

# Examples of direct proof and disproof

Margaret M. Fleck

2 February 2009

This lecture does more examples of direct proof and disproof of quantified statements, based on section 1.6 of Rosen (which you still don't have to read yet).

## 1 Announcements

Proficiency exam results were emailed out to everyone yesterday afternoon (or this morning in one case). If you took the exam and haven't got your results, contact Eric Shaffer. Today is the last day to change your registration online.

## 2 Recap

Last class, we saw how to prove a universal ("for all") claim and how to prove an existential ("there exists") claim. Proving the existential claim was easy: we just showed our reader a specific example with the required properties. For example:

Claim: There is an integer  $x$  such that  $x^2 = 1$ .

Proof: Consider 1. 1 is an integer and its square is 1.

Proofs for universal claims were more involved, because we needed to pick a representative value  $x$  and to give a general argument that worked for any

choice of  $x$ .

When we grade proofs of universal claims, a common way to lose a lot of points is to show that the claim holds for one specific example rather than giving a general argument. This is a very serious flaw.

We also occasionally see students constructing a general argument when they only needed a single specific counter-example. This is a less serious bug, but it's still a bug, because it makes your proof unnecessarily abstract and harder to read.

### 3 Another example of direct proof involving odd and even

Last class, we proved that:

**Claim 1** *For every rational number  $q$ ,  $2q$  is rational.*

We used a so-called “direct proof,” in which the proof proceeded in a more-or-less straight line from the given facts to the desired conclusion, applying some definitions of key concepts along the way.

Here's another claim that can be proved in this straightforward manner.

**Claim 2** *For any integer  $k$ , if  $k$  is odd then  $k^2$  is odd.*

This has a slightly different form from the previous claim:  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $P(x)$ , then  $Q(x)$

Before doing the actual proof, we first need to be precise about what we mean by “odd”. And, while we are on the topic, what we mean by “even.”

**Definition 1** *An integer  $n$  is even if there is an integer  $m$  such that  $n = 2m$ .*

**Definition 2** *An integer  $n$  is odd if there is an integer  $m$  such that  $n = 2m + 1$ .*

Such definitions are sometimes written using the jargon “has the form,” as in “An integer  $n$  is even if it has the form  $2m$ , where  $m$  is an integer.”

We’ll assume that it’s obvious (from our high school algebra) that every integer is even or odd, and that no integer is both even and odd.

Using these definitions, we can prove our claim as follows:

Proof of Claim 2: Let  $k$  be any integer and suppose that  $k$  is odd. We need to show that  $k^2$  is odd.

Since  $k$  is odd, there is an integer  $j$  such that  $k = 2j + 1$ . Then we have

$$k^2 = (2j + 1)^2 = 4j^2 + 4j + 1 = 2(2j^2 + 2j) + 1$$

Since  $j$  is an integer,  $2j^2 + 2j$  is also an integer. Let’s call it  $p$ . Then  $k^2 = 2p + 1$ . So, by the definition of odd,  $k^2$  is odd.

As in the proof last class, we used our key definition twice in the proof: once at the start to expand a technical term (“odd”) into its meaning, then again at the end to summarize our findings into the appropriate technical terms.

Notice that we used a different variable name in the two uses of the definition:  $j$  the first time and  $p$  the second time. It’s important to use a fresh variable name each time you expand a definition like this. Otherwise, you could end up forcing two variables (e.g.  $k$  and  $k^2$ ) to be equal when that isn’t (or might not be) true.

At the start of the proof, notice that we chose a random (or “arbitrary” in math jargon) integer  $k$ , like last time. However, we also “supposed” that the hypothesis of the if/then statement was true. It’s helpful to collect up all your given information right at the start of the proof, so you know what you have to work with.

The comment about what we need to show is not necessary to the proof. It’s sometimes included because it’s helpful to the reader. You may also want to include it because it’s helpful *to you* to remind you of where you need to get to at the end of the proof.

Similarly, introducing the variable  $p$  isn't really necessary with a claim this simple. However, using new variables to create an exact match to a definition may help you keep yourself organized.

## 4 Disproving a universal statement

Now, how about this claim?

**Claim 3** *For every rational number  $q$ , there is a rational number  $r$  such that  $qr = 1$ .*

Or, in math jargon, every rational number has a (multiplicative) inverse. This isn't true, is it? Zero doesn't have an inverse.

In general, a statement of the form “for all  $x$  in  $A$ ,  $P(x)$ ” is false exactly when there is some value  $y$  in  $A$  for which  $P(y)$  is false.<sup>1</sup> So, to disprove a universal claim, we are proving an existential statement. So it's enough to exhibit one concrete value for which the claim fails. In this case, our disproof is very simple:

Disproof of Claim 3: This statement isn't true, because we know from high school algebra that zero has no inverse.

## 5 Disproving an existential statement

There's a general pattern here: the negation of  $\forall x, P(x)$  is  $\exists x, \neg P(x)$ . So the negation of a universal claim is an existential claim. Similarly the negation of  $\exists x, P(x)$  is  $\forall x, \neg P(x)$ . So the negation of an existential claim is a universal one.

So, suppose we want to prove something like

**Claim 4** *There is an integer  $k$  such that  $k$  is odd and  $k^2$  is even.*

---

<sup>1</sup>Notice that “for which” is occasionally used as a variant of “such that.” In this case, it makes the English sound very slightly better.

Disproving this claim is the same as proving

**Claim 5** *There is no integer  $k$  such that  $k$  is odd and  $k^2$  is even.*

Which is the same as

**Claim 6** *For every integer  $k$ , it is not the case that  $k$  is odd and  $k^2$  is even.*

At this point, it helps to use our logical equivalences to rephrase as

**Claim 7** *For every integer  $k$ , if  $k$  is odd then  $k^2$  is not even.*

If this last rephrasing isn't obvious, let  $P(k)$  be " $k$  is odd" and  $Q(k)$  be " $k^2$  is even". Then this last step started with the claim  $\forall k, \neg(P(k) \wedge Q(k))$ . This is equivalent to  $\forall k, \neg P(k) \vee \neg Q(k)$  by DeMorgan's laws. This is equivalent to  $\forall k, P(k) \rightarrow \neg Q(k)$ , because  $M \rightarrow N \equiv \neg M \vee N$ . You probably wouldn't give all this detail in a proof, but you might need to work through it for yourself on your scratch paper, to reassure yourself that your rephrasing was legit.

Suppose that we are willing to believe that every integer is either even or odd. It is possible to prove this from more basic properties of the integers, but we'll take it as obvious. Then we can rephrase our claim as:

**Claim 8** *For every integer  $k$ , if  $k$  is odd then  $k^2$  is odd.*

This is the universal claim that we proved at the start of the lecture.

## 6 Summary

So, our general pattern for selecting the proof type is:

	prove	disprove
universal	general argument	specific example
existential	specific example	general argument

Both types of proof start off by picking an element  $x$  from the domain of the quantification. However, for the general arguments,  $x$  is a random element whose identity you don't know. For the proofs requiring specific examples, you can pick  $x$  to be your favorite specific concrete value.

## 7 Another direct proof example

Here's another direct proof example. First, let's define

**Definition 3** *An integer  $n$  is a perfect square if  $n = k^2$  for some integer  $k$ .*

Consider the claim:

**Claim 9** *For any integers  $m$  and  $n$ , if  $m$  and  $n$  are perfect squares, then so is  $mn$ .*

Proof: Let  $m$  and  $n$  be integers and suppose that  $m$  and  $n$  are perfect squares.

By the definition of "perfect square", we know that  $m = k^2$  and  $n = j^2$ , for some integers  $k$  and  $j$ . So then  $mn$  is  $k^2j^2$ , which is equal to  $(kj)^2$ . Since  $k$  and  $j$  are integers, so is  $kj$ . Since  $mn$  is the square of the integer  $kj$ ,  $mn$  is a perfect square, which is what we needed to show.

Notice that the phrase "which is what we needed to show" helps tell the reader that we're done with the proof. It's polite to indicate the end in one way or another. In typed notes, it may be clear from the indentation. Sometimes, especially in handwritten proofs, we put a box or triangle of dots or Q.E.D. at the end. Q.E.D. is short for Latin "Quod erat demonstrandum," which is just a translation of "what we needed to show."

## 8 Vacuous truth

Consider the following claim:

**Claim 10** *For all natural numbers  $n$ , if  $14 + n < 10$ , then  $n$  wood elves will attack Siebel Center tomorrow.*

I claim this is true, a fact which most students find counter-intuitive. In fact, it wouldn't be true if  $n$  was declared to be an integer.

Notice that this statement has the form  $\forall n, P(n) \rightarrow Q(n)$ . Let's consider some particular choice for  $n$ , e.g.  $n = i$ . Because  $i$  has to be a natural number, i.e. no smaller than zero,  $P(i)$  is false. Therefore, our conventions about the truth values for conditional statements imply that  $P(i) \rightarrow Q(i)$  is true. This argument works for all natural numbers that we could substitute for  $n$ . So  $\forall n, P(n) \rightarrow Q(n)$  is true.

Because even mathematicians find such statements a bit wierd, they typically say that such a claim is *vacuously* true, to emphasize to the reader that it is only true because of this strange convention about the meaning of conditionals.