

21.6.2

Reducing SAT to 3SAT

SAT \leq_P 3SAT

How SAT is different from 3SAT?

In SAT clauses might have arbitrary length: 1, 2, 3, ... variables:

$$(x \vee y \vee z \vee w \vee u) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z \vee w \vee u) \wedge (\neg x)$$

In 3SAT every clause must have exactly 3 different literals.

To reduce from an instance of SAT to an instance of 3SAT, we must make all clauses to have exactly 3 variables...

Basic idea

- 1 Pad short clauses so they have 3 literals.
- 2 Break long clauses into shorter clauses.
- 3 Repeat the above till we have a 3CNF.

SAT \leq_P 3SAT

How SAT is different from 3SAT?

In SAT clauses might have arbitrary length: **1, 2, 3, ...** variables:

$$(x \vee y \vee z \vee w \vee u) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z \vee w \vee u) \wedge (\neg x)$$

In 3SAT every clause must have exactly 3 different literals.

To reduce from an instance of SAT to an instance of 3SAT, we must make all clauses to have exactly **3** variables...

Basic idea

- 1 Pad short clauses so they have **3** literals.
- 2 Break long clauses into shorter clauses.
- 3 Repeat the above till we have a **3CNF**.

$3SAT \leq_P SAT$

① $3SAT \leq_P SAT$.

② Because...

A $3SAT$ instance is also an instance of SAT .

SAT \leq_P 3SAT

Claim 21.3.

SAT \leq_P 3SAT.

Given φ a SAT formula we create a 3SAT formula φ' such that

- 1 φ is satisfiable $\iff \varphi'$ is satisfiable.
- 2 φ' can be constructed from φ in time polynomial in $|\varphi|$.

Idea: if a clause of φ is not of length 3, replace it with several clauses of length exactly 3.

SAT \leq_P 3SAT

Claim 21.3.

SAT \leq_P 3SAT.

Given φ a **SAT** formula we create a **3SAT** formula φ' such that

① φ is satisfiable $\iff \varphi'$ is satisfiable.

② φ' can be constructed from φ in time polynomial in $|\varphi|$.

Idea: if a clause of φ is not of length 3, replace it with several clauses of length exactly 3.

SAT \leq_P 3SAT

Claim 21.3.

SAT \leq_P 3SAT.

Given φ a **SAT** formula we create a **3SAT** formula φ' such that

- ① φ is satisfiable $\iff \varphi'$ is satisfiable.
- ② φ' can be constructed from φ in time polynomial in $|\varphi|$.

Idea: if a clause of φ is not of length **3**, replace it with several clauses of length exactly **3**.

SAT \leq_P 3SAT

A clause with two literals

Reduction Ideas: clause with 2 literals

- ① **Case clause with 2 literals:** Let $c = l_1 \vee l_2$. Let u be a new variable. Consider

$$c' = (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee u) \wedge (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee \neg u).$$

- ② Suppose $\varphi = \psi \wedge c$. Then $\varphi' = \psi \wedge c'$ is satisfiable $\iff \varphi$ is satisfiable.

SAT \leq_P 3SAT

A clause with a single literal

Reduction Ideas: clause with 1 literal

- ① **Case clause with one literal:** Let c be a clause with a single literal (i.e., $c = \ell$). Let u, v be new variables. Consider

$$c' = (\ell \vee u \vee v) \wedge (\ell \vee u \vee \neg v) \\ \wedge (\ell \vee \neg u \vee v) \wedge (\ell \vee \neg u \vee \neg v).$$

- ② Suppose $\varphi = \psi \wedge c$. Then $\varphi' = \psi \wedge c'$ is satisfiable $\iff \varphi$ is satisfiable.

SAT \leq_P 3SAT

A clause with more than 3 literals

Reduction Ideas: clause with more than 3 literals

- ① **Case clause with five literals:** Let $c = l_1 \vee l_2 \vee l_3 \vee l_4 \vee l_5$. Let u be a new variable. Consider

$$c' = (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee l_3 \vee u) \wedge (l_4 \vee l_5 \vee \neg u).$$

- ② Suppose $\varphi = \psi \wedge c$. Then $\varphi' = \psi \wedge c'$ is satisfiable $\iff \varphi$ is satisfiable.

SAT \leq_P 3SAT

A clause with more than 3 literals

Reduction Ideas: clause with more than 3 literals

- ① **Case clause with $k > 3$ literals:** Let $c = l_1 \vee l_2 \vee \dots \vee l_k$. Let u be a new variable. Consider

$\leftarrow (k)$

$$c' = (l_1 \vee l_2 \dots l_{k-2} \vee u) \wedge (l_{k-1} \vee l_k \vee \neg u).$$

- ② Suppose $\varphi = \psi \wedge c$. Then $\varphi' = \psi \wedge c'$ is satisfiable $\iff \varphi$ is satisfiable.

Breaking a clause

Lemma 21.4.

For any boolean formulas X and Y and z a new boolean variable. Then

$X \vee Y$ is satisfiable

if and only if, z can be assigned a value such that

$(X \vee z) \wedge (Y \vee \neg z)$ is satisfiable

(with the same assignment to the variables appearing in X and Y).

SAT \leq_P 3SAT (contd)

Clauses with more than 3 literals

Let $c = l_1 \vee \dots \vee l_k$. Let u_1, \dots, u_{k-3} be new variables. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}c' = & (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee u_1) \wedge (l_3 \vee \neg u_1 \vee u_2) \\ & \wedge (l_4 \vee \neg u_2 \vee u_3) \wedge \\ & \dots \wedge (l_{k-2} \vee \neg u_{k-4} \vee u_{k-3}) \wedge (l_{k-1} \vee l_k \vee \neg u_{k-3}).\end{aligned}$$

Claim 21.5.

$\varphi = \psi \wedge c$ is satisfiable $\iff \varphi' = \psi \wedge c'$ is satisfiable.

Another way to see it — reduce size of clause by one:

$$c' = (l_1 \vee l_2 \dots \vee l_{k-2} \vee u_{k-3}) \wedge (l_{k-1} \vee l_k \vee \neg u_{k-3}).$$

An Example

Example 21.6.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi = & \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee x_4 \vee x_1 \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \right).\end{aligned}$$

Equivalent form:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = & \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \vee z \right) \wedge \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \vee \neg z \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee y_1 \right) \wedge \left(x_4 \vee x_1 \vee \neg y_1 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee u \vee v \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee u \vee \neg v \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg u \vee v \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg u \vee \neg v \right).\end{aligned}$$

An Example

Example 21.6.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi = & \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee x_4 \vee x_1 \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \right).\end{aligned}$$

Equivalent form:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = & \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \vee z \right) \wedge \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \vee \neg z \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee y_1 \right) \wedge \left(x_4 \vee x_1 \vee \neg y_1 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee u \vee v \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee u \vee \neg v \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg u \vee v \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg u \vee \neg v \right).\end{aligned}$$

An Example

Example 21.6.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi = & \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee x_4 \vee x_1 \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \right).\end{aligned}$$

Equivalent form:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = & \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \vee z \right) \wedge \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \vee \neg z \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee y_1 \right) \wedge \left(x_4 \vee x_1 \vee \neg y_1 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee u \vee v \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee u \vee \neg v \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg u \vee v \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg u \vee \neg v \right).\end{aligned}$$

An Example

Example 21.6.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi = & \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee x_4 \vee x_1 \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \right).\end{aligned}$$

Equivalent form:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = & \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \vee z \right) \wedge \left(\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_4 \vee \neg z \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee y_1 \right) \wedge \left(x_4 \vee x_1 \vee \neg y_1 \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee u \vee v \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee u \vee \neg v \right) \\ & \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg u \vee v \right) \wedge \left(x_1 \vee \neg u \vee \neg v \right).\end{aligned}$$

Overall Reduction Algorithm

Reduction from SAT to 3SAT

```
ReduceSATto3SAT( $\varphi$ ):
```

```
  //  $\varphi$ : CNF formula.
```

```
  for each clause  $c$  of  $\varphi$  do
```

```
    if  $c$  does not have exactly 3 literals then
```

```
      construct  $c'$  as before
```

```
    else
```

```
       $c' = c$ 
```

```
   $\psi$  is conjunction of all  $c'$  constructed in loop
```

```
  return Solver3SAT( $\psi$ )
```

Correctness (informal)

φ is satisfiable \iff ψ is satisfiable because for each clause c , the new 3CNF formula c' is logically equivalent to c .

THE END

...

(for now)