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## Fundamentals of Probability (Correction)

Linearity of Expectation: For any two random variables $X$ and $Y$,
$E[X+Y]=E[X]+E[Y]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{x y} \sum_{y}(x+y) \operatorname{Pr}\{X=x, Y=y\} \\
& =\sum_{x} x \sum_{y} \operatorname{Pr}\{X=x, Y=y\}+\sum_{y}^{y} y \sum_{x} \operatorname{Pr}\{X=x, Y=y\} \\
& =\sum_{x} x \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\{X=x\}+\sum_{y} y \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\{Y=y\}
\end{aligned}
$$

does not depend on independence!

## Randomization in Algorithms

1. Assume input data is random to estimate average-case performance
2. Use randomness inside algorithm to estimate expected running time
3. Use randomness inside algorithm to approximate solution in fixed timı

## Average-Case Analysis: BST

Let $S(n)$ be the average total internal path length over all BSTs that can be constructed by uniform random insertion of $n$ objects
Claim: $S(n)$ is $O(n \log n)$
$\mathrm{N}=0$ : $\quad \mathrm{N}=1:$

## Average-Case Analysis: BST

Let $S(n)$ be the average total internal path length over all BSTs that can be constructed by uniform random insertion of $n$ objects $\mathrm{N}=3$ :


## Average-Case Analysis: BST

Let $S(n)$ be the average total internal path length over all BSTs that can be constructed by uniform random insertion of $n$ objects
Let $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ be the number of nodes in the left subtree.
Then for a fixed $i, S(n)=(n-1)+S(i)+S(n-i-1)$

## Average-Case Analysis: BST

Let $S(n)$ be the average total internal path length over all BSTs that can be constructed by uniform random insertion of $n$ objects
$S(n)=(n-1)+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S(i)+S(n-i-1)$

Average-Case Analysis: BST

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(n)=(n-1)+\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S(i) \\
& S(n)=(n-1)+\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(c i \ln i) \\
& \quad S(n) \leq(n-1)+\frac{2}{n} \int_{1}^{n}(c x \ln x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
S(n) \leq(n-1)+\frac{2}{n}\left(\frac{c n^{2}}{2} \ln n-\frac{c n^{2}}{4}+\frac{c}{4}\right) \approx c n \ln n
$$

## Average-Case Analysis: BST

Summary: All operations are on average $O(\log n)$

## Randomness:

Assumptions:

## Expectation Analysis: Randomized Quicksort

| 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 6 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 7 |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 |  |

Expectation Analysis: Randomized Quicksort

| 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 |  | 2 | 4 | 9 |  |  | 7 | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 45 |  | 6 |  |
| 1 | 10 | ) | 2 | 4 | 9 |  | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | ${ }^{4}$ | 6 |  |  | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 45 | 5 | 6 |  |
| 1 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  | ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | ${ }^{2}$ |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 |  |  | $4{ }^{5}$ |  | 6 |  |

## Expectation Analysis: Randomized Quicksort

In randomized quicksort, the selection of the pivot is random.
Claim: The expected comparisons is $O(n \log n n)$ for any input!
Let $X$ be the total comparisons and $X_{i j}$ be an indicator variable:
$X_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \text { if } i \text { th object compared to } j \text { th } \\ 0 \text { if } i \text { th object not compared to } j \text { th }\end{array}\right.$
Then...

## Key Ideas

1. Never compare $X_{i}$ with $X_{i}$
2. Never compare $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ more than once

## Expectation Analysis: Randomized Quicksort

Claim: $E\left[X_{i j}\right]=\frac{2}{j-i+1}$
Base Case: ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ )

## Expectation Analysis: Randomized Quicksort

Claim: $E\left[X_{i, j}\right]=\frac{2}{j-i+1}$ Induction: Assume true for all inputs of $<n$


## Expectation Analysis: Randomized Quicksort

$$
E[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} E\left[X_{i j}\right] \quad E\left[X_{i j}\right]=\frac{2}{j-i+1}
$$

## Expectation Analysis: Randomized Quicksort

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} E\left[X_{i j}\right] \quad E\left[X_{i j}\right]=\frac{2}{j-i+1} \\
& E[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n-i+1}\right) \\
& E[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\left(H_{n-1}-1\right) \leq 2 n \cdot H_{n} \leq 2 n \ln n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Expectation Analysis: Randomized Quicksort

Summary: Randomized quick sort is $O$ (nlogn) regardless of input

## Randomness:

Assumptions:

```
int getRandomNumber()
{
    return 4; // chosen by fair dice roll.
        // guaranteed to be random.
}
```


## Probabilistic Accuracy: Fermat primality test

Pick a random $a$ in the range $[2, p-2$ ]
If $p$ is prime and $a$ is not divisible by $p$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$
But... sometimes if $n$ is composite and $a^{n-1} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$

## Probabilistic Accuracy: Fermat primality test

|  | $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ | $a^{p-1} \neq 1(\bmod p)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p$ is prime |  |  |
| $p$ is not prime |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Probabilistic Accuracy: Fermat primality test

Let's assume $\alpha=.5$
First trial: $a=a_{0}$ and prime test returns 'prime!'
Second trial: $a=a_{1}$ and prime test returns 'prime!'
Third trial: $a=a_{2}$ and prime test returns 'not prime!'
Is our number prime?

What is our false positive probability? Our false negative probability?

## Probabilistic Accuracy: Fermat primality test

Summary: Randomized algorithms can also have fixed (or bounded) runtimes at the cost of probabilistic accuracy.

Randomness:

Assumptions:

## Types of randomized algorithms

A Las Vegas algorithm is a randomized algorithm which will always give correct answer if run enough times but has no fixed runtime.
A Monte Carlo algorithm is a randomized algorithm which will run a fixed number of iterations and may give the correct answer.

## Next Class: Randomized Data Structures

Sometimes a data structure can be too ordered / too structured

Randomized data structures rely on expected performance

Randomized data structures 'cheat' tradeoffs!

