# CS 373: Theory of Computation

Gul Agha Mahesh Viswanathan

Fall 2010

# **1** Regular operations

## Union of CFLs

Let  $L_1$  be language recognized by  $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma_1, R_1, S_1)$  and  $L_2$  the language recognized by  $G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma_2, R_2, S_2)$ Is  $L_1 + L_2$  a context free language? Ves

Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context free language? Yes. Just add the rule  $S \to S_1 | S_2$ But make sure that  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  (by renaming some variables).

## Closure of CFLs under Union

 $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  such that  $L(G) = L(G_1) \cup L(G_2)$ :

- $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{S\}$  (the three sets are disjoint)
- $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$
- $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 | S_2\}$

## **Concatenation**, Kleene Closure

Proposition 1. CFLs are closed under concatenation and Kleene closure

*Proof.* Let  $L_1$  be language generated by  $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma_1, R_1, S_1)$  and  $L_2$  the language generated by  $G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma_2, R_2, S_2)$ 

- Concatenation:  $L_1L_2$  generated by a grammar with an additional rule  $S \to S_1S_2$
- Kleene Closure:  $L_1^*$  generated by a grammar with an additional rule  $S \to S_1 S | \epsilon$

As before, ensure that  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ . S is a new start symbol. (Exercise: Complete the Proof!)

## Intersection

Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be context free languages.  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is not necessarily context free!

Proposition 2. CFLs are not closed under intersection

Proof. •  $L_1 = \{a^i b^i c^j \mid i, j \ge 0\}$  is a CFL

- Generated by a grammar with rules  $S \to XY$ ;  $X \to aXb|\epsilon$ ;  $Y \to cY|\epsilon$ .
- $L_2 = \{a^i b^j c^j \mid i, j \ge 0\}$  is a CFL.
  - Generated by a grammar with rules  $S \to XY$ ;  $X \to aX | \epsilon$ ;  $Y \to bYc | \epsilon$ .
- But  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0\}$  is not a CFL.

## Intersection with Regular Languages

**Proposition 3.** If L is a CFL and R is a regular language then  $L \cap R$  is a CFL.

*Proof.* Let P be the PDA that accepts L, and let M be the DFA that accepts R. A new PDA P' will simulate P and M simultaneously on the same input and accept if both accept. Then P' accepts  $L \cap R$ .

- The stack of P' is the stack of P
- The state of P' at any time is the pair (state of P, state of M)
- These determine the transition function of P'
- The final states of P' are those in which both the state of P and state of M are accepting.

More formally, let  $M = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$  be a DFA such that L(M) = R, and  $P = (Q_2, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ be a PDA such that L(P) = L. Then consider  $P' = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  such that

- $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$
- $q_0 = (q_1, q_2)$
- $F = F_1 \times F_2$
- $\delta((p,q), x, a) = \{((p',q'), b) \mid p' = \delta_1(p,x) \text{ and } (q',b) \in \delta_2(q,x,a)\}.$

One can show by induction on the number of computation steps, that for any  $w \in \Sigma^*$ 

$$\langle q_0, \epsilon \rangle \xrightarrow{w}_{P'} \langle (p,q), \sigma \rangle$$
 iff  $q_1 \xrightarrow{w}_M p$  and  $\langle q_2, \epsilon \rangle \xrightarrow{w}_P \langle q, \sigma \rangle$ 

The proof of this statement is left as an exercise. Now as a consequence, we have  $w \in L(P')$ iff  $\langle q_0, \epsilon \rangle \xrightarrow{w}_{P'} \langle (p,q), \sigma \rangle$  such that  $(p,q) \in F$  (by definition of PDA acceptance) iff  $\langle q_0, \epsilon \rangle \xrightarrow{w}_{P'} \langle (p,q), \sigma \rangle$  such that  $p \in F_1$  and  $q \in F_2$  (by definition of F) iff  $q_1 \xrightarrow{w}_M p$  and  $\langle q_2, \epsilon \rangle \xrightarrow{w}_P \langle q, \sigma \rangle$  and  $p \in F_1$  and  $q \in F_2$  (by the statement to be proved as exercise) iff  $w \in L(M)$  and  $w \in L(P)$  (by definition of DFA acceptance and PDA acceptance).

Why does this construction not work for intersection of two CFLs?

#### Complementation

Let L be a context free language. Is  $\overline{L}$  context free? No!

*Proof 1.* Suppose CFLs were closed under complementation. Then for any two CFLs  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , we have

- $\overline{L_1}$  and  $\overline{L_2}$  are CFL. Then, since CFLs closed under union,  $\overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2}$  is CFL. Then, again by hypothesis,  $\overline{\overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2}}$  is CFL.
- i.e.,  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a CFL

i.e., CFLs are closed under intersection. Contradiction!

*Proof 2.*  $L = \{x \mid x \text{ not of the form } ww\}$  is a CFL.

• L generated by a grammar with rules  $X \to a|b, A \to a|XAX, B \to b|XBX, S \to A|B|AB|BA$ 

But  $\overline{L} = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$  is not a CFL! (Why?)

## Set Difference

**Proposition 4.** If  $L_1$  is a CFL and  $L_2$  is a CFL then  $L_1 \setminus L_2$  is not necessarily a CFL

*Proof.* Because CFLs not closed under complementation, and complementation is a special case of set difference. (How?)

**Proposition 5.** If L is a CFL and R is a regular language then  $L \setminus R$  is a CFL

Proof.  $L \setminus R = L \cap \overline{R}$ 

## 2 Homomorphism and Inverse Homomorphism

## Homomorphism

**Proposition 6.** Context free languages are closed under homomorphisms.

*Proof.* Let  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  be the grammar generating L, and let  $h : \Sigma^* \to \Gamma^*$  be a homomorphism. A grammar  $G' = (V', \Gamma, R', S')$  for generating h(L):

- Include all variables from G (i.e.,  $V' \supseteq V$ ), and let S' = S
- Treat terminals in G as variables. i.e., for every  $a \in \Sigma$ 
  - Add a new variable  $X_a$  to V'
  - In each rule of G, if a appears in the RHS, replace it by  $X_a$
- For each  $X_a$ , add the rule  $X_a \to h(a)$

G' generates h(L). (Exercise!)

Homomorphism

*Example* 7. Let G have the rules  $S \to 0S0|1S1|\epsilon$ .

Consider the homorphism  $h : \{0, 1\}^* \to \{a, b\}^*$  given by h(0) = aba and h(1) = bb. Rules of G' s.t. L(G') = h(L(G)):

$$S \rightarrow X_0 S X_0 | X_1 S X_1 | \epsilon$$
  
$$X_0 \rightarrow aba$$
  
$$X_1 \rightarrow bb$$

## **Inverse Homomorphisms**

*Recall:* For a homomorphism  $h, h^{-1}(L) = \{w \mid h(w) \in L\}$ 

**Proposition 8.** If L is a CFL then  $h^{-1}(L)$  is a CFL

#### **Proof Idea**

For regular language L: the DFA for  $h^{-1}(L)$  on reading a symbol a, simulated the DFA for L on h(a). Can we do the same with PDAs?

- Key idea: store h(a) in a "buffer" and process symbols from h(a) one at a time (according to the transition function of the original PDA), and the next input symbol is processed only after the "buffer" has been emptied.
- Where to store this "buffer"? In the state of the new PDA!

Proof. Let  $P = (Q, \Delta, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  be a PDA such that L(P) = L. Let  $h : \Sigma^* \to \Delta^*$  be a homomorphism such that  $n = \max_{a \in \Sigma} |h(a)|$ , i.e., every symbol of  $\Sigma$  is mapped to a string under h of length at most n. Consider the PDA  $P' = (Q', \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta', q'_0, F')$  where

- $Q' = Q \times \Delta^{\leq n}$ , where  $\Delta^{\leq n}$  is the collection of all strings of length at most n over  $\Delta$ .
- $q'_0 = (q_0, \epsilon)$
- $F' = F \times \{\epsilon\}$
- $\delta'$  is given by

$$\delta'((q,v),x,a) = \begin{cases} \{((q,h(x)),\epsilon)\} & \text{if } v = a = \epsilon \\ \{((p,u),b) \mid (p,b) \in \delta(q,y,a)\} & \text{if } v = yu, x = \epsilon, \text{ and } y \in \Delta \end{cases}$$

and  $\delta'(\cdot) = \emptyset$  in all other cases.

We can show by induction that for every  $w \in \Sigma^*$ 

$$\langle q'_0, \epsilon \rangle \xrightarrow{w}_{P'} \langle (q, v), \sigma \rangle \text{ iff } \langle q_0, \epsilon \rangle \xrightarrow{w'}_{P} \langle q, \sigma \rangle$$

where h(w) = w'v. Again this induction proof is left as an exercise. Now,  $w \in L(P')$  iff  $\langle q'_0, \epsilon \rangle \xrightarrow{w}_{P'} \langle (q, \epsilon), \sigma \rangle$  where  $q \in F$  (by definition of PDA acceptance and F') iff  $\langle q_0, \epsilon \rangle \xrightarrow{h(w)}_{P} \langle q, \sigma \rangle$  (by exercise) iff  $h(w) \in L(P)$  (by definition of PDA acceptance). Thus,  $L(P') = h^{-1}(L(P)) = h^{-1}(L)$ .