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## Newton's Method in higher dimensions

Given $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ then we can consider $f$ as a vector of $m$ functions.

$$
\mathbf{f}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
\vdots \\
f_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $f_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We can write the Taylor Series for each $f_{i}$ as follows.

$$
f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}\right)=f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)+\left[\nabla f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)\right]^{T} *\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)+\ldots
$$

Combining these in a columnar vector gives,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
f_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
\vdots \\
f_{m}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right) \\
f_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right) \\
\vdots \\
f_{m}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)
\end{array}\right]+\mathbf{J} *\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)+\ldots
$$

## Newton's Method in higher dimensions

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
f_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}\right) \\
\vdots \\
f_{m}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right) \\
f_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right) \\
\vdots \\
f_{m}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)
\end{array}\right]+\mathbf{J} *\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)+\ldots
$$

The matrix $\mathbf{J}$ is called the Jacobian,

$$
\mathbf{J}_{i j}=\frac{\partial f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} .
$$

In the case with one dimension, to obtain Newton's method we ignored higher order terms and set $f\left(x_{k+1}\right)=0$ and then solved for $x_{k+1}$. We do the same for higher dimensions to obtain the formula,

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}-\mathbf{J}^{-1} * \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)
$$

Although, we will later see why we should (and can) avoid computing the inverse of the Jacobian and instead solve the system of equations,

$$
\mathbf{J} *\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)=-\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)
$$

## Newton's Method Example 1

Using the formula,

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}-\mathbf{J}^{-1} * \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)
$$

find a root for the system of equations defined by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(\mathbf{x})=x_{1}+2 x_{2}-2=0 \\
& f_{2}(\mathbf{x})=x_{1}^{2}+4 x_{2}^{2}-4=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(The solution of this system is $[0,1]^{T}$.) The Jacobian is given by,

$$
\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
2 x_{1} & 8 x_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If we choose $[1,1]^{T}$ as a starting guess then we generate the following values for each iteration as shown on the next slide.

## Newton's Method Example 1

```
I import numpy as np
2 from scipy import optimize
3 import numpy.linalg
4
5 def newton](f,x,tol):
k k = 1
    y = np.array([10.,10.])
    print(' k x_k[0] x k[1] ')
    while numpy.linalg.norm(y) > tol:
        y=f(x)
        delta_x = numpy.linalg. solve(J(x),-y)
        delta_x = delta_x.reshape(2,)
        x = x + delta_x
        print('%5d s2\overline{2.20f %22.20f' % ( }k,\times[0],x[1]))
        k = k + I
def J(x):
    y = np.array([[1.,2.],[2.*x[0],8.*x[1]]])
    return y
2l def f(x):
    y = np.array([[1,*x[0]+2.*x[1]],[x[0]**2+4.*x[1]**2]])-np.array([[2.],[4.]])
    return y
24
25
26if name == " main ":
27 newtonJ(f, np.array([1.,l.]), l.e-8)
```

```
k x_k[0] x_k[1]
```

k x_k[0] x_k[1]
1-0.50000000000000000000 1.25000000000000000000
1-0.50000000000000000000 1.25000000000000000000
2-0.08333333333333331483 1.04166666666666674068
2-0.08333333333333331483 1.04166666666666674068
3-0.00320512820512797170 1.00160256410256409687
3-0.00320512820512797170 1.00160256410256409687
4-0.00000512001310694994 1.00000256000655363131
4-0.00000512001310694994 1.00000256000655363131
5-0.00000000001310730548 1.00000000000655364651
5-0.00000000001310730548 1.00000000000655364651
6-0.00000000000000001246_1.00000000000000000000

```
6-0.00000000000000001246_1.00000000000000000000
```


## Newton's Method Example 2

Using the formula,

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}-\mathbf{J}^{-1} * \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)
$$

find a root for the system of equations defined by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(\mathbf{x})=x_{1}+2 x_{2}-2=0 \\
& f_{2}(\mathbf{x})=-2 x_{1}+x_{2}-4=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(The solution of this system is $[-1.2,1.6]^{T}$.) The Jacobian is given by,

$$
\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Why?
If we choose $[1,1]^{T}$ as a starting guess then we generate the following values for each iteration shown on the next slide.

## Newton's Method Example 2

```
l import numpy as np
2 from scipy import optimize
3 import numpy.linalg
4
5 def newtonJ(f,x,tol):
6 k = 1
    y = np.array([10.,10.])
    print(' k x_k[0] x_k[l] ')
    while numpy.linalg.norm(y) > tol:
                    y = f2(x)
            delta_x = numpy.linalg.solve(J(x), - y)
            x = x + delta x
            print('%5d %22.20f %22.20f' % (k,x[0],x[1]))
            k = k + 1
15
16 def J(x)
17 y = np.array([[1.,2.],[-2,1.]])
18 return y
19
20 def f2(x):
21 y = np.dot(np.array([[1.,2.],[-2., 1.]]),x)-np.array([[2.],[4.]])
22 return y
23
24
25 if name == " main ":
26 newtonJ(f2, \overline{np.array([[1.],[1.]]), 1.e-8)}
```

```
k x_k[0] x-k[1]
```

k x_k[0] x-k[1]
1 -1.20000000000000017764 1.60000000000000008882
1 -1.20000000000000017764 1.60000000000000008882
2 -1.20000000000000017764_1.60000000000000008882

```
2 -1.20000000000000017764_1.60000000000000008882
```


## Fractals: What?

## Definition

Fractal A mathematical pattern (geometric object) that is reproducible at any level of magnification or reduction.

## Definition

Fractal A term used by Benoit Mandelbrot to refer to geometric objects with fractional dimensions rather than integer dimensions. Also used "fractal" to refer to shapes that are self-similar: they look the same at any zoom level.

## Fractals: Application

Scientifically used to describe highly irregular objects

- fractal image compression
- Seismology
- Cosmology
- life sciences:
- clouds and fluid turbulence
- trees
- coastlines

More interesting observations:

- New music/New art
- Video games/graphics
- Chaos theory
- the Butterfly effect: small changes produces large effects


## Fractals: Air Pressure

Air channels between two glued pieces of acrylic
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## Fractals: high voltage dielectric breakdown

## Lichtenberg: Branching discharges decrease to hairlike then to molecular



## Fractals: Microwaving a CD

Heat vaporizes the aluminum leaving fractal metallic islands


## Fractals: Romanesco Broccoli

growth follows fractal pattern


## Fractals: Trees

## structure follows fractal pattern


$\mathbb{I}$

## Fractals: Jupiter

Atmosphere modeled with fractals


## Fractals: Caves

## Stalactite/Stalagmite formation



## Fractals: Canyons

## Erosion patter



## Fractals: Clouds

## visualization



## Fractals: Ferns

## growth
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## Fractals: Big Trees

 growth
$\square$

## Fractals: leaves

## structure



## Fractals: lightning

formation


## Fractals: cauliflower



## Fractals: mountain

## formation
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## Fractals: mountain

## visualization



## Fractals: Norwegian rivers

## structure



## Fractals: waterfalls

## pattern

## Fractals: coastlines

## structure



## Fractals: Math

Recall Complex Numbers: $z \in \mathbb{C}$ means

$$
z=x+i y
$$

where $i=\sqrt{-1}$

Things to notice:

- still think of the $x-y$ plane, but now it's in $\mathbb{C}^{1}$ instead of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$
- $f(z)=z^{2}+1$ has two roots: $z_{1,2}= \pm i$
- $f(z)=z^{3}+1$ has three roots: $z_{1}=-1, z_{2,3}=\frac{-1 \pm i \sqrt{3}}{2}$
- $f(z)=z^{4}+1$ has four roots: $z_{1,2}=\frac{ \pm \sqrt{2}+i \sqrt{2}}{2}, z_{3,4}=\frac{ \pm \sqrt{2}-i \sqrt{2}}{2}$


## Fractals: Newton's Algorithm

The big idea:

- Take a complex function like $f(z)=z^{3}+1$
- Pick a bunch of initial guesses $z_{1}$ as the roots
- Run Newton's Method
- The initial guesses $z_{1}$ will each converge to one of $n=3$ roots
- Color each guess in the plane depending on the root to which it converged


## Secant Method



Given two guesses $x_{k-1}$ and $x_{k}$, the next guess at the root is where the line through $f\left(x_{k-1}\right)$ and $f\left(x_{k}\right)$ crosses the $x$ axis.

## Secant Method

Given

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{k} & =\text { current guess at the root } \\
x_{k-1} & =\text { previous guess at the root }
\end{aligned}
$$

Approximate the first derivative with

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \approx \frac{f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k-1}\right)}{x_{k}-x_{k-1}}
$$

Substitute approximate $f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)$ into formula for Newton's method

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\frac{f\left(x_{k}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}
$$

to get

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-f\left(x_{k}\right)\left[\frac{x_{k}-x_{k-1}}{f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k-1}\right)}\right]
$$

## Secant Method

Two versions of this formula are (equivalent in exact math)

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-f\left(x_{k}\right)\left[\frac{x_{k}-x_{k-1}}{f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k-1}\right)}\right]
$$

and

$$
x_{k+1}=\frac{f\left(x_{k}\right) x_{k-1}-f\left(x_{k-1}\right) x_{k}}{f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k-1}\right)}
$$

Equation $(\star)$ is better since it is of the form $x_{k+1}=x_{k}-f\left(x_{k}\right) \Delta$. Even if $\Delta$ is inaccurate the change in the estimate of the root will be small at convergence because $f\left(x_{k}\right)$ will also be small.
Equation ( $\star \star$ ) is susceptible to catastrophic cancellation:

- $f\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow f\left(x_{k-1}\right)$ as convergence approaches, so cancellation error in denominator can be large.
- $|f(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as convergence approaches, so underflow is possible


## Secant Algorithm

```
initialize: }\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}=\ldots,\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}=
for k=2,3\ldots
    x}\mp@subsup{x}{k+1}{}=\mp@subsup{x}{k}{}-f(\mp@subsup{x}{k}{})(\mp@subsup{x}{k}{}-\mp@subsup{x}{k-1}{})/(f(\mp@subsup{x}{k}{})-f(\mp@subsup{x}{k-1}{})
    if converged, stop
end
```

```
l import numpy as np
2 from scipy import optimize
3
4 def secant(f,xprev, x,tol):
5 k = 1
6 print(' k x_k-1 f_k f(x_k)')
7 print('%5d %22.20f %22.20f %11.8g' % (k,xprev,x,f(x)))
8 k = k + 1
9 while np.abs( f(x) ) > tol:
            xnew = x - f(x)*(x - xprev)/(f(x)-f(xprev))
            print('%5d %22.20f %22.20f %l1.8g' % (k,x,xnew,f(xnew)))
            k = k + 1
                    xprev = x
                    x = xnew
15
16
17 def f(x):
18 return x - x**(1./3.) - 2.
19
20
21 if 
```


## Secant Example

Solve:

$$
x-x^{1 / 3}-2=0
$$

Python produces the root 3.521379706804568 .

| k | $\mathrm{x} k-1$ | $\mathrm{x} k$ | k |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.00000000000000000000 | 3.00000000000000000000 | -0.442249597 |
| 2 | 3.00000000000000000000 | 3.51734261780859869262 | -0.003455471 |
| 3 | 3.51734261780859869262 | 3.52141665251300262085 | $3.1625043 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 4 | 3.52141655251300262085 | 3.52137970442752612499 | $-2.0347151 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 5 | 3.52137970442752612499 | 3.521379768045662324 | $-1.3322676 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 6 | 3.52137970680456602324 | 3.52137970680456779959 | 0 |

## Conclusions:

- Converges almost as quickly as Newton's method ( $r=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.62$ ).
- There is no need to compute $f^{\prime}(x)$.
- The algorithm is simple.
- Two initial guesses are necessary
- Iterations are not guaranteed to stay inside an ordinal bracket.


## Divergence of Secant Method



Since

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-f\left(x_{k}\right)\left[\frac{x_{k}-x_{k-1}}{f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k-1}\right)}\right]
$$

the new guess, $x_{k+1}$, will be far from the old guess whenever $f\left(x_{k}\right) \approx f\left(x_{k-1}\right)$ and $|f(x)|$ is not small.

## Summary

- Plot $f(x)$ before searching for roots
- Bracketing finds coarse interval containing roots and singularities
- Bisection is robust, but converges slowly
- Newton's Method
- Requires $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$.
- Iterates are not confined to initial bracket.
- Converges rapidly $(r=2)$.
- Diverges if $f^{\prime}(x) \approx 0$ is encountered.
- Secant Method
- Uses $f(x)$ values to approximate $f^{\prime}(x)$.
- Iterates are not confined to initial bracket.
- Converges almost as rapidly as Newton's method ( $r \approx 1.62$ ).
- Diverges if $f^{\prime}(x) \approx 0$ is encountered.


## fzero Function

fzero is a hybrid method that combines bisection, secant and reverse quadratic interpolation

```
1 r = fzero('fun',x0)
2 r = fzero('fun',x0,options)
з r = fzero('fun',x0,options,arg1,rg2,...)
```

x0 can be a scalar or a two element vector

- If $x 0$ is a scalar, fzero tries to create its own bracket.
- If $x 0$ is a two element vector, fzero uses the vector as a bracket.


## Reverse Quadratic Interpolation

Find the point where the $x$ axis intersects the sideways parabola passing through three pairs of $(x, f(x))$ values.


## fzero Function

fzero chooses next root as

- Result of reverse quadratic interpolation (RQI) if that result is inside the current bracket.
- Result of secant step if RQI fails, and if the result of secant method is in inside the current bracket.
- Result of bisection step if both RQI and secant method fail to produce guesses inside the current bracket.


## fzero Function

Optional parameters to control fzero are specified with the optimset function.
Tell fzero to display the results of each step:

```
1 >> options = optimset('Display','iter');
```

$2 \gg x$ = fzero('myFun',x0,options)

Tell fzero to use a relative tolerance of $5 \times 10^{-9}$ :
1 >> options = optimset('TolX',5e-9);
$2 \gg x=$ fzero('myFun', xQ,options)

Tell fzero to suppress all printed output, and use a relative tolerance of $5 \times 10^{-4}$ :
$1 \gg$ options $=$ optimset('Display','off','TolX', 5e-4);
$2 \gg x=$ fzero('myFun', $x \theta$,options)

## fzero Function

Allowable options (specified via optimset):

| Option type | Value | Effect |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'Display' | 'iter' | Show results of each iteration |
|  | 'final' | Show root and original bracket |
|  | 'off' | Suppress all print out |

'TolX' tol Iterate until

$$
|\Delta x|<\max [\text { tol }, \text { tol } * \mathrm{a}, \text { tol } * \mathrm{~b}]
$$

where $\Delta x=(b-a) / 2$, and $[a, b]$ is the current bracket.

The default values of 'Display' and 'TolX' are equivalent to options = optimset('Display','iter','TolX',eps)

## fzero example

Take

$$
f(x)=x^{10}-1
$$

$1 \gg \mathrm{f}=@(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{x} \cdot{ }^{\wedge} 10-1 ;$
$2 \gg$ options $=$ optimset('display', 'iter');
$3 \gg[x, f x]=f z e r o(f, 0.5$, options)

## Instructor Notes

- Approximating $\frac{d f(x)}{d x} \approx \frac{\operatorname{Im}(f(x+i h))}{h}$ where $i=\sqrt{-1}$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}$ where $h \approx 0$

