Deadlocks
Deadlock

Which way should I go?
Deadlock

Oh no! I’m stuck!

GRIDLOCK!
Deadlock Definition

- Deadlocked process
  - Waiting for an event that will never occur
  - Typically, but not necessarily, involves more than one process
- A set of processes is deadlocked if each process in the set is waiting for an event that only another process in the set can cause

How can a single process deadlock itself?
Deadlock: One-lane Bridge

- Traffic only in one direction
- Each section of a bridge can be viewed as a resource

What can happen?
Deadlock: One-lane Bridge

- Traffic only in one direction
- Each section of a bridge can be viewed as a resource
- Deadlock
  - Resolved if cars back up (preempt resources and rollback)
  - Several cars may have to be backed up
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- Traffic only in one direction
- Each section of a bridge can be viewed as a resource
- Deadlock
  - Resolved if cars back up (preempt resources and rollback)
  - Several cars may have to be backed up
- But, starvation is possible

Note
- Most OSes do not prevent or deal with deadlocks
Deadlock: One-lane Bridge

Deadlock vs. Starvation

- Starvation = Indefinitely postponed
  - Delayed repeatedly over a long period of time while the attention of the system is given to other processes
  - Logically, the process may proceed but the system never gives it the CPU

I always have to back up!
Addressing Deadlock

- **Prevention**
  - Design the system so that deadlock is impossible

- **Detection & Recovery**
  - Check for deadlock (periodically or sporadically) and identify which processes and resources involved
  - Recover by killing one of the deadlocked processes and releasing its resources

- **Avoidance**
  - Construct a model of system states, then choose a strategy that, when resources are assigned to processes, will not allow the system to go to a deadlock state

- **Manual intervention**
  - Have the operator reboot the machine if it seems too slow
Necessary Conditions for Deadlock

- Mutual exclusion
  - Processes claim exclusive control of the resources they require

- Hold-and-wait (a.k.a. wait-for) condition
  - Processes hold resources already allocated to them while waiting for additional resources

- No preemption condition
  - Resources cannot be removed from the processes holding them until used to completion

- Circular wait condition
  - A circular chain of processes exists in which each process holds one or more resources that are requested by the next process in the chain
Dining Philosophers had it all

- Mutual exclusion
  - Exclusive use of forks
- Hold and wait condition
  - Hold 1 fork, wait for next
- No preemption condition
  - Cannot force another to undo their hold
- Circular wait condition
  - Each waits for next neighbor to put down fork

This is the best one to tackle
Formalizing circular wait: Resource allocation graphs

- **Nodes**
  - Circle: Processes
  - Square: Resources

- **Arcs**
  - From resource to process = resource assigned to process
  - From process to resource = process requests (and is waiting for) resource
Resource allocation graphs

- **Nodes**
  - Circle: Processes
  - Square: Resources

- **Deadlock**
  - Processes P1 and P2 are in deadlock over resources R1 and r2
If we use the trivial broken “solution”...

```c
#include N 5

void philosopher (int i) {
    while (TRUE) {
        think();
        take_fork(i);
        take_fork((i+1)%N);
        eat(); /* yummy */
        put_fork(i);
        put_fork((i+1)%N);
    }
}
```

Dining Philosophers

resource allocation graph
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If we use the trivial broken “solution”...

One node per philosopher
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If we use the trivial broken “solution”...

One node per philosopher

One node per fork

⇒ Everyone tries to pick up left fork

⇒ Everyone succeeds

⇒ Everyone tries to pick up right fork

⇒ Cycle = deadlock
Default Solution: Be an Ostrich

- **Approach**
  - Do nothing!
  - Deadlocked processes stay stuck

- **Rationale**
  - Keeps the common path faster and more reliable
  - Deadlock prevention, avoidance and detection/recovery are expensive
  - If deadlock is rare, is it worth the overhead?
Deadlock Prevention

- Prevent any one of the 4 conditions
  - Mutual exclusion
  - Hold-and-wait
  - No preemption
  - Circular wait
Mutual Exclusion

- Processes claim exclusive control of the resources they require
- How to break it?
Mutual Exclusion

- Processes claim exclusive control of the resources they require

How to break it?

- Non-exclusive access only
  - Read-only access
- Probably can’t do anything about it for most scenarios
  - But be smart and try to use shared resources wisely
Hold and Wait Condition

- Processes hold resources already allocated to them while waiting for additional resources
- How to break it?
Hold and Wait Condition

- Processes hold resources already allocated to them while waiting for additional resources

How to break it?
- All at once
  - Force a process to request all resources it needs at one time
  - Get all or nothing
- Release and try again
  - If a process needs to acquire a new resource, it must first release all resources it holds, then reacquire all it needs
- Both
  - Inefficient
  - Potential of starvation
No Preemption Condition

- Resources cannot be removed from the processes holding them until used to completion
- How to break it?
No Preemption Condition

- Resources cannot be removed from the processes holding them until used to completion

How to break it?

- Let it all go
  - If a process holding some resources is denied a further request, that process must release its original resources
  - Inefficient!

- Take it all away
  - If a process requests a resource that is held by another process, the OS may preempt the second process and force it to release its resources
  - Waste of CPU and other resources!
Circular Wait Condition

- A circular chain of processes exists in which each process holds one or more resources that are requested by the next process in the chain
- How to break it?
Circular Wait Condition

- A circular chain of processes exists in which each process holds one or more resources that are requested by the next process in the chain.

How to break it?
- Guarantee no cycles
  - Allow processes to access resources only in increasing order of resource id
  - Not really fair …
Dining Philosophers solution with numbered resources

Back to the trivial broken “solution”...

```c
#define N 5

void philosopher (int i) {
    while (TRUE) {
        think();
        take_fork(i);
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}
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Dining Philosophers solution with numbered resources

Back to the trivial broken “solution”...

```c
#define N 5

void philosopher(int i) {
    while (TRUE) {
        think();
        take_fork(i);
        take_fork(((i+1)%N));
        eat(); /* yummy */
        put_fork(i);
        put_fork(((i+1)%N));
    }
}
```
Dining Philosophers solution with numbered resources

Instead, number resources...

First request lower numbered fork

```c
#define N 5

void philosopher (int i) {
    while (TRUE) {
        think();
        take_fork(LOWER(i));
        take_fork(HIGHER(i));
        eat(); /* yummy */
        put_fork(LOWER(i));
        put_fork(HIGHER(i));
    }
}
```
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Dining Philosophers solution

with numbered resources

Instead, number resources...

One philosopher can eat!

```c
#define N 5

void philosopher (int i) {
    while (TRUE) {
        think();
        take_fork(LOWER(i));
        take_fork(HIGHER(i));
        eat(); /* yummy */
        put_fork(LOWER(i));
        put_fork(HIGHER(i));
    }
}
```
Ordered resource requests prevent deadlock

Without numbering

Cycle!
Ordered resource requests prevent deadlock

- With numbering

Contradiction: Should have requested 3 first!
Are we always in trouble without ordering resources?

- Not always

Ordered resource requests are sufficient to avoid deadlock, but not necessary

Convenient, but may be conservative
Deadlock Detection

- Check to see if a deadlock has occurred!
- Single resource per type
  - Can use wait-for graph
  - Check for cycles
    - How?
Wait for Graphs

Easier to find cycles on this graph

Resource Allocation Graph

Corresponding Wait For Graph
Deadlock Recovery

- Get rid of the cycles in the wait for graph
- How many cycles are there?
Deadlock Recovery

Options

- **Kill all** deadlocked processes and release resources
- **Kill one** deadlocked process at a time and release its resources
- **Steal one** resource at a time
- **Rollback** all or one of the processes to a checkpoint that occurred before they requested any resources

- Difficult to prevent indefinite postponement
Deadlock Recovery

Resource Allocation Graph

Corresponding Wait For Graph

Have to kill one more
Deadlock Recovery

Resource Allocation Graph

Corresponding Wait For Graph

Only have to kill one
Deadlock Recovery: Process Termination

How should the aborted process be chosen?

- Process priority
- Current computation time and time to completion
- Amount of resources used by the process
- Amount of resources needed by the process to complete
- If this process is terminated, how many other processes will need to be terminated?
- Is process interactive or batch?
Deadlock Recovery: Resource Preemption

- Selecting a victim
  - Minimize cost

- Rollback
  - Return to some safe state
  - Restart process for that state

- Challenge: Starvation
  - Same process may always be picked as victim
  - Fix: Include number of rollbacks in cost factor
Deadlock Avoidance

- Multiple instance of each Resources
  - Requires the maximum number of each resource needed for each process
    - For each resource $i$, $p.\text{Max}[i] = \text{maximum number of instances of } i \text{ that } p \text{ can request}$

- Basic idea
  - Resource manager tries to see the worst case that could happen
  - It does not grant an incremental resource request to a process if this allocation might lead to deadlock

- Approach
  - Define a model of system states (SAFE, UNSAFE)
  - Choose a strategy that guarantees that the system will not go to a deadlock state
Safe vs. Unsafe

- **Safe**
  - Guarantee
    - There is some scheduling order in which every process can run to completion even if all of them suddenly and simultaneously request their maximum number of resources
  - From a safe state
    - The system can guarantee that all processes will finish

- **Unsafe state: no such guarantee**
  - A deadlock state is an unsafe state
  - An unsafe state may not be a deadlock state
  - Some process may be able to complete

- **Overall**
  - A conservative/pessimistic approach
How to Compute Safety

- Banker’s Algorithm (Dijkstra, 1965)
  - Each customer tells banker the maximum number of resources it needs, before it starts
  - Customer borrows resources from banker
  - Customer returns resources to banker
  - Banker only lends resources if the system will stay in a safe state after the loan