CS/ECE 374 ♦ Spring 2017 Momework 5 ♠

Due Wednesday, March 8, 2017 at 10am

Groups of up to three people can submit joint solutions. Each problem should be submitted by exactly one person, and the beginning of the homework should clearly state the Gradescope names and email addresses of each group member. In addition, whoever submits the homework must tell Gradescope who their other group members are.

The following unnumbered problems are not for submission or grading. No solutions for them will be provided but you can discuss them on Piazza.

- Given an array of n unsorted integers A and k ranks $i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_k$ describe an algorithm that outputs the elements in A with these given k ranks. Your algorithm should run in $O(n \log k)$ time. One can easily do this via sorting in $O(n \log n)$ time. There is also an O(nk) time algorithm (how?).
- Problems in Jeff's notes on dynamic programming. In particular, Probs 1, 2, 3, 5, 6.
- Problems in Dasgupta etal book Chapter 6. In particular Probs 1, 2
- Problems in Kleinberg-Tardos book Chapter 6. Problems 1, 2, 7.
- 1. Let $w \in \Sigma^*$ be a string. We say that u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_h where each $u_i \in \Sigma^*$ is a valid split of w iff $w = u_1 u_2 \ldots u_h$ (the concatenation of u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_h). Given a valid split u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_h of w we define its ℓ_3 measure as $\sum_{i=1}^h |u_i|^3$.
 - Given a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ a string $w \in L^*$ iff there is a valid split u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_h of w such that each $u_i \in L$; we call such a split an L-valid split of w. Assume you have access to a subroutine IsStringInL(x) which outputs whether the input string x is in L or not. To evaluate the running time of your solution you can assume that each call to IsStringInL() takes constant time.
 - Describe an efficient algorithm that given a string w and access to a language L via IsStringInL(x) outputs an L-valid split of w with minimum ℓ_3 measure if one exists.
- 2. Recall that a *palindrome* is any string that is exactly the same as its reversal, like I, or DEED, or RACECAR, or AMANAPLANACATACANALPANAMA.

Any string can be decomposed into a sequence of palindrome substrings. For example, the string BUBBASEESABANANA ("Bubba sees a banana.") can be broken into palindromes in the following ways (among many others):

```
BUB • BASEESAB • ANANA
B • U • BB • A • SEES • ABA • NAN • A
B • U • BB • A • SEES • A • B • ANANA
B • U • B • A • S • E • E • S • A • B • ANA • N • A
```

Describe and analyze an efficient algorithm that given a string w and an integer k decides whether w can be split into palindromes each of which is of length at least k. For example, given the input string BUBBASEESABANANA and 3 your algorithm would answer yes because one can find a split BUB • BASEESAB • ANANA. The answer should be no if we set k = 4. Note that the answer is always yes for k = 1.

3. The McKing chain wants to open several restaurants along Red street in Shampoo-Banana. The possible locations are at L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n where L_i is at distance m_i meters from the start of Red street. Assume that the street is a straight line and the locations are in increasing order of distance from the starting point (thus $0 \le m_1 < m_2 < \ldots < m_n$). McKing has collected some data indicating that opening a restaurant at location L_i will yield a profit of p_i independent of where the other restaurants are located. However, the city of Shampoo-Banana has a zoning law which requires that any two McKing locations should be D or more meters apart. Describe an algorithm that McKing can use to figure out the maximum profit it can obtain by opening restaurants while satisfying the city's zoning law.

Solved Problem

4. A *shuffle* of two strings *X* and *Y* is formed by interspersing the characters into a new string, keeping the characters of *X* and *Y* in the same order. For example, the string BANANANAS is a shuffle of the strings BANANA and ANANAS in several different ways.

BANANAANANAS BANANAANANAS BANANAANANAS

Similarly, the strings PRODGYRNAMAMMIINCG and DYPRONGARMAMMICING are both shuffles of DYNAMIC and PROGRAMMING:

PRODGYRNAMAMMIINCG DYPRONGARMAMMICING

Given three strings A[1..m], B[1..n], and C[1..m+n], describe and analyze an algorithm to determine whether C is a shuffle of A and B.

Solution: We define a boolean function Shuf(i, j), which is TRUE if and only if the prefix C[1..i+j] is a shuffle of the prefixes A[1..i] and B[1..j]. This function satisfies the following recurrence:

$$Shuf(i,j) = \begin{cases} \text{True} & \text{if } i=j=0\\ Shuf(0,j-1) \wedge (B[j]=C[j]) & \text{if } i=0 \text{ and } j>0\\ Shuf(i-1,0) \wedge (A[i]=C[i]) & \text{if } i>0 \text{ and } j=0\\ \left(Shuf(i-1,j) \wedge (A[i]=C[i+j])\right) & \text{if } i>0 \text{ and } j>0\\ \vee \left(Shuf(i,j-1) \wedge (B[j]=C[i+j])\right) & \text{if } i>0 \text{ and } j>0 \end{cases}$$

We need to compute Shuf(m, n).

We can memoize all function values into a two-dimensional array Shuf[0..m][0..m]. Each array entry Shuf[i,j] depends only on the entries immediately below and immediately to the right: Shuf[i-1,j] and Shuf[i,j-1]. Thus, we can fill the array in standard row-major order. The original recurrence gives us the following pseudocode:

The algorithm runs in O(mn) time.

Rubric: Max 10 points: Standard dynamic programming rubric. No proofs required. Max 7 points for a slower polynomial-time algorithm; scale partial credit accordingly.

Standard dynamic programming rubric. For problems worth 10 poins:

- 6 points for a correct recurrence, described either using mathematical notation or as pseudocode for a recursive algorithm.
 - + 1 point for a clear **English** description of the function you are trying to evaluate. (Otherwise, we don't even know what you're *trying* to do.) **Automatic zero if the English description is missing.**
 - + 1 point for stating how to call your function to get the final answer.
 - + 1 point for base case(s). $-\frac{1}{2}$ for one *minor* bug, like a typo or an off-by-one error.
 - + 3 points for recursive case(s). —1 for each *minor* bug, like a typo or an off-by-one error. No credit for the rest of the problem if the recursive case(s) are incorrect.
- 4 points for details of the dynamic programming algorithm
 - + 1 point for describing the memoization data structure
 - + 2 points for describing a correct evaluation order; a clear picture is usually sufficient. If you use nested loops, be sure to specify the nesting order.
 - + 1 point for time analysis
- It is *not* necessary to state a space bound.
- For problems that ask for an algorithm that computes an optimal structure—such
 as a subset, partition, subsequence, or tree—an algorithm that computes only
 the value or cost of the optimal structure is sufficient for full credit, unless the
 problem says otherwise.
- Official solutions usually include pseudocode for the final iterative dynamic programming algorithm, but iterative psuedocode is not required for full credit. If your solution includes iterative pseudocode, you do not need to separately describe the recurrence, memoization structure, or evaluation order. (But you still need to describe the underlying recursive function in English.)
- Official solutions will provide target time bounds. Algorithms that are faster than
 this target are worth more points; slower algorithms are worth fewer points,
 typically by 2 or 3 points (out of 10) for each factor of n. Partial credit is scaled
 to the new maximum score, and all points above 10 are recorded as extra credit.

We rarely include these target time bounds in the actual questions, because when we have included them, significantly more students turned in algorithms that meet the target time bound but didn't work (earning 0/10) instead of correct algorithms that are slower than the target time bound (earning 8/10).