| CS <sub>2</sub> | #20: BTree Analysis |  |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|
| ( 2 )           |                     |  |

# **BTree Properties**

For a BTree of order **m**:

- 1. All keys within a node are ordered.
- 2. All leaves contain no more than **m-1** nodes.
- 3. All internal nodes have exactly **one more children than keys**.
- 4. Root nodes can be a leaf or have [2, m] children.
- 5. All non-root, internal nodes have [ceil(m/2), m] children.
- 6. All leaves are on the same level.

| DTwoo        | 1 -  | 1   | •  |
|--------------|------|-----|----|
| <b>BTree</b> | Alla | шуs | 12 |

The height of the BTree determines maximum number of \_\_\_\_\_ possible in search data.

...and the height of our structure:

**Therefore**, the number of seeks is no more than: \_\_\_\_\_.

...suppose we want to prove this!

### **BTree Proof #1**

In our AVL Analysis, we saw finding an **upper bound** on the height ( $\mathbf{h}$  given  $\mathbf{n}$ , aka  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{n})$ ) is the same as finding a **lower bound** on the keys ( $\mathbf{n}$  given  $\mathbf{h}$ , aka  $\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{h})$ ).

**Goal:** We want to find a relationship for BTrees between the number of keys (**n**) and the height (**h**).

## **BTree Strategy:**

- 1. Define a function that counts the minimum number of nodes in a BTree of a given order.
  - a. Account for the minimum number of keys per node.

2. Proving a minimum number of nodes provides us with an upper-bound for the maximum possible height.

#### **Proof:**

**1a.** The minimum number of  $\underline{\text{nodes}}$  for a BTree of order  $\mathbf{m}$  at each level is as follows:

root:
level 1:
level 2:
level 3:
...
level h:

1b. The minimum total number of nodes is the sum of all levels:

**2.** The minimum number of keys:

**3.** Finally, we show an upper-bound on height:

**So, how good are BTrees?**Given a BTree of order 101, how much can we store in a tree of height=4?

Minimum:

Maximum: