithm A. Need to demonstrate that X has an efficient certifier:

- Certifier C on input s, t, runs A(s) and returns the answer.
- **©** runs in polynomial time.
- 3 If $s \in X$, then for every t, C(s, t) = "yes".
- **4** If $s \not\in X$, then for every t, C(s, t) = "no".

Exponential Time

Definition 22.7.

Exponential Time (denoted **EXP**) is the collection of all problems that have an algorithm which on input s runs in exponential time, i.e., $O(2^{\text{poly}(|s|)})$.

Example: $O(2^n)$, $O(2^{n \log n})$, $O(2^{n^3})$, ...

Exponential Time

Definition 22.7.

Exponential Time (denoted **EXP**) is the collection of all problems that have an algorithm which on input s runs in exponential time, i.e., $O(2^{\text{poly}(|s|)})$.

Example: $O(2^n)$, $O(2^{n \log n})$, $O(2^{n^3})$, ...

NP versus EXP

Proposition 22.8.

 $NP \subseteq EXP$.

Proof.

Let $X \in \mathbb{NP}$ with certifier C. Need to design an exponential time algorithm for X.

- ① For every t, with $|t| \le p(|s|)$ run C(s,t); answer "yes" if any one of these calls returns "yes".
- 3 Algorithm runs in $O(q(|s| + |p(s)|)2^{p(|s|)})$, where q is the running time of C.

Examples

- **SAT**: try all possible truth assignment to variables.
- Independent Set: try all possible subsets of vertices.
- **Vertex Cover**: try all possible subsets of vertices.

Is **NP** efficiently solvable?

We know $P \subseteq NP \subseteq EXP$.

Is **NP** efficiently solvable?

We know $P \subseteq NP \subseteq EXP$.

Big Question

Is there are problem in NP that does not belong to P? Is P = NP?

- Many important optimization problems can be solved efficiently.
- 2 The RSA cryptosystem can be broken.
- No security on the web
- No e-commerce . . .
- © Creativity can be automated! Proofs for mathematical statement can be found by computers automatically (if short ones exist).

- Many important optimization problems can be solved efficiently.
- The RSA cryptosystem can be broken.
- No security on the web
- No e-commerce . . .
- Oreativity can be automated! Proofs for mathematical statement can be found by computers automatically (if short ones exist).

- Many important optimization problems can be solved efficiently.
- The RSA cryptosystem can be broken.
- No security on the web.
- No e-commerce . . .
- Oreativity can be automated! Proofs for mathematical statement can be found by computers automatically (if short ones exist).

- Many important optimization problems can be solved efficiently.
- The RSA cryptosystem can be broken.
- No security on the web.
- No e-commerce . . .
- Oreativity can be automated! Proofs for mathematical statement can be found by computers automatically (if short ones exist).

- Many important optimization problems can be solved efficiently.
- The RSA cryptosystem can be broken.
- No security on the web.
- No e-commerce . . .
- Oreativity can be automated! Proofs for mathematical statement can be found by computers automatically (if short ones exist).

P versus NP

Status

Relationship between **P** and **NP** remains one of the most important open problems in mathematics/computer science.

Consensus: Most people feel/believe $P \neq NP$.

Resolving P versus NP is a Clay Millennium Prize Problem. You can win a million dollars in addition to a Turing award and major fame!

Review question: If P = NP this implies that...

- (A) **Vertex Cover** can be solved in polynomial time.
- (B) P = EXP.
- (C) EXP \subseteq P.
- (D) All of the above.

THE END

...

(for now)